Page 1

## COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PHILADELPHIA SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE REFORM

Room 400, City Hall Philadelphia, Pennsylvania Monday, September 12, 2016 1:15 p.m.

## PRESENT:

COUNCILMAN CURTIS JONES, JR.

KEIR BRADFORD-GREY, ESQ., Defenders Association

WILLIAM COBB, representative of formerly incarcerated person

ANN SCHWARTZMAN, PA Prison Society
KEVIN BETHEL, Philadelphia Police
Department (retired)

WILFREDO ROJAS, Office of Community
Justice and Outreach (retired)

JULIE WERTHEIMER, Managing Director's Office

JUDGE BENJAMIN LERNER, Deputy Managing Director

DEAN JOHN HOLLWAY, ESQ., Quattrone
TARIQ EL-SHABAZZ, ESQ., Criminal Justice
Attorney

GEORGE MOSEE, ESQ., First Deputy DA RICHARD McSORLEY, Deputy Court Administration - Criminal Trial

RESOLUTION 160101 - Resolution appointing members to the "Special Committee on Criminal Justice Reform," who will conduct public hearings examining the Philadelphia criminal justice system for the impact of current policies, and offer recommended strategies for reform that are in the best interest of public safety and the public good.

- - -

|    |   | Page 2 |
|----|---|--------|
| 1  |   |        |
| 2  | COUNCILMAN JONES: Good                    |        |
| 3  | afternoon, everyone. This is a hearing    |        |
| 4  | called to order. We are reconvening the   |        |
| 5  | Special Committee on Criminal Justice     |        |
| 6  | Reform. I recognize the presence of a     |        |
| 7  | quorum by Committee members that are      |        |
| 8  | here.                                     |        |
| 9  | Will the Clerk please read the            |        |
| 10 | title of the resolution.                  |        |
| 11 | THE CLERK: Resolution No.                 |        |
| 12 | 160101, a resolution appointing members   |        |
| 13 | to the "Special Committee on Criminal     |        |
| 14 | Justice Reform," who will conduct public  |        |
| 15 | hearings examining the Philadelphia       |        |
| 16 | criminal justice system for the impact of |        |
| 17 | current policies, and offer recommended   |        |
| 18 | strategies for reform that are in the     |        |
| 19 | best interest of public safety and the    |        |
| 20 | public good.                              |        |
| 21 | COUNCILMAN JONES: Thank you.              |        |
| 22 | Thank you, Ms. Williams, for all the work |        |
| 23 | you've done over the summer, by the way,  |        |
| 24 | to keep us coordinated.                   |        |
| 25 | I want to thank everybody for             |        |

Page 3 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 joining us here today. Over the past few months, all Committee members on the 4 Special Committee have been dedicated to 5 fact-finding, data-gathering, and exploring options and alternatives to 6 addressing the issue of pretrial incarceration and bail. 8 9 As we learned through many of these meetings and hearings, that more 10 than half of the individuals on State 11 Road are awaiting trial. Many of them 12 there cannot afford to post a cash bail. 13 14 Today we are exploring many of 15 the alternatives available in the City and in the nation in an effort to try to 16 17 decrease the number of individuals incarcerated on State Road. We will be 18 hearing from doctors, researchers, and 19 20 program administrators on what works and 2.1 what things we should consider in the 22 court system. 23 While we hear more testimony, gather information, and work towards 2.4 making recommendations, I want to 25

Page 4 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 reiterate during my tenure as Councilman 2. and in this position as a Co-Chair of 3 this body, that number one is public 4 5 safety. Number one is public safety. 6 I'm going to say it for a third time. 7 Number one is public safety. And then I want to say that we also have concerns 8 9 for people that are not convicted being incarcerated when there is so much at 10 11 stake when they are. People who have 12 been found innocent often have lost jobs, families, homes, residences as a result 13 14 of that. 15 The second thing -- third thing 16 that we want to emphasize is that this 17 process should not unduly be biased and 18 discriminatory or prejudiced against people not so much -- there are two 19 20 types. There's the prejudice of the 21 individual who have preconceived notions about an individual, but also there's 22 statistical anomalies, zip codes and 23 other things, that pre-determine people's 2.4 25 outlook on people from a neighborhood,

Page 5 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. the difference between Southwest Philadelphia and often the perception of 3 East Mount Airy and other places like 4 5 that. One justice system. One set of 6 rules. And we are going to try to help reenforce that. 7 With that, I'd like to turn it 8 9 over to my Co-Chair. MS. BRADFORD-GREY: 10 Thank you. 11 Thank you, Councilman Jones. 12 I want to welcome everyone who is here today to testify about new and 13 14 creative models for assigning alternatives to cash bail. I know that 15 16 there have been models that we have been 17 looking at throughout the nation that have deemed to have some great results in 18 terms of public safety and making sure 19 20 that people and individuals return to 2.1 court. So with that said, I think we 22 23 will start with our first person who will be testifying today from the Quattrone 2.4 25 Center. You may introduce yourself.

|    |   | Page 6 |
|----|---|--------|
| 1  | 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 |        |
| 2  | COUNCILMAN JONES: Welcome.                |        |
| 3  | MR. HEATON: Thanks. It's a                |        |
| 4  | pleasure to be here. It's great to be     |        |
| 5  | before such a distinguished group. My     |        |
| 6  | name is Paul Heaton. I'm the Academic     |        |
| 7  | Director of the Quattrone Center for the  |        |
| 8  | Fair Administration of Justice, the       |        |
| 9  | University of Pennsylvania. Our center    |        |
| 10 | is a center which is focused on trying to |        |
| 11 | find systems, approaches, ways to improve |        |
| 12 | the criminal justice system so as to      |        |
| 13 | prevent errors and to improve fairness,   |        |
| 14 | and what I'll be sharing with you today   |        |
| 15 | is some recent research that's been done  |        |
| 16 | at the Quattrone Center and also by other |        |
| 17 | academic researchers nationwide which     |        |
| 18 | bears on the issue of cash bail and       |        |
| 19 | pretrial detention, in particular that    |        |
| 20 | helps us to understand what the           |        |
| 21 | implications of policies that might       |        |
| 22 | adjust the level of pretrial detention or |        |
| 23 | who gets detained.                        |        |
| 24 | So as we think about a                    |        |
| 25 | multi-pronged strategy to improve the     |        |

Page 7 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 criminal justice system here in 2. 3 Philadelphia, obviously one area of 4 opportunity is making smart changes to 5 our decisions about who we detain prior 6 to trial. And as we make those changes, as we take some pools of defendants who previously may have been detained and 8 9 released them, it would be important and valuable for this Committee and other 10 11 justice policy stakeholders to understand 12 what the likely impacts of those changes to pretrial detention would be. So the 13 14 research that I'm going to talk about 15 today is focused on that very issue, 16 trying to help us understand if we do something different, what will the 17 18 long-run and short-run impacts be. 19 If you don't mind advancing to the next slide. 20 2.1 So this basic question of if we make changes to pretrial detention, what 22 does it do is one that's been around for 23 a long time, and there have been a number 2.4 25 of academic studies on this general

Page 8 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. topic, but recently what we see is that 3 there's been -- in fact, actually within 4 the past six months or so -- a number of 5 new, very high-quality studies which shed 6 new light and understanding on this basic question, and I'll talk about a few of those. 8 9 Now, what differentiates this research from some of the prior work 10 that's been done is on a few dimensions. 11 So first of all, the modern research that 12 I'll discuss with you today tends to use 13 14 large and very contemporary datasets. So a number of the studies I'll cite use 15 16 datasets that comprise all of the 17 criminal cases that have occurred here in 18 Philadelphia. So hundreds of thousands 19 of individual cases. 20 Other studies focusing on other jurisdictions, again, look at tens of 2.1 thousands or even hundreds of thousands 22 of cases. And these are studies which 23 use very rich administrative data which 2.4 25 help us to understand characteristics of

Page 9 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 the defendant, things about the procedural posture offenses, other case 3 outcomes, and also allow us to look 4 5 forward and examine other outcomes outside of the criminal justice system. So the first distinction is better data. 7 So the second advantage of some 8 9 of these recent studies is that they allow us to say something more definitive 10 11 about what the actual causal effects of 12 detention are. And what I mean by that is, let's imagine that we have one 13 14 defendant and we choose to release that 15 person and then we look forward and see 16 how their life goes, what future contact 17 they have with the criminal justice 18 system, what their employment and earnings look like, and we would compare 19 that to a situation where we have that 20 same defendant but now we detain that 2.1 person and we could look forward and see 22 23 how their life progresses. The difference between those 2.4 25 two worlds is what researchers would call

Page 10 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 the effect of detention. It's something 2. that we want to be very interested in as 3 we think about making adjustments to 4 5 detention policy. 6 Now, it's very difficult to measure that difference in outcomes, but 7 this new wave of research uses convincing 8 9 methodologies, something that I won't get into today unless there are questions, 10 called natural experiments that go beyond 11 12 just measuring the correlation between detention and outcomes and actually 13 14 measure what the effects of changing 15 policies directed at detention are. So the final distinction of 16 17 this new research is that typically in the past, people have focused on what the 18 effects of detention are in the immediate 19 case. So for example, if we detain 20 21 someone, does that increase the 22 likelihood that they'll plead guilty in 23 This new generation of their case. research looks certainly at the immediate 2.4 25 case, but also looks at outcomes further

|    |   | Page 11 |
|----|---|---------|
| 1  | 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 |         |
| 2  | out. So we look at recidivism and         |         |
| 3  | people's future contacts with the         |         |
| 4  | criminal justice system, for example.     |         |
| 5  | Researchers have very                     |         |
| 6  | creatively linked criminal justice data   |         |
| 7  | with other data on things like tax        |         |
| 8  | records, unemployment records,            |         |
| 9  | utilization of social services that allow |         |
| 10 | us to get a more comprehensive portrait   |         |
| 11 | of what the effects of detention are, not |         |
| 12 | only on the criminal justice system but   |         |
| 13 | on other measures of economic well-being. |         |
| 14 | And so I'll talk about a few of those     |         |
| 15 | results today.                            |         |
| 16 | If you want to go to the next             |         |
| 17 | slide.                                    |         |
| 18 | So here are some of the                   |         |
| 19 | findings from some of these               |         |
| 20 | next-generation studies. So this slide    |         |
| 21 | actually describes some of the key        |         |
| 22 | results from a study that was done by one |         |
| 23 | of our researchers at the Quattrone       |         |
| 24 | Center, Megan Stevenson, that focuses     |         |
| 25 | here on Philadelphia and actually         |         |

Page 12 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. analyzes seven years worth of criminal 3 justice data. So Megan uses the fact that the magistrate to make bail 4 5 decisions are randomly assigned as a sort 6 of natural experiment to measure what the effects of bail are. 7 Now, what did she find? 8 She 9 finds that pretrial detention has an adverse, at least from the perspective of 10 11 the defendant, impact on convictions and 12 jail sentences in the immediate case. for example, those who are detained are 13 14 about 6 percentage points or about 12 15 percent more likely to plead guilty in 16 their cases as a result of being 17 detained. 18 Now, that actually makes sense when you realize that there are some 19 20 defendants who, after they spent a 21 certain amount of time in pretrial detention, if they're willing to plead 22 23 quilty, are going to be given time served and can walk out of jail, and if they 2.4 25 want to continue to assert their

Page 13 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. innocence, they actually have to prolong their period of detention in order to get 3 to a trial and be able to argue for their 4 5 innocence. So there are some incentives 6 built into the system which can lead to guilty pleas and potentially wrongful convictions. 8 9 Megan's research also indicates 10 that detention actually increases the 11 amount of the sentence. So if you look 12 at the chart, you'll see that those who are detained as compared to 13 14 observationally identical people who are not detained have sentences that are 15 16 almost twice as long. Now, again, this is important 17 18 because if you think about what this tells us, it means that if we were to 19 20 enact policies which were to reduce pretrial detention, there would be a 21 potential benefit not only at the front 22 end where we have less incarceration 23 pretrial but also further on down the 2.4 25 line as these defendants actually

Page 14 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. ultimately get more lenient sentences from the court and, therefore, don't have 3 to spend as much time in jail later on. 4 5 So potentially there are some substantial 6 benefits of reforms in terms of the 7 amount that we spend on incarcerating 8 people here in the City. 9 You can go to the next slide, 10 please. 11 So in addition to looking at 12 the immediate case, we can also measure what the effects of detention are on 13 14 future outcomes. So these are results 15 from a study done by Will Dobbie and 16 co-authors, again, focusing on data here 17 in Philadelphia. So one of the troubling findings of Will's study is that 18 detention ultimately actually increases 19 20 rates of rearrest. So keep in mind, 21 we're detaining people because we want to preserve public safety, and in a short 22 23 run, that's true. We incapacitate people. But as we look out over the two 2.4 25 years after their bail hearings, what we

Page 15 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. see is that ultimately these defendants 3 go on to offend more. Detention is 4 actually criminogenic. 5 Now, this is good news in the 6 sense that if we were to improve our system for pretrial detention, we have an ability to release more people but 8 9 actually increase public safety, because what we're seeing here is the detention 10 11 actually slightly increases people's criminal activity. And there's a variety 12 of reasons why that might be true. 13 14 Certainly detention can lead to job loss, loss of housing, other life disruptions 15 16 that can kind of put individuals on the 17 path towards additional future criminal 18 behavior. 19 So Will's research also 20 suggests that detention actually reduces 21 employment and reduces earnings of the defendants who are detained. So in 22 23 addition to having impacts on the criminal justice system itself, there's 2.4 25 also spillover impacts that are going to

Page 16 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. affect other City agencies and ultimately 3 will affect the economy of the City as 4 these individuals go on, whether or not 5 they're innocent or guilty, to actually 6 earn less and have more difficulty being employed. And the slide shows the magnitude of some of those differences. 8 9 So in work done with my colleague Sandy Mayson, who is here with 10 11 us, as well as Megan focusing on a different context, although I think these 12 results would likely generalize to many 13 14 cities, including Philadelphia, we see that the adverse effects of detention are 15 16 particularly pronounced among those 17 involved in misdemeanor cases. So in a study focusing on Harris County where 18 19 Houston is located, we saw a substantial increase in conviction rates, a more than 20 doubling of jail sentences, and about a 21 22 30 percent increase in felony offending 23 coming as a result of detention. So, again, I think this is 2.4 25 important as we think about reform,

Page 17 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. because one of the things it suggests is 3 liberalizing pretrial release for misdemeanor populations could -- and 4 5 these are the populations who are likely 6 the least risk to public safety and represent the least concern about flight risk, those could potentially have some 8 9 outside benefits. So what are some of the 10 11 solutions that have been tried in other 12 places that ought to be on the table? There's a few that I would raise. First 13 14 of all, for some defendants we detain 15 them today often because they can't 16 afford small amounts of bail, when 17 probably the better solution would just be to release people outright. 18 think the experience of Washington, DC is 19 illustrative. So there in the mid '90s 20 21 they faced a substantial problem with jail overcrowding, and as a result, they 22 23 reformed their pretrial process. in DC if we were to look across all 2.4 25 offenders, so both misdemeanor and

Page 18 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. felony, they release about 90 percent of 3 offenders, and rates of failure to appear 4 and pretrial offending are about 10 5 percent. So actually lower than what we experience in Philadelphia today. 6 So what their experience suggests is that it is possible to 8 9 implement a policy in which the vast majority of defendants are released while 10 11 still preserving public safety and 12 encouraging appearance in court. Some other solutions that ought 13 14 to be on the table, moving away from cash bail towards the use of alternatives. 15 16 Things like electronic monitoring, for example, might be more appropriate for 17 some defendants. 18 19 Better risk assessment. So 20 right now when we make bail decisions, 21 it's often judges relying on very limited information about defendants as well as 22 23 their own experience. Folks like my colleague Richard Berk have introduced 2.4 25 scientifically-based actuarial risk

Page 19 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. assessment tools that can provide better 3 information for magistrates making these 4 decisions to allow them to identify 5 defendants who may be at low risk and 6 release them. I think another way to improve the quality of risk assessment that I 8 9 imagine Mark will tell us more about today is providing better quality defense 10 11 counsel at bail hearings so that we have 12 an additional person who can bring information to the light of the court, 13 14 which would allow judges to make better 15 decisions in identifying who the riskiest 16 defendants are. And then, finally, a number of 17 18 jurisdictions have used improved reminder 19 systems, essentially methods that help 20 defendants, some of whom may be 21 organizationally challenged, to just 22 know, hey, you've got to show up at a 23 certain time and a certain place for court hearings, and have had success in 2.4 25 using those methods to reduce failure to

Page 20 1 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 2. appear. 3 So let me end with a bit of a 4 cautionary note. So back in the mid 5 1990s, the City had problems with jail overcrowding. We were actually under 6 7 federal oversight, and a number of criminal justice stakeholders teamed with 8 9 some very smart criminologists, John Goldkamp and Michael White, to try and 10 11 implement some reforms to the pretrial 12 detention system. Sound familiar? the time, they identified a number of 13 14 what they viewed as very promising 15 reforms, including a telephone-based 16 reminder system, a new system for 17 identifying higher risk offenders and 18 putting them under more intensive 19 supervision, as well as a notification 20 system regarding early violations and 21 some targeted enforcement to take -- to identify people who didn't show up for 22 23 their earliest appointments with pretrial services and try and track them down. 2.4 25 these were all pilot programs that were

Page 21 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. implemented actually using randomized 3 controlled trials so they could do a very good job measuring the impacts, and for 4 5 all three of those promising approaches, 6 it turned out that they didn't have a measurable impact on either failure to 7 appear or arrest rates. 8 9 Now, why is that? The researchers highlight in their analysis 10 11 of these innovations that implementation 12 was a problem and none of these new programs was implemented in a way that 13 14 conformed to best practices. 15 So the message we should take 16 from that is, we explore these potential solutions. We really need to think very 17 18 carefully about the details of implementation in order to make sure that 19 they'll be successful. 20 2.1 In that respect, I'm actually very excited to hear from the other 22 23 panelists, because you've brought together some experts who know a lot 2.4 25 about how to implement the sorts of

Page 22 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. programs I've suggested today, and I think we're going to benefit a lot from 3 their insights. 4 5 So just to summarize the main 6 takeaways from the research, what this new wave of academic research tells us is that there can be some great benefits for 8 9 the City to improving our system for pretrial detention, including the 10 11 possibility of reducing, perhaps 12 substantially, our expenditures on jail and incarceration. We can actually do 13 14 that if we make pretrial detention 15 smarter in a way that lowers crime at the 16 same time, and ultimately this can have 17 some ripple effects for the defendants and improve their economic circumstances 18 in a measurable way that's going to 19 20 benefit all of the citizens of the City. There are a number of viable 2.1 22 options for reform. Those include notice 23 and reminder systems, increased use of alternatives to cash bail, liberalization 2.4 25 of release on recognizance for low-risk

Page 23 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. offenders, and better risk assessment 3 either through actuarial risk assessment tools or better quality representation. 4 5 But as we explore and then begin to 6 implement some of these solutions, we 7 need to pay a lot of attention to the details of implementation, because that's 8 9 going to be key to success. That's what I had to share with 10 11 the Committee. Thanks for your time and 12 attention. 13 COUNCILMAN JONES: Thank you so 14 Many of us on this Committee had 15 an opportunity to, A, go to Washington, 16 DC and take a tour of their program. 17 Future, we are looking at the State of New Jersey just passed a no bail system, 18 but immediately, linchpin to all of that, 19 is risk assessment. 20 2.1 I think if there's one thing we can do that matters the most is to 22 attempt to modernize and determine 23 without prejudice who constitutes a 2.4 25 danger to themselves, society, and then

Page 24 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. If you can make that kind who does not. 3 of educated guess, because it's never perfect, you can impact a lot of these 4 5 critical impacts both in the community and society and to individuals if we get 6 7 it right. So you don't look old enough to 8 9 have done the first assessment that I think was done in the '80s. Is that 10 11 right? Was it you? Not me, no, but, 12 MR. HEATON: yeah, there have been, including here in 13 14 Philadelphia, some pretty high-quality assessments of various risk assessment 15 tools. I think one area where there's 16 17 still more to learn is, risk assessment has been widely used in the kind of 18 parole/probation context. Translating 19 20 that to the pretrial context, it's still 21 a work in progress, but one where I have 22 a lot of confidence, as you say, we can 23 make some real progress due to work of folks like Richard and others who are 2.4 25 real experts and who have developed

Page 25 1 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 principles that can help us design a fair 2. and useful actuarial tool for the person. 3 COUNCILMAN JONES: So most of 4 5 the members on this Committee have had a 6 lot more experience at risk assessment 7 than I have, and I think that's a fair 8 statement, but we most recently, several 9 members of this body, have been a part of 10 other government entities that are 11 considering risk assessment, and one of 12 the things that jumped out at me that 13 scared me and gave me a sense of caution 14 was that zip codes were actually being 15 considered as a part of the assessment, 16 like where you come from. Me and my 17 brothers and cousins, we all live in the same zip code. I think it's safe to say 18 that. We couldn't be more different. 19 20 I don't -- and they, to their credit, 21 eventually eliminated that component of 22 But what components are you 23 considering, without tipping what your final product is? What things should be 2.4 25 considered in a risk assessment?

Page 26 1 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 2. MR. HEATON: Yeah. I mean, I think that there are some different tools 3 that are out there. Obviously you want 4 5 to avoid using factors that are going to lead to racial or disparities for 6 7 protected characteristics. Using race directly is obviously problematic, but as 8 9 you point out, there may be other things like zip codes that look a lot like a 10 11 proxy for race, and that would be 12 concerning I think to many. Past risk assessments have 13 14 focused on things like age, like prior 15 criminal history. The sequence of types 16 of offenses that someone has committed over time in some cases can be 17 18 predictive. There's definitely a trade-off, and as one thinks about 19 20 developing these risk assessments, on the 21 one hand you want something that's fairly simple to administer, which means you're 22 23 not necessarily going to have a huge number of items or you want to rely on 2.4 25 information that can be cold from

Page 27 1 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 2. hopefully reliable databases that capture things like prior criminal history, but 3 at the same time, the fewer variables 4 5 that are input into a risk assessment, 6 the less individualized that risk 7 assessment can be. 8 So I think you're asking the 9 right questions, which is what are the sort of factors that we want to begin to 10 11 think about, and there's kind of a 12 statistical part of that but then there's also the values part that comes to play, 13 14 as you mentioned, with the issue about 15 and your concerns about zip codes. 16 COUNCILMAN JONES: Okay. other question and I'll turn it over. 17 the Washington program, there seemed to 18 be always some immediate consequence, 19 20 meaning that you didn't just appear and 21 then they said you're not a danger and 22 we're going to let you go. It almost 23 seemed like there was an attachment. We'd like you to work on these things. 2.4 25 And if it was someone who was picked up

Page 28 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. for public intoxication, then a recommendation to go to a 12-step program 3 during the period between arrest and 4 5 release and trial. There always seemed 6 to be some pretrial condition that was 7 It might have even meant a put. stay-away order in cases of violence, a 8 9 bar fight -- resulted in a bar fight. There was a young lady. I hope I'm not 10 11 violating her rights, but she handled 12 herself obviously in this big bar fight and she was given a stay-away order and 13 14 anger management. It seemed as though the cases we observed had an interim 15 16 needs assessment and follow-up. 17 important is that? 18 MR. HEATON: I think that can be very important. So if we were to look 19 20 back at the Goldkamp study that I cited 21 earlier, probably the biggest weakness that they noted in implementation is at 22 23 the time due to limitations of personnel and availability and other things, often 2.4 25 as a practical matter there were actually

Page 29 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. very few consequences for failure. for example, when they said we're going 3 to have heightened supervision of certain 4 5 offenders, yeah, we'd send out some folks 6 to actually try and track them down when 7 they didn't show up, but if we couldn't find them, we're too busy, we'll go on to 8 9 something else. And so they attribute some of the failure of those programs to 10 11 the fact that there weren't any sort of 12 consequences. So I do think that that 13 could be an important component. 14 Now, I think the question that 15 the City Council and those who want to 16 implement these reforms need to grapple with is, this begins to implicate other 17 parts of the City, right? So if we're 18 going to make conditions on people to 19 20 say, hey, we need to have drug treatment, 21 we need to have anger management, and 22 those are the programs which have been 23 shown for certain populations to be effective, we also have to be prepared to 2.4 25 make those services available to people

|    |   | Da 20   |
|----|---|---------|
| 1  | 0/10/16 GDEGIAI GOMMITTEE DEG 160101      | Page 30 |
| 1  | 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 |         |
| 2  | in a timely way.                          |         |
| 3  | And so to the extent that we              |         |
| 4  | want to have that sort of intermediate    |         |
| 5  | requirement and then enforcement, we have |         |
| 6  | to make sure that resources are available |         |
| 7  | to the relevant parts of the City in      |         |
| 8  | order to make that work. And so that      |         |
| 9  | goes beyond just the criminal justice     |         |
| 10 | system in some cases.                     |         |
| 11 | COUNCILMAN JONES: Chairwoman.             |         |
| 12 | MS. BRADFORD-GREY: Thank you.             |         |
| 13 | I wanted to ask, you've studied           |         |
| 14 | Philadelphia's system, correct, in coming |         |
| 15 | up with some of your analysis today?      |         |
| 16 | MR. HEATON: Yes. Yes.                     |         |
| 17 | MS. BRADFORD-GREY: And so you             |         |
| 18 | know how large the system is and how much |         |
| 19 | we process arrests. Some of the           |         |
| 20 | suggestions that you've made in terms of  |         |
| 21 | Philadelphia's system, what would have to |         |
| 22 | happen for us to have a real robust bail  |         |
| 23 | advocacy system or structure? I know you  |         |
| 24 | looked at risk assessments, but in        |         |
| 25 | hearing and in your comments, risk        |         |

Page 31 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. assessment shouldn't be the only thing 3 that we should look at. There should 4 also be some advocacy in terms of 5 humanizing and individualizing people. 6 But is that process practical based on 7 the system that we currently have and, if not, what would we need to develop? 8 9 MR. HEATON: Yeah. I mean, I quess there's a few different levels of 10 11 practicality that one might think about, 12 and I would probably advocate given -- I agree with you, it's a big complicated 13 14 system. We could think about kind of 15 incremental change as we kind of move 16 closer to the sort of very high-quality advocacy that I think would ultimately be 17 18 the goal. 19 First of all, I think one of 20 the important implications that I didn't 21 highlight but I'll mention now of our study is that not all jurisdictions 22 23 actually believe that there should be a right to counsel at a bail hearing. 2.4 25 Supreme Court juris prudence on this is a

Page 32 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. little bit uncertain. Is this, what 3 lawyers would call, a critical stage? 4 And I think one of the things our 5 research clearly points to is given that the bail hearing and what happens there 6 has these clear causal impacts on what 7 happens later on in the case, it's very 8 9 difficult to argue that there isn't a Sixth Amendment right to counsel, that 10 11 this shouldn't qualify as a critical 12 stage. Now, that being said, as a 13 14 practical matter, how does one then 15 implement that? I think that there are 16 different models that we see throughout 17 the country. I think places that are 18 trying to start this kind of can begin 19 with the sort of nurse practitioner model. So we bring attorneys-in-training 20 21 to handle these hearings at the 22 beginning, but I think ultimately we want 23 to move beyond that and try and look at organizations like your own, the Defender 2.4 25 Association, that have I think more

Page 33 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. capable training and oversight ability 3 for attorneys. But that, of course, you know, requires resources in order to do 4 5 that well and it also requires good 6 coordination, because to get the right people there in the courtrooms and there's lots of kind of logistical 8 9 hurdles that the Defender Association and those who would represent these 10 11 defendants and the courts and the judges, 12 everyone is going to have to be on the 13 same page. 14 But you can think about 15 incrementally trying pilot programs, as I 16 know we're doing, where we expand 17 representation, as those are successful, 18 trying to roll that out to a greater 19 number of defendants. 20 So to summarize, I would say an incremental model, but a model which I 21 22 think we ultimately want to get to given 23 these findings where we have attorneys of the quality that we have beyond the bail 2.4 25 hearing in the courtroom there at the

Page 34 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 very beginning. And, again, I think one 3 of the messages is that if we make those 4 investments in the front end, ultimately 5 it may not cost us as much as we think, 6 because it translates into better outcomes for the defendants that are earnings, et cetera. 8 9 MS. BRADFORD-GREY: Thank you. COUNCILMAN JONES: Please get 10 11 to the -- you have to get to the mic. MR. MOSEE: So I have a 12 question about the research. 13 14 research concludes that all these 15 negative outcomes are attributable to the 16 single variable of detention. There's an 17 awful lot that goes into determining whether somebody should be detained in 18 addition to and over and above the cash 19 bail. 20 How does the research weed out 2.1 all of those other variables, including 22 23 things like prior record score, the seriousness of the offense, the certainty 2.4 25 that the person will be found guilty?

Page 35 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. All those things are taken into 3 consideration, and it seems to me that 4 they would have just as great a potential 5 impact on things like the length of the 6 ultimate sentence and the negative outcomes further down the road. 7 COUNCILMAN JONES: Mr. Mosee, 8 9 state your name and title for the record. 10 MR. MOSEE: My name is George 11 I'm the First Assistant in the 12 Philadelphia DA's Office. Thanks. So that's 13 MR. HEATON: 14 a very perceptive and an excellent 15 question and, again, I think one of the 16 things that differentiates the studies 17 I've cited from past research is, I do 18 think they do a better job. And the analogy I'll give to you as to how we do 19 20 that is, let's think about if we had some 21 sort of a new drug that we thought was 22 going to be helpful for cancer. We know there's all sorts of things that affect 23 people's health. How would we know for 2.4 25 sure what the effect of that drug was?

Page 36 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. We'd want a clinical trial. actually run an experiment where we give 3 some people the drug. Other randomly 4 5 selected people wouldn't get the drug, 6 and we'd be able to compare outcomes, and the difference in outcomes, if we ran our experiment correctly, would be 8 9 attributable to the drug and not any of those other factors. 10 11 So it turns out because of the 12 way we assign bail magistrates, we're actually running an experiment like that 13 14 right now in Philadelphia. So these 15 studies I describe actually exploit the 16 random assignment of defendants to 17 particular magistrates, and it turns out 18 that there are some magistrates who are a little bit more likely to detain certain 19 20 types of defendants and others who 21 aren't. And so that's the variation, 22 that random experimental variation that 23 we use to actually measure the effects of bail. 2.4 25 So from the researcher

|    |   | Page 37 |
|----|---|---------|
| 1  | 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 |         |
| 2  | perspective, we're actually fairly        |         |
| 3  | confident in these studies that we are    |         |
| 4  | able to abstract the effect of detention  |         |
| 5  | from other factors, notwithstanding the   |         |
| 6  | truth of what you say, which is that of   |         |
| 7  | course there's a myriad of factors that   |         |
| 8  | feed into something like the ultimate     |         |
| 9  | sentence.                                 |         |
| 10 | COUNCILMAN JONES: Are there               |         |
| 11 | any other questions from members of the   |         |
| 12 | panel?                                    |         |
| 13 | (No response.)                            |         |
| 14 | COUNCILMAN JONES: If not,                 |         |
| 15 | thank you so very much.                   |         |
| 16 | MS. BRADFORD-GREY: I'm sorry.             |         |
| 17 | I have one last question, basically       |         |
| 18 | piggybacking off of what First Assistant  |         |
| 19 | Mosee said.                               |         |
| 20 | Did your research determine               |         |
| 21 | that most of the detained individuals     |         |
| 22 | were more of the misdemeanor type cases,  |         |
| 23 | the ones that inherently those types of   |         |
| 24 | charges did not pose an extreme danger to |         |
| 25 | the community?                            |         |
| 23 |   |         |

|    |   | Page 38 |
|----|---|---------|
| 1  | 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 |         |
| 2  | MR. HEATON: Yeah. I'd                     |         |
| 3  | actually have to look back at the         |         |
| 4  | specific numbers. In the paper I cited    |         |
| 5  | by Megan, we do actually have the kind of |         |
| 6  | misdemeanor versus felony detention       |         |
| 7  | rates, but I don't remember that off the  |         |
| 8  | top of my head. But, yeah, I can give     |         |
| 9  | you that number later.                    |         |
| 10 | MS. BRADFORD-GREY: Thank you.             |         |
| 11 | COUNCILMAN JONES: Just one                |         |
| 12 | other quick follow-up. At the end of      |         |
| 13 | this study plan, there will be some type  |         |
| 14 | of algorithm that we plug all this data   |         |
| 15 | into and it would then be given to a      |         |
| 16 | judge to say what the risk assessment is. |         |
| 17 | It will be a tool, not an absolute,       |         |
| 18 | correct?                                  |         |
| 19 | MR. HEATON: I mean, I'll let              |         |
| 20 | someone who is more of an expert, which   |         |
| 21 | is probably Richard, speak to that        |         |
| 22 | specifically, but as I've seen risk       |         |
| 23 | assessment employed in other contexts,    |         |
| 24 | yeah, that's exactly right. So            |         |
| 25 | essentially what happens is, you have a   |         |

Page 39 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 questionnaire or something, a series of 2. 3 factors that are fed into a tool, and 4 then you get kind of a risk score in some 5 places. So something kind of like a 6 credit score that you might get, so it's a continuous number. Other jurisdictions actually just like to kind of divide 8 9 people into categories and say, hey, if your risk is above a certain threshold, 10 11 we're going to say you're a high-risk 12 person. They vary in the types of information, but the tool itself has the 13 14 ability to either provide these kind of 15 categorical classifications or to just 16 give you a number which provides a more nuance to view. 17 COUNCILMAN JONES: One of the 18 interesting things about being here is 19 20 you hear different hearings, and things 21 that are in one subject have almost implication in another. And one of the 22 23 tools being used by a lot of employers and HR people is this automatic 2.4 25 assessment of resumes. Some people don't

Page 40 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 get interviewed based on the fact that 2. the algorithm kicked them right out of 3 the interview process. And I know 4 5 there's no algorithm can determine someone's heart, initiative, things like 6 7 that, and drive to make a good employee. So I don't want the algorithms to be 8 9 absolute, almost like a credit score, but more a useful tool to sort through 10 11 individuals and cases. 12 MR. HEATON: I mean, I agree with that, and I think a good read of the 13 14 Constitution and the juris prudence 15 around this issue would suggest that we 16 do need a truly individualized process, 17 and I think that's what you're 18 suggesting. Now, there's disagreements about what exactly that means, but 19 20 certainly in my mind, it would encompass 21 trying to take into account the unique characteristics of defendants as well as 22 23 in a cash bail system if people are really kind of still insistent on using 2.4 25 cash bail despite its limitations, an

|    |   | Page | 41 |
|----|---|------|----|
| 1  | 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 |      |    |
| 2  | inquiry into people's ability to pay, and |      |    |
| 3  | that needs to be part of the calculation. |      |    |
| 4  | In my view, it seems likely               |      |    |
| 5  | that furnishing judges with this          |      |    |
| 6  | additional information is going to be     |      |    |
| 7  | helpful, particularly since the           |      |    |
| 8  | alternative is just letting people kind   |      |    |
| 9  | of fly by the seat of their pants and     |      |    |
| 10 | make their own judgments. And while I     |      |    |
| 11 | have great respect for the experience of  |      |    |
| 12 | our magistrates, I think what we know     |      |    |
| 13 | about human psychology is there's all     |      |    |
| 14 | sorts of extraneous things that can come  |      |    |
| 15 | in and affect our judgment.               |      |    |
| 16 | COUNCILMAN JONES: I trust                 |      |    |
| 17 | Judge Lerner's seat and his pants. I      |      |    |
| 18 | don't necessarily feel that way about     |      |    |
| 19 | everybody, you know.                      |      |    |
| 20 | JUDGE LERNER: I haven't worked            |      |    |
| 21 | midnight to 8:00 a.m. shift in a long     |      |    |
| 22 | time, but when I did, it was as a public  |      |    |
| 23 | defender, not as a judge.                 |      |    |
| 24 | COUNCILMAN JONES: Okay.                   |      |    |
| 25 | Are there any other questions?            |      |    |

|    |   | Page 42 |
|----|---|---------|
| 1  | 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 |         |
| 2  | (No response.)                            |         |
| 3  | COUNCILMAN JONES: Seeing none,            |         |
| 4  | thank you for your testimony.             |         |
| 5  | MR. HEATON: Thank you.                    |         |
| 6  | COUNCILMAN JONES:                         |         |
| 7  | Ms. Williams, will you please read the    |         |
| 8  | next name of the witness.                 |         |
| 9  | THE CLERK: Our next witness               |         |
| 10 | will be Richard McSorley. He's a member   |         |
| 11 | of the Committee. He'll be speaking on    |         |
| 12 | current pretrial services programs and    |         |
| 13 | expansion of electronic monitoring.       |         |
| 14 | COUNCILMAN JONES: Thank you               |         |
| 15 | very much. Will the witnesses please      |         |
| 16 | approach.                                 |         |
| 17 | (Witness approached witness               |         |
| 18 | table.)                                   |         |
| 19 | COUNCILMAN JONES: Thank you.              |         |
| 20 | You took the ride with us, right?         |         |
| 21 | MR. McSORLEY: Good afternoon,             |         |
| 22 | Councilman and panel. I'll get right to   |         |
| 23 | it. I think I'll be as brief as I can     |         |
| 24 | be. My name is Richard McSorley. I'm      |         |
| 25 | the Deputy Court Administrator for the    |         |
| 1  |   |         |

Page 43 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. Court of Common Pleas, the Criminal Trial Division. Pretrial Services as well as 4 the Adult Probation and Parole Unit are 5 part of that Criminal Trial Division. 6 I'm here to speak on behalf of Pretrial. The Director's name is Michael Bouchard. 7 He got married this past Saturday and 8 9 asked for off today, so... COUNCILMAN JONES: I think it's 10 11 appropriate. 12 MR. McSORLEY: I gave him the 13 whole day. 14 But I do have with me the Deputy Director, Sharon Malvestuto, and 15 16 the head of the EM Unit, Sam Turner, if 17 there's any follow-up questions that I 18 can't answer. 19 I think the most important way 20 to begin this statement is to ensure the 21 panel that they understand the mission of Pretrial Services nationwide. 22 We are focused on maximizing public safety and 23 ensuring the appearance in court of the 2.4 25 defendant, while holding true to the need

Page 44 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 for pretrial justice for each and every 2. 3 defendant. 4 The mission statement of the 5 Philadelphia Pretrial Services Division 6 is to provide services and supervision to clients and maximize community safety while promoting court appearances. A lot 8 9 of what we have discussed -- and I'm on the Committee -- we've been talking about 10 11 is what we hope happens in the future. I'm here to tell the panel and discuss 12 what we are currently doing and some of 13 14 what we would like to do in the future. 15 The groundwork for our 16 department begins with our Bail 17 Interviewing Unit. This unit interviews 18 every defendant who is arrested and charged 24/7/365 over a video system 19 20 prior to the arraignment in order to 21 gather demographic, court and criminal history, along with personal information 22 23 about the defendant that is used by the Arraignment Court magistrate while making 2.4 a bail determination. This information 25

Page 45 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. is also sent to the District Attorney and 3 Public Defender in the Arraignment Court. 4 So we interview about 35,000 to 40,000 5 defendants annually, and all this information becomes part of the case 7 file. Philadelphia's current pretrial 8 9 supervision has an array of levels. begin, the information gathered by the 10 11 Bail Interviewing Unit allows us to send 12 out those court reminders that were just discussed by the last presenter. We are 13 14 actually doing robo calls now. 15 happens when the defendant is released on 16 ROR or with supervision or on bail or in 17 just in any type whatsoever. 18 technology is not currently used by many of the surrounding counties and 19 20 jurisdictions. 2.1 And as a side note, I will say 22 I am old enough that I was around during 23 the Goldkamp days and there was implementation problems. I wasn't with 2.4 25 Pretrial then, but when I became the DCA

Page 46 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. three years ago, we immediately ramped up the robo call system. We put it in place 3 very quickly, and we're making thousands 4 5 a calls a month, by text, by e-mail, and 6 by phone call. So it's technology that's 7 been out there for a while and we just started using it about the last two 8 9 years, I believe. The next level of supervision 10 11 in Pretrial is when you're released on 12 ROSC Type I or Type II. supervisory programs make the defendant 13 14 come in for the initial orientation or 15 they have to make a phone call to 16 Pretrial. The officer explains to the 17 defendant what the requirements are, 18 tells them to appear in court, tell them they have to stay in touch with the court 19 20 system. Due to our volume, the call is 21 received by an automated system if you're 22 released just on having to make a phone 23 call. Every defendant is instructed on how to use the system. They also do 2.4 25 receive a list of service providers if

Page 47 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. they would like to take advantage of that type, but as we saw in Washington when I 3 went there with you, Councilman, we don't 4 5 have that time for the one-on-one talk 6 with the defendants. We don't have the 7 time to really get into a needs assessment, which is something we are 8 9 looking into doing hopefully in the 10 future. 11 The officers who oversee these 12 defendants that are released on ROSC Type I and Type II have a high volume of 13 14 cases, so the oversight is very limited. It would be ideal to have more resources 15 16 and one-on-one contact with each defendant in order to provide more 17 information and answer questions, but 18 that would require additional staffing. 19 20 And also you have to remember that we do all of this -- we do our arraignments, 21 unlike a lot of jurisdictions, 24/7/365, 22 23 no holidays, no weekends off. The next level of supervision 2.4 25 is direct supervision. At this level,

Page 48 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 the defendant is required to check in in person with an assigned pretrial officer 3 each week. There is flexibility at this 4 5 level of supervision to accommodate those 6 who are in school, who are employed or who have other time constraints. The caseload limit for each pretrial officer 8 is limited mostly due to the need of time 9 that this level of oversight requires. 10 11 If this type of supervision were to be 12 used more frequently by the court, we would need additional funding and have to 13 14 fund more positions due to the oversight. 15 Currently, each Pretrial 16 Services direct supervision officer has a 17 caseload of up to 25 to 30 defendants. The maximum amount should not exceed 35 18 if we're following the national pretrial 19 20 standards. So any increase in the number 21 puts strain on the Direct Supervision However, it's hoped that with the 22 Unit. MacArthur initiatives and additional 23 funding in the future, this higher level 2.4 25 of supervision cannot only be increased

Page 49 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 but those services that we've talked about before can be expanded and offered 3 at an earlier level. 4 5 The highest level of supervision, which is discussed a lot in 6 this Committee, is the electronic monitoring. This is an area where the 8 9 court requested and received actually a line item budget amount of \$92,000 last 10 11 year from City Council in order to increase our ankle bracelet volume. 12 fact that this was approved by Council 13 14 shows how important it is to fund this. 15 Currently, we are limited on 16 the number of ankle monitors we have, so 17 this form of supervision is generally reserved for those defendants needing a 18 high level of supervision and for Rule 19 20 600 cases. Quick explanation, Rule 600 21 cases, if a defendant is incarcerated from the time the complaint is filed to 22 23 the trial date over 180 days, he should be released on nominal bail or SOB bail. 2.4 25 There are some cases where it's over 180

Page 50 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. days and the request is made for more stringent oversight, and that would 3 4 become house arrest. 5 Defendants are put on a very strict schedule when they're on house 6 arrest, and if they fail to return to their home at an assigned time, an alert 8 9 goes off. Every alert is investigated to some degree by the EMU, the Electronic 10 11 Monitoring Unit, which operates 24/7/365. 12 This too is unique to Philadelphia. Many jurisdictions do not monitor 24/7. 13 14 There's a lot of misinformation out there 15 that people think that you're on EM and 16 any time of the day or night something 17 happens, SWAT comes through the windows 18 and snatches the person up. That is not the case. But in Philadelphia, we do 19 20 monitor 24/7, and we don't just wait 21 until the next business day, which is what a lot of counties do. In fact, I 22 think all the other counties in 23 Philadelphia that have EM do not operate 2.4 25 24/7 -- I mean in Pennsylvania.

|    |   | Page 51 |
|----|---|---------|
| 1  | 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 |         |
| 2  | The EMU in 2015 processed                 |         |
| 3  | 52,306 alerts. Each of these has to be    |         |
| 4  | recorded, documented and, to some degree, |         |
| 5  | investigated. Still it's our hope to      |         |
| 6  | expand the use of EM in Pretrial and      |         |
| 7  | convert to better technology. Pretrial    |         |
| 8  | just recently, because of the MacArthur   |         |
| 9  | grant, issued an RFP for new technology   |         |
| 10 | and is in the process of selecting a      |         |
| 11 | vendor to update our technology to        |         |
| 12 | wireless and GPS units. This is in part   |         |
| 13 | due to MacArthur, but it's also the       |         |
| 14 | direction that the First Judicial         |         |
| 15 | District has been headed for some time.   |         |
| 16 | We knew that landline technology is       |         |
| 17 | coming to an end. It's going to be a day  |         |
| 18 | very quickly that people are not going to |         |
| 19 | have phones in their houses anymore, and  |         |
| 20 | we're trying to get ready for that.       |         |
| 21 | So we would like to expand the            |         |
| 22 | use of GPS and wireless, which we feel is |         |
| 23 | absolutely necessary, but that's going to |         |
| 24 | mean an increase in funding, because a    |         |
| 25 | lot of the funding we're getting right    |         |

Page 52 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. now is just to replace the archaic equipment to GPS and wireless. 3 4 It is our opinion that Pretrial 5 is going to play a critical role in the 6 criminal justice reformation. In fact, 7 the majority of the MacArthur initiatives and grants are Pretrial initiatives. 8 9 There's a critical need to improve and increase our services that will require 10 11 dedicated funding. Director Bouchard and 12 I recently joined you, Councilman Jones, and other members of the panel when we 13 14 went to Washington. 15 I'd just like to point out the 16 Washington trip, because I think we have to realize that we are talking somewhat 17 about apples and oranges when we're 18 talking about Philadelphia and 19 20 Washington. Washington has a 21 phenomenally federally funded agency that allows them to release over 90 percent of 22 23 their defendants arrested on some type of pretrial supervisory program while 2.4 25 providing intense social services, and as

Page 53 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. we saw, they provide those services 3 immediately, before you're even arraigned. In a sense, they have 4 eliminated cash bail and instead use a 5 6 proven risk tool to determine who is released and who must remain in custody. They do have support from all their 8 9 justice partners and have been functioning this way for over 15 years. 10 11 However, they also have a 12 budget that's nearly ten times that of Philadelphia, with a population that is 13 14 less than half the size of Philadelphia, but nevertheless their model is one that 15 16 we have looked at for a long time, we 17 strive to achieve, and we hope as more 18 resources are dedicated to pretrial supervision instead of incarceration, we 19 will be able to eliminate cash bail and 20 21 increase pretrial release while ensuring public safety. And you did say, 22 23 Councilman Jones, public safety, public safety, public safety. We're well aware 2.4 25 of that commitment.

Page 54 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. Lastly, I just want to touch on and as I know will be discussed later 3 that there's been much discussion about 4 5 the use of day reporting centers. 6 centers are currently used in Allegheny 7 County. We have explored them. We also know that Allegheny County due to their 8 9 own funding constraints only staff these day centers on a very limited basis and 10 11 limited times. Pretrial Services, along 12 with its partners in Adult Probation and Parole, are willing to work with the 13 14 Philadelphia Prison System and the City 15 to explore this option. It could be a 16 powerful resource, but one that needs to 17 be fully explored and funded so that it can be tailored to meet the needs of 18 Philadelphia's population. 19 20 Being in this system for over 21 32 years, from Municipal Court to the Court of common Pleas, I have learned one 22 23 thing when I've gone to other jurisdictions to look at any kind of 2.4 25 model, whether it's the way the district

Page 55 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. attorney does cases, the way the defender 3 does cases, the way the court does cases, that every jurisdiction -- you could have 4 5 a base model, but everything has to be tailored to that jurisdiction. 6 7 Philadelphia is not Pittsburgh. not Ohio City in Pennsylvania. It is not 8 9 Ohio. We have different people, different needs, different responses, and 10 11 we all have to be aware of that as we look at another model. We can't just 12 say, well, let's just take what they do, 13 14 dump it over here and it's going to work. 15 All this is going to take 16 working together. And what will be 17 critical as the jail population decreases is that our agency is going to be tasked 18 with the critical role of supervising 19 more and more defendants on various 20 21 levels of supervision, which means more supervision resources and more social 22 services resources. 23 Along with this Committee, 2.4 25 though, the Court of Common Pleas, the

|    |   | Page 56 |
|----|---|---------|
| 1  | 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 |         |
| 2  | Pretrial Services Division is focused on  |         |
| 3  | the mission which is paramount to all of  |         |
| 4  | us: public safety, reduction of our       |         |
| 5  | pretrial prison population, and           |         |
| 6  | appearance in court. It is our hope that  |         |
| 7  | as this national focus on pretrial        |         |
| 8  | release and non-cash bail gains momentum, |         |
| 9  | that the Philadelphia Pretrial Division   |         |
| 10 | will be ready to accept the challenges    |         |
| 11 | and become a model in the future for      |         |
| 12 | other jurisdictions.                      |         |
| 13 | That's my statement, if there's           |         |
| 14 | any questions.                            |         |
| 15 | COUNCILMAN JONES: So for me               |         |
| 16 | this is the most I've ever heard you      |         |
| 17 | talk, and it was well worth waiting for.  |         |
| 18 | Did I see you push your button?           |         |
| 19 | MR. COBB: After you, sir.                 |         |
| 20 | COUNCILMAN JONES: No. You go              |         |
| 21 | first.                                    |         |
| 22 | MR. COBB: Thank you for your              |         |
| 23 | testimony.                                |         |
| 24 | Depending on who you ask, it              |         |
| 25 | costs the City of Philadelphia somewhere  |         |
|    |   |         |

Page 57 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. between \$125 to \$140 a day. What is the 3 cost for your highest -- what's the highest cost output on a daily basis for 4 5 the services that you provide? 6 MR. McSORLEY: Well, the 7 equipment cost for putting somebody on EM is going to be \$1,300. That's with the 8 9 ankle bracelet and with the monitoring device. But that's a one-time cost for 10 the equipment. The monitoring of each 11 12 defendant is going to be the salary of somebody who is working that day of the 13 14 EM Unit people. It's going to -- I don't 15 have it broken down by dollar amount, but 16 it's not going to be nearly what it is to 17 incarcerate somebody on a daily basis. 18 MR. ROJAS: In listening to your testimony, one of the things that I 19 20 see -- that I would like to see, because I see an absence of it, is what role does 21 22 the family support system play in your 23 plans to actually keep somebody out and do the supervision? Because a lot of 2.4 25 times family pressure and family

Page 58 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. interactions have something to do on an 3 individual's behavior. 4 MR. McSORLEY: Well, obviously 5 family is going to be paramount. My own 6 personal experience of working in this 7 criminal justice system for over 30 years is that if you have family that supports 8 9 you, you're more likely not to recidivate. You're more likely to show 10 11 up. 12 From a pretrial perspective, if family gets involved with the 13 14 client/defendant early on, we're going to 15 have somebody who is going to help us 16 that when we direct the person to go to AA, when we direct the person to go to 17 18 NA, when we direct the person to have to show up in court, if there's somebody in 19 20 the family that is going to be there to assist them, I think that when we do our 21 intake interview and we will realize 22 23 that, that would be something as we develop programs for something like a 2.4 25 step-down program. You're arrested,

Page 59 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. you're on high supervision, we've learned 3 more about you, we learn about the family, we have more contact, we start to 4 5 see that you're doing the things that 6 you're supposed to be doing, you're going 7 into counseling, whatever it takes, and it's not even the trial date yet, there 8 9 could be a possibility that we would go to court with the defense counsel or with 10 the Commonwealth and say, We believe that 11 12 this person could be on a step-down 13 program. 14 For EM, I can tell you that 15 family is paramount, because without the family's approval many times, we can't 16 17 even put the person on an EM bracelet. And in fact, I have some stats that I 18 just got from Mr. Turner this morning 19 20 that we have about 118 pending court 21 orders for people on EM; 48 had no contact information, 13 there's phone 22 23 issues, one family refused to have the 2.4 person put on EM. So when it comes to 25 pretrial, that's one of the resources

Page 60 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. that we do take into account that we 3 would use in the future, and I think it's a powerful one if we can use it, but it's 4 5 also -- I don't want it to ever become 6 something that's used against the 7 defendant, though, if there is no family support. So it's just one of those 8 9 factors that's taken into account when we're doing our assessment of the 10 11 defendant and his release and what 12 supervision he's going to need. MR. ROJAS: So it is included 13 14 in the risk assessment? 15 MR. McSORLEY: I'm not talking 16 about the risk assessment tool now, 17 because that hasn't been developed yet. But I think after the risk assessment is 18 initially stepped -- first of all, all 19 this information we take in in Pretrial 20 21 now. We ask the people while they're in lockup before the arraignment, how long 22 23 have you lived in Philadelphia, do you have a family here, do you have children, 2.4 25 do you have education, are you in the

Page 61 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. military. We have all these questions so 3 that the person going before a 4 Philadelphia Arraignment Court 5 magistrate, there's a wealth of information that they have, knowing 6 7 already the roots of that person's ties to the community. And with the new risk 8 9 assessment, I'm not -- I can't speak to that because it's still in development, 10 11 but I'm sure that those kind of things 12 are going to be taken into account. 13 MR. ROJAS: Okav. 14 COUNCILMAN JONES: And thank 15 you for taking the trip to DC with us. 16 Big differences, and help me out with 17 this, between our current pretrial, their 18 pretrial. Number one, DC is a subsidiary of the federal government. Big price tag 19 20 came with that. I think it was \$83 21 million in pretrial end of it. So if you were to look at two models, ours and 22 23 theirs, they shifted money on the front end to make sure that their process had 2.4 25 some follow-up and follow-through.

Page 62 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. instead of somebody saying you're out and we want you to go and hopefully you'll go 3 and maybe you will and even if you don't, 4 5 oh, well, they really kind of put an onus and urgency of a case -- if a social 6 7 worker and a probation officer had a baby, it would be their case managers. 8 9 So they're tough loving you, but they're loving you, and they're making sure you 10 11 go to your appointed rounds, and if you violate that, there's an immediate 12 consequence. So you're like, man, this 13 14 is the father I didn't have. This is the monitor I need. And so a lot of times 15 16 that immediate observation keeps people 17 on the path. Is that what you found? 18 MR. McSORLEY: That's what I found. But I will -- there's caveats to 19 20 everything. With our increased volume, 21 one of the things that they do that we don't do is that you sit until those 22 assessments and all that information and 23 the social worker can talk to the 2.4 25 defendant, and then they're held in their

Page 63 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. county prison and then they're 3 transferred to the arraignment courtroom 4 that we saw. So if you're arrested on a 5 Friday night, you're probably going to 6 sit until Monday, where if you're 7 arrested on a holiday, you're probably going to sit. Whereas in Philadelphia, 8 9 there's need to make sure that we have very timely arraignments, and that's why 10 11 we do them 24/7. 12 So I quess to answer that 13 question, even if I had their budget, I 14 would probably need more than their budget because I would want to do what 15 16 they do --17 COUNCILMAN JONES: We know 18 you'd be way more efficient than them. 19 We understand that. That's a given. 20 MR. McSORLEY: But we would 21 want to do all those things 24/7/365. don't think we ever want to go back to 22 23 the days of keeping somebody over a weekend just to have some sort of bail 2.4 25 hearing.

Page 64 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. But their needs assessment and 3 their ability to get involved in the 4 defendant's life right away was very 5 impressive. And I would love to be able 6 to have every defendant that gets 7 released on non-monetary bail report to Pretrial within 48 hours of their release 8 9 and we jump right into it and open a case file and have a meeting and discuss what 10 11 their needs are, but we don't have the 12 space, we don't have the people, we don't have the time. 13 14 COUNCILMAN JONES: The other 15 exception to holding people was 16 extradition. If you were wanted in 17 another county, you got held. There was 18 no release. And that was an observation 19 that we made. 20 MR. McSORLEY: That's another 2.1 difference with us. We actually set bail 22 on people that are wanted for extradition, because we do have a lot of 23 cases that they're wanted in Camden and 2.4 25 it's a retail theft charge, and I know

Page 65 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 from my experience in Municipal Court 2. 3 we're not going to set a high bail on 4 that kind of extradition case. 5 you're right. In Washington, though, 6 they just got pushed right over to the 7 side and they're held. COUNCILMAN JONES: Do you have 8 9 any insight as to the recent legislation passed in New Jersey? Are you looking 10 11 at --I have read 12 MR. McSORLEY: about it. I have gone to the national 13 14 pretrial conferences -- not the national; 15 the Pennsylvania and met some of our 16 counterparts in Jersey. The only insight I can give you is that they are 17 18 struggling like we are struggling. have a timeline. They're trying to get 19 20 things up and running as quickly as 21 possible. I think Mr. Heaton made a 22 23 comment about implementation. When the Goldkamp study was done in Philadelphia, 2.4 25 there was a lot of things like ROSC that

Page 66 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. were put out there but not implemented 3 properly. Either the resources or the 4 time and the effort might not have been 5 made correctly. 6 New Jersey is going to be interesting to watch, because I think 7 they're trying to do a whole lot very 8 9 quickly, and their implementation of their pretrial remains to be seen and 10 what the outcomes are going to be and how 11 12 they're going to be measured remains to 13 be seen. 14 COUNCILMAN JONES: So one of 15 the things that -- I can only speak for 16 myself -- is this is not a quick fix. 17 You would have to shift -- it's like a giant ocean turning, and it's not a speed 18 19 boat. You have to shift resources. 20 have to re-appropriate budgets. You have 21 to make sure job descriptions are -- and not to mention civil service and union 22 23 rules that you have to figure out. however and nevertheless, it seems to be 2.4 25 working in DC. Is that your assessment?

Page 67 1 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 2. MR. McSORLEY: Yes. And T think that's the path we want to go down. 3 I will be candid and say Philadelphia's 4 5 pretrial mission several years ago was 6 more of if you don't show up, we will 7 come and find you and get you if we can find you and get you. That was our 8 9 number one priority. Now I'd like to shift that culture to release the people 10 11 that we can release and provide them the 12 services and what they need in order that they show up and not have that mindset of 13 14 everybody who is arrested is danger to 15 the community and is going to be 16 released. If they're released, then we 17 have to go out and do something about it. 18 So I like the way we're shifting, but even within the Pretrial 19 20 Services Division in Philadelphia, it has 21 been a shift to go back to that mission statement of we are here to serve our 22 23 clients, maximize public safety, and get 2.4 the people to appear in court instead of 25 reacting to the people when they don't

|    |   | Page 68 |
|----|---|---------|
| 1  | 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 |         |
| 2  | appear in court.                          |         |
| 3  | COUNCILMAN JONES: I'd love to             |         |
| 4  | hear your opinion and that gentleman down |         |
| 5  | at the end                                |         |
| 6  | MR. EL-SHABAZZ: I bet you                 |         |
| 7  | would.                                    |         |
| 8  | COUNCILMAN JONES: on the                  |         |
| 9  | record on this concept.                   |         |
| 10 | MS. BRADFORD-GREY: Well, I                |         |
| 11 | just want to ask, basically when we       |         |
| 12 | discuss looking at the way we administer  |         |
| 13 | bail here in Philadelphia, you say we do  |         |
| 14 | a lot based on risk because we look at    |         |
| 15 | the charges and, of course, the history   |         |
| 16 | and extract. You said we haven't yet got  |         |
| 17 | into looking at needs, but that is the    |         |
| 18 | way to go.                                |         |
| 19 | I wanted to ask you in terms of           |         |
| 20 | the people that are released on ROR,      |         |
| 21 | where we do have some further options or  |         |
| 22 | opportunities to provide services, have   |         |
| 23 | we assessed what that recidivism rate has |         |
| 24 | produced?                                 |         |
| 25 | MR. McSORLEY: For the people              |         |

Page 69 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. released on ROR, what the recidivism rate 3 is? 4 MS. BRADFORD-GREY: Where we've 5 been able to get pretrial services to 6 them and give them some kind of 7 opportunity to address their issues, have we found what rate of return we had on 8 9 that in terms of reduced recidivism? MR. McSORLEY: Well, first, if 10 11 the person is released on ROR, there's 12 almost no pretrial contact except for the robo call. So they're not being offered 13 14 anything or told anything. They're just 15 hopefully showing up. 16 MS. BRADFORD-GREY: T mean in 17 some form of ROR where you say it's -- in 18 your statement it says Pretrial Services provides a list of service providers if 19 20 they would like to take advantage of any 21 social services. Basically what I'm asking, based on all the things you have 22 23 in here where you say that people are trying to have the opportunity to address 2.4 25 their needs, have you found that that has

Page 70 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 actually happened and, if so, what rate 2. of return have we had in terms of reduced 3 4 recidivism? 5 MR. McSORLEY: We do not have 6 an answer to what the success rate is because of the volume, because we don't have the ability to follow up. 8 If the 9 person is released on special conditions and they self-disclose that there's 10 issues and we have literally a list of 11 12 resources, we can say, Here's your list of resources, but I've now already spent 13 14 as much time as I can with you because I 15 have a line going out the door. So good 16 luck, and I hope you use those resources. 17 Whether the people follow up or not or use them and whether those people show up 18 in court as opposed to other people, we 19 20 have not had the ability to measure that 21 impact at all. That is, again, something 22 that as we go down with this new way of 23 pretrial, we need to be able to measure 2.4 our outcomes so we can see what works. 25 We don't want to over-supervise

Page 71 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 somebody, make people go into counseling 2. 3 that don't need counseling if they just need to have a resource made to them, but 4 5 it's something that we just can't answer 6 now. And it's one of our struggles that we have to overcome, that we don't know what happens after the person leaves, to 8 9 see if they follow up and go to court. The only thing we do know is that we have 10 11 about 38,000 outstanding bench warrants in Philadelphia, so we have a lot of 12 people who don't show up in court. 13 14 MS. BRADFORD-GREY: And do we 15 understand the reasons why for those 16 bench warrants? I know that as a practitioner, I understand that there's 17 18 often information generated that don't go to the addresses that the people are 19 20 actually living at or they have a 21 stay-away order from the address that they were living at, so they're living 22 somewhere else. There's a lot of 23 logistics that go into that as well. 2.4 25 I know that we do have right now a pilot

Page 72 1 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 2. program where we're doing early bail 3 reviews. 4 MR. McSORLEY: Right. 5 MS. BRADFORD-GREY: And in 6 terms of that pilot program, where someone is held in custody on \$50,000 bail or less with certain offenses, not 8 9 inherently violent offenses, they are given a five-day review hearing. And 10 that's only been going for, I think, a 11 12 few weeks, maybe a little over a month, but we've had some great successes in 13 14 terms of people getting where they needed 15 to be with a more in-depth interview or discussion in terms of their terms of 16 17 release for bail purposes or not in order 18 to avoid bail. 19 MR. McSORLEY: Right. 20 MS. BRADFORD-GREY: So it seems 21 like the more we put into the bail hearing process, the more people feel 22 23 like they've been treated fairly and in terms they are willing to, I guess, 2.4 25 follow the directions and do what is

Page 73 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. necessary. Because I do know we do have 3 people who are homeless who make it into 4 their Pretrial Service providers or their 5 Pretrial Services Unit to report. So the 6 psychology seems to be playing -- it 7 plays a factor. If people are processed over a screen where it's less humanizing, 8 9 they have less incentive to adhere to the conditions, but where we see that people 10 11 are actually given the time and given an 12 opportunity to express their needs or opportunities to address their needs, 13 14 they actually are following through. 15 MR. McSORLEY: And I think 16 that's a very good point but, again, 17 there's always a trade-off. If we -- I 18 worked in the days, because I'm old enough, that we used to bring everybody 19 to the Roundhouse when I was a clerk 20 21 there and I started in the Municipal Court, and everybody was handcuffed 20 at 22 23 a time together and brought into the courtroom, and it was all in person, 2.4 25 except for some limited CCTV hearings.

Page 74 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. And when we went to the Criminal Justice Center and video, the Defenders 3 Association and others had the concern 4 5 about video. The trade-off was, though, 6 we're not transporting defendants from all over the City to one location, and instead of being incarcerated or held in 8 9 police custody for 24 to 30 hours waiting for an arraignment, we're now down to six 10 to ten hours, because we are doing it via 11 12 video. So for this type of hearing, it was felt that using the technology that's 13 14 available and getting the person out of 15 custody as fast as possible or at least 16 have a hearing as fast as possible 17 outweighed that one-to-one, face-to-face a lot more information that you're going 18 19 to get later. 20 Now, with the bail review, that 21 kind of thing is starting to happen. 22 have heard promising numbers. 23 under the Philadelphia Municipal Court with President Judge Neifield, and a lot 2.4 25 of those defendants are being released on

Page 75 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. direct supervision. And Mr. Bouchard as 3 the Director has been keeping me up to 4 date and letting me know it seems to be 5 working, but now we're moving towards a 6 way from that cash bail to direct 7 supervision. So now the pretrial resources are getting taxed more and more 8 9 and more. This is just one review pilot 10 program. If it started to spread down the road, which I'm not saying wouldn't 11 12 be a good thing, that's a lot of direct supervision that all the sudden we 13 14 wouldn't be able to handle. So it's a 15 lot of moving parts all at the same time, 16 and as the Councilman said, it is turning 17 that ocean liner around in the bay 18 without trying to knock over a few piers, because then you know you have pier 19 20 pressure. 21 MR. EL-SHABAZZ: If we can bring it back to reality, one of the 22 23 things is that we're talking about money. I heard you say that over and over again. 2.4 25 We're talking about money. Even in that

Page 76 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. pilot program, the District Attorney's 3 Office is not being financed. No one is funding Assistant District Attorneys to 4 5 come over to that particular room to do anything. So we are limited in 6 7 resources, and being limited in resources, you have to pick your 8 9 important issues. For example, do you send 10 11 someone to a preliminary hearing on a 12 felony charge or do you send them to the room where just the Public Defender is 13 14 arguing at this point. Well, this is 15 review. So if the funding is available, 16 then we can support that particular room. That's the first thing. 17 The second thing is, you asked 18 a question about the people that fail to 19 20 appear, the bench warrants, and then you 21 were giving, well, some people go to the wrong address, and it does. Some people 22 23 are homeless and there's no address, and that happens too, but then there are 2.4 25 other people that just abscond, they

Page 77 1 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 don't come, they leave. So if you're 2. going to do the research on that as to 3 what's the numbers on the people where it 4 goes to the wrong address or the numbers 5 6 on the people that are homeless, we have 7 to have the numbers or that type of study, and based on your testimony, I 8 9 didn't hear you say that you have the study on that. So we don't even know 10 11 what that is. It could be 10 percent of 12 the people it goes to the wrong address and 90 percent of the people are 13 14 absconding. We don't know that at this 15 time because there's no research. 16 So what you're basically saying 17 is that although we're looking at Washington, DC, although we're looking at 18 these other places that seem to have some 19 20 success, one of the things that makes 21 them successful are the resources, the funds, the ability to have the social 22 23 workers, the ability to have the programs, the ability to have all of 2.4 25 those things in place. My question is,

|    |   | Page | 78 |
|----|---|------|----|
| 1  | 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 |      |    |
| 2  | has anyone made you aware of the source   |      |    |
| 3  | of funding in the City and County of      |      |    |
| 4  | Philadelphia that would allow you and     |      |    |
| 5  | allow us to put all these things in place |      |    |
| 6  | so that now we have resources, we have    |      |    |
| 7  | the individualized counselors that can    |      |    |
| 8  | counsel people, we have all the social    |      |    |
| 9  | services that are available so that we    |      |    |
| 10 | can actually put this in place or is that |      |    |
| 11 | a major stumbling block? It doesn't mean  |      |    |
| 12 | that we don't explore issues. It doesn't  |      |    |
| 13 | mean that we don't look at particular     |      |    |
| 14 | benefits of changing this program, but we |      |    |
| 15 | have to deal with the reality. Without    |      |    |
| 16 | the resources, the trained individuals,   |      |    |
| 17 | the social service programs, those        |      |    |
| 18 | individuals that we need to have in       |      |    |
| 19 | place, even the electronic monitoring     |      |    |
| 20 | system and having enough of them, we're   |      |    |
| 21 | just talking hypothetically at this time. |      |    |
| 22 | MR. McSORLEY: Correct.                    |      |    |
| 23 | MR. EL-SHABAZZ: Okay.                     |      |    |
| 24 | MR. McSORLEY: I do want to                |      |    |
| 25 | make one point, though, because the       |      |    |

Page 79 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 address thing keeps coming up. 2. 3 Philadelphia we don't use a summons under the rules where they do in a lot of other 4 5 jurisdictions and counties. If it's a 6 misdemeanor, the police release you and 7 then they send you a summons for your In Philadelphia, every single 8 date. 9 misdemeanor to felony defendant is arraigned and handed a subpoena with 10 11 their next court date. So if the address 12 is bad, if they're homeless, if they move, their initial date was given to 13 14 them. So they know what their date is, 15 without question. Now, if they move 16 later and there's continuances and stuff, 17 there is that rule out there that says that the defendant has -- the burden is 18 on the defendant to make sure that he 19 20 stays in touch with the court system. 21 I understand things happen, 22 people move, you're sleeping on couches 23 all over the place, you lose your subpoenas, you sober up three days later 2.4 25 and you don't even know that you were

Page 80 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. arraigned. I understand all those 3 things, but a lot of people think that we're sending out subpoenas to the wrong 4 5 address. We might be sending out the 6 subpoenas to the wrong address, but not 7 for that initial appearance. MR. EL-SHABAZZ: So at the end 8 9 of the day, what we're talking about reforming is more than just looking at a 10 11 model and trying to get rid of cash bail. 12 It's actually using that ocean liner, that big ocean liner, and it's turning 13 14 slowly. And so some of the things that 15 have to happen is trained individuals, 16 right, social service programs developed, 17 alcohol, drug treatment, domestic violence training, whatever it may be, 18 which costs money, all of the -- having 19 20 the bail review but having the District 21 Attorney's Office as well as, I think, the Public Defender's Office is financed 22 23 for it, but I don't know if they are or 2.4 not. 25 MS. BRADFORD-GREY: Yes, we

```
Page 81
 1
        9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101
         are.
                   MR. EL-SHABAZZ:
                                    They're
 4
         financed; we're not financed.
 5
                   MS. BRADFORD-GREY: No, we're
 6
         not financed.
                        I'm sorry. We're there.
                   MR. EL-SHABAZZ: Well, whether
         they're there or not, we don't have
 8
 9
         enough people in the District Attorney's
         Office to service that particular area.
10
11
         We can hire some more, but it's economic.
12
         So at the end of the day, we're talking
         about locating a funding stream, as I
13
14
         think the Councilman said so eloquently,
15
         and taking money from some place else and
16
         reallocating it. Now, I just want to
17
         know where that money is.
18
                   COUNCILMAN JONES:
                                      That's why
19
         I'm so glad he's on this side of the
20
         table now. He's joined the ranks of
21
         government and understands how difficult
22
         it is to make something happen.
23
                   MR. McSORLEY: I think he was
         looking at me but talking to you,
2.4
25
         Councilman.
```

|    |   | Page | 82 |
|----|---|------|----|
| 1  | 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 |      |    |
| 2  | COUNCILMAN JONES: I know he               |      |    |
| 3  | was. So were you. You mentioned money     |      |    |
| 4  | five, ten times. I get it. I get it.      |      |    |
| 5  | MR. EL-SHABAZZ: I just wanted             |      |    |
| 6  | that to be on video. Is that on video?    |      |    |
| 7  | Do I have it on audio too? Money.         |      |    |
| 8  | COUNCILMAN JONES: It's on                 |      |    |
| 9  | video.                                    |      |    |
| 10 | So here's the thing. The                  |      |    |
| 11 | process and why we are here is to say     |      |    |
| 12 | what the needs are, and something you     |      |    |
| 13 | said earlier in my ear, not on the        |      |    |
| 14 | record, was if it was a perfect world,    |      |    |
| 15 | what would we want. And the reason why    |      |    |
| 16 | President Clarke empowered this           |      |    |
| 17 | commission was to do that in time on a    |      |    |
| 18 | timeline for January. That's why there's  |      |    |
| 19 | a sense of urgency of this Committee      |      |    |
| 20 | doing this work, because that begins the  |      |    |
| 21 | budget process.                           |      |    |
| 22 | And so, no, we're not going to            |      |    |
| 23 | get all we want. We could                 |      |    |
| 24 | MR. EL-SHABAZZ: So can you                |      |    |
| 25 | write that down, Councilman?              |      |    |

|    |   | Page | 83 |
|----|---|------|----|
| 1  | 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 |      |    |
| 2  | COUNCILMAN JONES: You can                 |      |    |
| 3  | write                                     |      |    |
| 4  | MR. EL-SHABAZZ: Funding to the            |      |    |
| 5  | District Attorney.                        |      |    |
| 6  | COUNCILMAN JONES: I think the             |      |    |
| 7  | press is there and the stenographer is    |      |    |
| 8  | there.                                    |      |    |
| 9  | MR. McSORLEY: I think you're              |      |    |
| 10 | talking about funding for the court       |      |    |
| 11 | system.                                   |      |    |
| 12 | COUNCILMAN JONES: We will not             |      |    |
| 13 | get everything we want, but we can begin  |      |    |
| 14 | the process.                              |      |    |
| 15 | I remember sitting in this                |      |    |
| 16 | Chamber three years ago when              |      |    |
| 17 | then-President Judge Dougherty said with  |      |    |
| 18 | about \$150,000 investment, we could get  |      |    |
| 19 | GPS technology that would reduce and I    |      |    |
| 20 | remember hearing it echoed through these  |      |    |
| 21 | halls, and nobody was paying attention.   |      |    |
| 22 | What has changed? President Obama has     |      |    |
| 23 | said this is a priority. The Mayor of     |      |    |
| 24 | the City of Philadelphia said this is a   |      |    |
| 25 | priority. The President of City Council   |      |    |

|    |   | Page 84 |
|----|---|---------|
| 1  | 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 |         |
| 2  | said this is a priority. And all three    |         |
| 3  | of them control the purse strings of our  |         |
| 4  | budgets. So what they want is not         |         |
| 5  | just you know what I think this is.       |         |
| 6  | What this panel has decided to do was to  |         |
| 7  | research it, get evidence to it, so that  |         |
| 8  | when I have to convince nine colleagues   |         |
| 9  | why you need more money, I have some      |         |
| 10 | grounds other than the fact that Tariq is |         |
| 11 | there.                                    |         |
| 12 | MR. EL-SHABAZZ: I can supply              |         |
| 13 | you with whatever you need to convince    |         |
| 14 | your colleagues that we need more money   |         |
| 15 | over there.                               |         |
| 16 | COUNCILMAN JONES: Co-Chair.               |         |
| 17 | MS. BRADFORD-GREY:                        |         |
| 18 | Mr. McSorley, I think too I don't want    |         |
| 19 | to get away from what our goals are, but  |         |
| 20 | our goals are to increase public safety,  |         |
| 21 | and what we heard from the first          |         |
| 22 | testifier was that detention doesn't do   |         |
| 23 | that. So if our goal is to increase       |         |
| 24 | public safety and we understand the       |         |
| 25 | models that help us get there, then why   |         |

Page 85 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. can't we find the money to do so? Because what we're doing is not working. 3 We can't incarcerate our way into public 4 5 safety. We continue to do this and get 6 the same results. So now it's time to 7 figure out what pilot programs work, study that through research, and continue 8 9 to increase those resources to that end. Because I have to believe that when we 10 11 release someone, it's pulling from a 12 budget from somewhere else where we've been incarcerating them. And I know 13 14 Mr. Cobb asked a great question. It's 15 about \$125 to \$145 a day to incarcerate. 16 We really don't know the exact number, but if you have certain medical needs, 17 it's even more. And so in terms of 18 understanding that type of financial 19 20 outcome or impact, why can't we then look 21 at a better model that will give us better returns on our efforts? 22 23 taxpayers dollars are really going into a system that is not allowing people to 2.4 25 continue to contribute to the tax base

Page 86 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. because they're detained and losing jobs, 3 that are not necessarily giving us more 4 increased public safety, and sometimes 5 making people more desperate and that 6 they are losing really valuable public, I 7 guess, benefits that they had going in and once they are released, they have to 8 9 start all over. Many people lose mental health medication or things of that 10 nature that is required for them to 11 12 function. So I think if we stop looking 13 14 at this like it's only a money gain, we 15 have to look at it is that it's a goal to 16 increase public safety and what do we 17 need to do to get there. And so that's kind of where I would like to see what is 18 better practice in terms of increasing 19 20 public safety, reducing recidivism, and 21 helping people stay employed if they were 22 so when they went in. MR. EL-SHABAZZ: And I would 23 like to see how we can do that without 2.4 25 money. Now, if you can find a way to do

|    |   | Page 87 |
|----|---|---------|
| 1  | 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 |         |
| 2  | it without money, we can do it. I just    |         |
| 3  | want to find a way to do it. No one is    |         |
| 4  | saying and I've never said and I          |         |
| 5  | haven't heard anyone else on this panel   |         |
| 6  | say we're not dealing with the            |         |
| 7  | humanistic standpoint at all. And anyone  |         |
| 8  | that knows me know that's not what it's   |         |
| 9  | about. At the end of the day, we're       |         |
| 10 | talking about putting together programs   |         |
| 11 | and talking about putting together        |         |
| 12 | resources that make them available to a   |         |
| 13 | community so that the community can       |         |
| 14 | benefit. I understand what we're talking  |         |
| 15 | about. The question is, where can we      |         |
| 16 | draw from? Where can we get that from so  |         |
| 17 | that we can begin to do that? That's      |         |
| 18 | what I'm saying.                          |         |
| 19 | COUNCILMAN JONES: The                     |         |
| 20 | assumption is that it has to cost money.  |         |
| 21 | It does not have to cost more money. It   |         |
| 22 | does not.                                 |         |
| 23 | MR. EL-SHABAZZ: I don't care              |         |
| 24 | where we get it from.                     |         |
| 25 | COUNCILMAN JONES: So it is a              |         |
|    |   |         |

Page 88 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. shift sometimes from one column to the 3 other in reducing one cost and increasing another by way of service. And I think 4 there is at least some belief within this 5 6 body that some of the redirection of 7 resources, whether it's in DHS, big cost center of ours, Prisons, big cost --8 9 one-third of all of our budget is in police, courts, and prisons. We can't do 10 11 anything without looking at how we administer those funds. It may mean some 12 13 increases in grants from something, but 14 at some point, it's going to take major 15 shifts. 16 One of the things we noticed in 17 DC was they saved some resources, but 18 they didn't reduce the staff and cost of the prisons, and that was an 19 accommodation that was made between labor 20 21 and management. And those are things 22 that are reality that we are going to 23 have to navigate in this big ocean of 2.4 change. And I'm saying to you, yes, it's 25 going to cost. Where it comes from, all

Page 89 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 of those details will be determined as we move along. But if we stay and continue 3 to do what we've done all along --4 5 MR. EL-SHABAZZ: I don't think 6 we said that, though, Councilman. COUNCILMAN JONES: I know, but I'm saying that for the record we're not 8 9 saying that. I want for the record we're 10 going down a course right now that 11 everybody from the President on down has 12 said this isn't the way to go. 13 MR. COBB: I actually have one 14 question just for the record, and you may 15 not be able to answer this. It's my 16 estimation that cash bail simply doesn't 17 work, but my question would be is, what percentage of people actually show up in 18 court because they do not want to forfeit 19 20 whatever cash bail they put forward as 21 opposed to the people who don't have cash bail as an incentive to actually show up 22 23 in court? Do we have that data anywhere? 2.4 MR. McSORLEY: Not to my 25 knowledge we don't have that data at this

|    |   | Page 90 |
|----|---|---------|
| 1  | 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 |         |
| 2  | time.                                     |         |
| 3  | MR. COBB: Thank you. So we're             |         |
| 4  | doing something to create a person to     |         |
| 5  | engage in an activity, but we don't know  |         |
| 6  | if that thing that we're doing is         |         |
| 7  | actually driving them to engage in that   |         |
| 8  | activity.                                 |         |
| 9  | COUNCILMAN JONES: All right.              |         |
| 10 | So this is going to be an internal        |         |
| 11 | MR. EL-SHABAZZ: Do we have                |         |
| 12 | anybody that has that? He asked the       |         |
| 13 | question as if he has some information    |         |
| 14 | about it. So if you have some             |         |
| 15 | information and some numbers that         |         |
| 16 | indicate that the cash bail doesn't do it |         |
| 17 | or does do it or what percentage is,      |         |
| 18 | share it with us so we all can have it    |         |
| 19 | since you asked that question.            |         |
| 20 | COUNCILMAN JONES: So we can               |         |
| 21 | MR. EL-SHABAZZ: Not an                    |         |
| 22 | estimation. Tell us the numbers.          |         |
| 23 | COUNCILMAN JONES: We could do             |         |
| 24 | this internally, but we need our          |         |
| 25 | witnesses to be able to they came in      |         |

|    |   | Page 91 |
|----|---|---------|
| 1  | 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 | J       |
| 2  | from out of town.                         |         |
| 3  | MR. EL-SHABAZZ: I'm clicking              |         |
| 4  | off the red light.                        |         |
| 5  | MR. McSORLEY: I thought I was             |         |
| 6  | going to be the short presentation.       |         |
| 7  | COUNCILMAN JONES: We thought.             |         |
| 8  | MR. ROJAS: I have one last                |         |
| 9  | question. How do you separate the people  |         |
| 10 | who are arrested and detained who are on  |         |
| 11 | probation or parole from the people that  |         |
| 12 | are arrested that you can bail out?       |         |
| 13 | MR. McSORLEY: Well, actually,             |         |
| 14 | we do separate them. We've been looking   |         |
| 15 | at that for a while now, and to that      |         |
| 16 | point, there has been a statement that is |         |
| 17 | out there over and over and over again in |         |
| 18 | the press that 60 percent of the people   |         |
| 19 | in prisons are there on pretrial. That    |         |
| 20 | is incorrect. Currently, as of last       |         |
| 21 | month we're keeping monthly stats I       |         |
| 22 | think it's 28 point something percent are |         |
| 23 | there just for cash bail. Then there are  |         |
| 24 | people there with a bench warrant. Then   |         |
| 25 | there are people there with a bench       |         |

|    |   | Page 92 |
|----|---|---------|
| 1  | 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 | _       |
| 2  | warrant and detainer. So we do know.      |         |
| 3  | It's very time consuming and laborious    |         |
| 4  | with our current technology and the       |         |
| 5  | different databases that we have.         |         |
| 6  | There's a lot of work that goes into this |         |
| 7  | data, but we do know month to month who   |         |
| 8  | is being held and why they're being held. |         |
| 9  | MR. ROJAS: And another                    |         |
| 10 | question. What percentage of that money   |         |
| 11 | does the state provide for the people     |         |
| 12 | that are under state supervision who      |         |
| 13 | violate their parole or their probation?  |         |
| 14 | MR. McSORLEY: That would be a             |         |
| 15 | question I have to take back to my        |         |
| 16 | probation department. So I can't tell     |         |
| 17 | you that at this time, but I can ask that |         |
| 18 | question.                                 |         |
| 19 | MR. ROJAS: Thank you.                     |         |
| 20 | COUNCILMAN JONES: So are there            |         |
| 21 | any other questions for this witness?     |         |
| 22 | (No response.)                            |         |
| 23 | COUNCILMAN JONES: If not, can             |         |
| 24 | Ms. Williams, can you tell us our next    |         |
| 25 | person to testify.                        |         |
| 1  |   |         |

|    |   | Page 93 |
|----|---|---------|
| 1  | 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 |         |
| 2  | THE CLERK: The next witnesses             |         |
| 3  | will be Michael Barry, Deputy District    |         |
| 4  | Attorney, Pretrial Division of the        |         |
| 5  | Philadelphia District Attorney's Office,  |         |
| 6  | and Mark Houldin, Policy Director from    |         |
| 7  | the Defender Association of Philadelphia. |         |
| 8  | (Witnesses approached witness             |         |
| 9  | table.)                                   |         |
| 10 | COUNCILMAN JONES: Thank you so            |         |
| 11 | much for your patience and our internal   |         |
| 12 | deliberations, but we're really           |         |
| 13 | struggling with this. So if you'll state  |         |
| 14 | your name for the record and begin your   |         |
| 15 | testimony.                                |         |
| 16 | MR. BARRY: My name is Michael             |         |
| 17 | R. Barry. I'm the Deputy District         |         |
| 18 | Attorney at the Philadelphia District     |         |
| 19 | Attorney's Office in charge of the        |         |
| 20 | Pretrial Division.                        |         |
| 21 | I know many of the parties here           |         |
| 22 | know this, but the Pretrial Division of   |         |
| 23 | the District Attorney's Office covers the |         |
| 24 | Charging Unit, which charges initial      |         |
| 25 | processing, arraignment, and bail, things |         |
|    |   |         |

Page 94 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. of that matter, as well as all of our 3 diversion and reentry programs and what we call our Pretrial Unit, which is sort 4 5 of keeping the trains running, the people 6 who do all the case processing and early offers. 7 I've been in this position 8 9 since February. As part of this position, I'm also my office's point man 10 11 on the MacArthur Safety and Justice Challenge, which, of course, as you all 12 know, touches on a lot of these programs. 13 14 And I've been an ADA for 17 years. 15 From speaking with Ms. 16 Williams, I think she wanted me just to 17 roll into it and then speak to 18 Mr. Houldin. 19 I don't mean to cut you off. 20 So I'm here specifically to 21 talk about the early bail review program, which has been brought up and discussed 22 23 already. Before I do that, though, I just want to on the record reaffirm my 2.4 25 office's commitment to justice in all

Page 95 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. levels of the criminal justice system, 3 from the moment somebody becomes a suspect through arrest, bail, 4 5 arraignment, charging. These are the things I supervise. But also through 6 7 trial, through release, through reentry, which is another area I supervise, to 8 9 make sure we make the public more safe. And there's many ways to look at making 10 the public more safe, but I think we all 11 12 agree that the number one way we can look at making the public more safe is to make 13 14 sure an individual is not going to be an individual who commits a crime that hurts 15 16 them self or hurts somebody else in the 17 future. To that effect, I'd also like 18 19 to reaffirm a commitment that I've heard 20 brought up many times here, which is that 21 there's a tension between long-term solutions for problems and making sure we 22 talk about individuals and individual 23 cases. And while my office will always 2.4 25 be committed to working with the other

Page 96 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. criminal justice partners like the Managing Director's Office, the Public 3 Defender's Office, the Police Department, 4 5 the Prisons at long-term solutions, we'll never give up our commitment to 6 individual justice, individual decisions. 7 And that can mean a lot of different 8 9 things for people different, and honestly as a District Attorney, some days that 10 11 means I look at a person and say, I know 12 the safer thing would be to keep this guy in jail, but that's not the right thing 13 14 to do. And other times it means I'm 15 going to fight with everybody else in the 16 room saying a person needs to stay 17 incarcerated. That's the reality of 18 things. 19 Early bail review actually 20 started in early July. The way it works 21 is, upon arrest, every individual who gets \$50,000 or less bail and fits a 22 23 certain number of qualifying offenses, most of which are misdemeanors, however 2.4 25 we did include ten different felony

Page 97 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. crimes, including possession with intent 3 to deliver and commercial burglary in that group, which is not necessarily the 4 5 easiest thing for my office to do, but we 6 did it, within three days the list is populated so that we know who these people are and both parties, the 8 9 Defenders Association and my office, can begin researching this population, and 10 then there's a hearing four or five days 11 12 after arraignment depending -- the weekends we have to add two days. 13 14 According to my charging chief, 15 Norma Waird (ph), who mans these rooms, 16 because there is no specific funding for 17 us to hire an additional DA to do that, so I do have to send a chief, on average 18 as of the first month, 67 percent of the 19 20 people put on this list were released, 21 many of whom with agreement. One thing that is happening is 22 23 prior to the list forming -- well, one thing that's happening is people are 2.4 25 paying their bail. A second thing that's

Page 98 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. happening is we're finding that there are a lot of individuals with detainers who 3 are also on probation and, therefore, 4 5 sometimes retainers don't hit as quickly as this list hits. That's just a functional thing. So sometimes we find the detainers after the fact. 8 9 Another thing that is happening unfortunately is we're finding a 10 11 percentage of this population is 12 re-offending sometimes for the second or third time within months. Sometimes 13 14 they're already on bail for two or three 15 other cases. It could be very hard for 16 us to not fight bail if somebody has paid 17 that same bail amount twice, and in our eyes is a reason why they're here unable 18 to pay bail is because they already paid 19 20 it twice in the past three months. 21 However, I think anybody who has worked in this process will agree 22 23 that although parties will always be advocates and fight for their side, that 2.4 25 for the large part we're working to make

Page 99 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. sure this is as efficient and as 3 effective a program as can be and have come to a lot of agreements. Just this 4 5 morning as I was walking out the door, we 6 agreed to add some fraud charges to the early bail review list, which had not 7 previously been considered, on the 8 9 suggestion of Mr. Innes from the Defender Association. And this is sort of the 10 11 atmosphere we're working in, where we're 12 going to continue to work with this pilot program and try to make it better and try 13 14 to make sure it meets all of our goals. 15 I think it's also important to 16 note that this is not happening in a vacuum, and although this early bail 17 18 review pilot program has sort of been one of the first ones out of the gate to get 19 20 going, we think it's going to get much 21 better with other programs that are in the works, the risk assessment tool, 22 23 which has been mentioned by many people 2.4 here already. And, again, I can't 25 emphasize enough -- and it's funny,

Page 100 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. actually, Mark and I spend a lot of times 3 at meetings talking about some of these programs, and the thing we almost always 4 5 find ourselves on the same side pushing 6 for is the need for individual justice and not to just hand something over to a risk assessment tool. But that tool is 8 9 going to give us a lot more information for these hearings. 10 11 The Defenders Association is 12 actually working very hard for two programs for them, which we think will be 13 14 of great help here. One is that they're 15 having bail advocates that are going to 16 be working on these cases between arrest 17 and this early bail hearing, and we're 18 hoping coming to information with us, which will help the process, help 19 20 understand the process. In many cases we 21 hope it will make us more comfortable with a release. Tell us about their 22 23 family, as has been mentioned here earlier. And if not about our release, 2.4 25 about the needs that have to be met to

Page 101 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. help assure that we're not sending a 3 person out there when nobody feels 4 they're going to come back for court. 5 Another process that the 6 Defender Association has been working on 7 is fixing their processes so that -- not fixing, but improving their processes so 8 9 that they can talk about and meet with people who are on probation who have 10 11 detainers and see about looking at this 12 person holistically in terms of their detainers and what they're facing, if the 13 14 judge is going to violate them on their detainer in addition to their current 15 16 case. And as that program becomes more robust, we think that will help us with 17 18 what has, I think, proven to be the biggest difficulty with early bail 19 review, which is detainers. 20 2.1 COUNCILMAN JONES: Repeat that. 22 MR. BARRY: Detainers, a person 23 ending up in the early bail review who also has to be on probation being held by 2.4 25 a judge. I reiterate what Mr. McSorley

Page 102 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. said earlier, which is a lot of times the 3 statistics that get placed out about the amount of people who are on pretrial 4 5 detention, while not necessarily 6 inaccurate because they are in pretrial, don't recognize that a lot of them are 7 not in because of their cash bail, but 8 9 they're in because of their detainer. And it's an issue that can be hard to 10 11 deal with. 12 And, finally -- and I know everybody here is committed to this, but 13 14 alternatives to cash bail. Electronic 15 monitors. I love to say day reporting 16 centers, although anybody who pays 17 attention to money has reemphasized to me that they don't just fall out of the sky. 18 There's not a money tree where we get the 19 20 money for the day reporting centers, 21 although they sound very interesting. An example where this works 22 23 well is actually -- so Philadelphia has a 2.4 very respected and robust Mental Health 25 Court due to the work of people like

Page 103 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 Judge Woods-Skipper and Judge Neifield 2. 3 and people from my office and the 4 Defenders Association. A lot of these 5 individuals who have a high risk for 6 re-offending or not making it to court 7 who we have issues with letting out on bail, it's because of mental health 8 9 issues. We found that the existence of a good, healthy Mental Health Court and 10 just the coincidence that Judge Neifield 11 12 tends to be the judge doing the early bail review has put us in a situation 13 14 where if they'll go to this Mental Health 15 Court, we are more comfortable releasing. 16 And that's a good example of having the 17 other program there changes our mind 18 completely. It's hard. It's hard when you're a prosecutor and you're sitting in 19 20 a courtroom and you see somebody -- one of the first cases we had was an 21 22 individual who would approach people 23 asking for tokens on SEPTA platforms for money, but had this habit as the day got 2.4 25 along of getting angry and screaming at

Page 104 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. people or pushing them, and it's hard to 3 let that person out for the second or third time, even though ultimately the 4 5 crime may not be what other crimes are. 6 If that person has a mental health 7 problem that's requiring them or causing them to act that way, it's comforting to 8 9 know Mental Health Court is there. If we can have more programs, 10 11 social service programs, drug and alcohol 12 programs that are as robust as Mental Health Court, it would make our job a lot 13 14 easier when it comes to agreeing to let 15 people out. 16 And, finally, I just point out 17 something I've worked -- I'm working very hard with Mr. Bethel on. It's still 18 weird for me to say Mr. Bethel -- is as 19 20 part of the MacArthur Safety and Justice 21 Grant, we're doing a comprehensive racial and ethnic disparity diagnostic review, 22 23 and bail and the attainment of bail is one of the points we're studying very 2.4 25 closely. And we just had the opportunity

Page 105 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. in a meeting to reaffirm our commitment to a very robust diagnostic examination 3 of the racial and ethnic practices in the 4 5 criminal justice system, and I'm hoping that in areas of bail and all other areas 6 7 we can see where the problems are and work to commit ourselves to fix them. 8 9 I think I've spoken enough about what's going on with early bail 10 11 The issues that are out there, 12 individuals with multiple open charges, individuals with detainers, individuals 13 14 who have needs that need to be met really 15 are paramount. I certainly agree with 16 Mr. El-Shabazz and I appreciate he's the 17 one who brought it up so I didn't have to be so impolite, but our office is doing 18 everything on MacArthur without funding, 19 20 every single program we're involved in. 21 If you look at the budget sheet for MacArthur, there's a zero next to the 22 23 DA's Office, which we agreed to, but it could be difficult. 2.4 25 But more important than our own

Page 106 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. personal funding, it's also very 3 important that there's a commitment to 4 having these alternatives. A lot of 5 times you can sit there when it comes to 6 bail and both parties agree that the 7 biggest problem with sending somebody back out there is that you're setting 8 9 them up to fail, that if the person doesn't have a social service net or 10 11 mental health treatment or drug or 12 alcohol treatment or the things they need, the things that cause them to 13 14 commit this misdemeanor in the first 15 place, what are we doing. We're just --16 you know, we're racking up charges until 17 the point maybe they're going to face a 18 state sentence. They're still sort of 19 living on the street. Again, I know, 20 money, but I think the best way to make 21 all these bail systems work is to really pay attention to what the needs are of 22 23 these people, why they're committing the crimes so that all parties can feel 2.4 25 comfortable letting them out and not

Page 107 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. think we're just going to be sitting 3 there across the court from them again in 4 a week. 5 COUNCILMAN JONES: So I know 6 for the record Mr. Shabazz has left and 7 he's left it in capable of hands of you to carry on his message, but let me say 8 9 this. I don't think we're at cross purposes. We agree that money is needed. 10 11 We don't always agree that more money is 12 There are ways to direct needed. resources that currently exist for a 13 14 purpose like this. So that's number one. 15 Number two, day reporting centers, you're right. They don't fall 16 17 out of the trees, but a creative 18 partnership with community-based 19 organizations right now could reduce the 20 amount of cost based on what we all agree 21 is housing people at State Road. Instead of Joe-Joe going to State Road, he might 22 23 report to the local church which is set up with a combined resource of maybe the 2.4 25 probation officer and the social worker

Page 108 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. that had a baby being the staffer there, monitoring that. What we also keep 3 hearing is we let them go and we say, on 4 5 your honor, on your honor system, go to 6 rehab. On your honor system, stay out of 7 that neighborhood. On the honor system -- well, it doesn't work so well. 8 9 Sometimes people need to be coaxed. I know with my kids -- and not equating 10 them with kids -- sometimes I was so 11 tired coming out of this place, I 12 couldn't turn a page of a homework, but I 13 14 threatened to do it and I said I want it out on that table and if it didn't look 15 16 neat, I'd send it back. But sometimes 17 when people see that you're paying 18 attention, they do better. 19 And so you mentioned in your 20 comments that sometimes they're arrested 21 and then they're out on bail and they're rearrested with new bail. Well, that gap 22 23 in between is where the services belong, because whatever those causes -- and 2.4 25 sometimes it's not a cause. It's just a

Page 109 1 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 2. way of life. But if there are causes that we can correct, maybe we reduce 3 those times that they get rearrested. 4 5 So we know it takes money. 6 don't think the criminal justice fairy from the sky is going to give us manna. 7 We initiated this Committee to assess 8 9 where resources are needed. Every year I listen to the 10 11 District Attorney's Office, and I'm one 12 of the few Councilpeople that actually fought for more money for you guys, 13 14 because -- it wasn't in reentry, but it 15 was in witness protection, and I fought 16 for another couple of shekels to go that 17 way. But I'm willing to fight for maybe 18 short-term increases to create long-term 19 gains. 20 Thank you. 21 MS. BRADFORD-GREY: I just want to say this one thing. I really 22 23 appreciate your testimony, because it shows that we know what's needed. 2.4 25 think that -- what I would hope for this

Page 110 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. Committee is that we don't let the thought that because we don't have money, 3 we can't do anything. I was so excited 4 5 to come back to Philadelphia at this time 6 because we were taking more progressive 7 approaches to criminal justice reformation, but it seems like sometimes 8 9 the psychology of it all needs to change as well. Not just the practice, but the 10 11 psychology in terms of what are we trying 12 to do. And if we're trying to be a hamster in a wheel, then we're achieving 13 14 that, but if we're trying to create new 15 inroads and understand what impact that 16 has, then we have to start. And like 17 Councilman Jones said, let's just be creative and start, see where that gets 18 us. Just like Mental Health Court, it 19 20 didn't start with a big pool of money. It started with an idea. It started with 21 22 people doing the work and then measuring 23 the impact, and then the money came. so that is -- I think that's where we 2.4 25 need to say -- I know Tariq El-Shabazz

Page 111 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 was very adamant, without money, we can't do anything. I don't believe that. 3 believe we can start anything and I 4 5 believe that it will then -- we can show 6 the impact, and it will take money to 7 increase or bring it to scale. So I really do hope that we're 8 9 thinking about the psychology behind this in terms of what can we just start 10 figuring out how we implement a better 11 12 model that gives us better returns on our tax dollars and the outcomes in terms of 13 14 public safety. 15 COUNCILMAN JONES: If there are 16 no further questions, thank you for your 17 testimony. 18 Would you state your name for the record and begin your testimony. 19 20 MR. HOULDIN: Thank you, 21 Councilman. My name is Mark Houldin. am the Director of Policy with the 22 Defender Association of Philadelphia. 23 Thank you for putting on this hearing. 2.4 25 And I think the one thing I want to say

Page 112 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. at the outset is that what I find 3 reassuring -- and I've heard public 4 safety mentioned a number of times -- is 5 that we're moving from the old era of criminal justice reform where there were 6 false dichotomies, and I think we're 7 seeing now that public safety and the 8 9 individual rights can coexist, and I think a focus on both of them is 10 11 appropriate and they are not mutually 12 exclusive. I want to talk a bit about the 13 14 role of counsel, and I'll keep my 15 comments brief and I'll jump right to 16 research on the role of counsel. 17 Dr. Heaton talked about research around 18 pretrial incarceration and the natural 19 experiment. Folks in Baltimore used a 20 similar natural design to provide counsel 21 at bail hearings where counsel hadn't been provided before and found that 22 individuals who had counsel were more 23 likely to be released, more likely to 2.4 25 have lower amounts of cash bail, spend

Page 113 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 less time in jail before being released, and more likely to have ROR. But it goes 3 beyond those specific outcomes, and 4 5 there's an important piece about the impact on fairness and the adherence to conditions of release. 7 Interviews were conducted with 8 9 people who were represented, and those that were represented had more favorable 10 11 reports on the fairness of the overall 12 process than those who were not 13 represented. That's important because 14 perceptions of fairness, the procedural justice research has shown, correlates to 15 16 future compliance with release and 17 desistance from criminal conduct. providing counsel can help reduce 18 19 recidivism in the future. 20 Defendants represented were 21 more likely to feel the court spent enough time on their case and that they 22 23 were treated fairly. But perhaps most striking has to do with whether 2.4 25 individuals said they planned to abide by

Page 114 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 the release conditions that the court mandated that they follow. Only 4 two-thirds of defendants that were not 5 represented said in an interview right afterwards that they would actually follow the conditions that were given to them. Over 90 percent of represented 8 9 defendants said the same, said that they would actually follow the conditions that 10 11 were mandated. And I think that has an 12 important impact as we're thinking about rolling out alternatives to cash bail 13 14 that are very condition heavy. And the 15 role of counsel in a cash bail system is 16 important for the reasons that I mentioned and also because the Department 17 18 of Justice has recently said that a bail 19 system that does not take account of 20 individualized ability to pay is unconstitutional. And so we need that 2.1 information, and that information needs 22 to come from an advocate. 23 But also if we're talking about 2.4 25 a risk assessment-based structure, group

Page 115 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. information, which is what risk 3 assessments are, they're based on group data for similar individuals, that can 4 5 have a role in decision-making, but it certainly can't be the only information. 6 7 And as my colleague Mr. Barry said, we need individualized information. And we 8 9 certainly need -- I think the least we can do for folks who are going to be 10 11 subject to have decisions based upon what 12 other people have done is to provide them with an avenue through which they can 13 14 talk about themselves and what they have 15 done, so that they're not defined by 16 simply one allegation but the whole 17 picture of their life and their 18 circumstance. 19 And so briefly I'll talk about 20 five-day review, and I'll say for the 21 record, we don't have funding for 22 five-day review. But that's okay. work with it. We conduct the interview 23 usually within two days of the arrest, 2.4 25 and the focus isn't so much on the facts

Page 116 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. of the case, but it's about the person, their life circumstance. Then we have to make phone calls. We make phone calls to 4 5 verify information. If someone is 6 working, we try to verify that information. And we also make calls to get family and community to come to 8 9 court, because we find that that's important for people to see that there's 10 11 a support network. 12 And we spot drug treatment and mental health issues. We've increased 13 14 the number of referrals that we make as a result of early bail review and have 15 16 someone at the prison as well to talk 17 with the individual about any issues that 18 they have. And perhaps this is 19 potentially the most important, but it's the most obvious at the same time, is to 20 21 help clients understand, at a very stressful time, the information that's 22 23 being delivered to them. So I'll end with this. 2.4 25 filled in at the prison one day during

Page 117 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 these hearings, and one of my clients was 3 being released and the judge very carefully and slowly articulated what he 4 5 was to do, that he was to show up in 6 person in two days, and he was given a 7 piece of paper with the same information. And I said, Do you understand what you 8 9 need to do? And he said, Yeah, I call next 10 11 And so I had to redirect him and 12 slow him down and show him and re-explain what he had to do and then confirm that 13 14 he understood it. And that might seem 15 silly, but at such a stressful time when your liberty is on the line, I think it's 16 17 important that we take time and we make 18 sure folks understand the process and not just base that on whether they were told 19 20 but actually base it on whether they 2.1 understand. 22 Thanks. 23 MS. BRADFORD-GREY: Mark -- I'm sorry. Mr. Houldin, I wanted to just 2.4 25 kind of reiterate something that you

|    |   | Page 118 |
|----|---|----------|
| 1  | 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 |          |
| 2  | said. You said based on the bail review   |          |
| 3  | process, we are making "we" as you and    |          |
| 4  | the Defender Association are making       |          |
| 5  | more social service referrals. So the     |          |
| 6  | things that we were talking about in      |          |
| 7  | terms of understanding needs, that is     |          |
| 8  | being done in this pilot program?         |          |
| 9  | MR. HOULDIN: Yes. That's what             |          |
| 10 | we're doing, yes. I think we can          |          |
| 11 | certainly improve that, but we've         |          |
| 12 | certainly taken this opportunity to do it |          |
| 13 | sooner than we otherwise would be able    |          |
| 14 | to.                                       |          |
| 15 | COUNCILMAN JONES: I'm sorry.              |          |
| 16 | I had to step away. How many people in    |          |
| 17 | the pilot program for participants?       |          |
| 18 | MR. HOULDIN: In early bail                |          |
| 19 | review?                                   |          |
| 20 | COUNCILMAN JONES: Yes.                    |          |
| 21 | MR. HOULDIN: That's a good                |          |
| 22 | question. I don't have a specific I       |          |
| 23 | don't know. Sometimes it will be two a    |          |
| 24 | day, sometimes it will be eight.          |          |
| 25 | COUNCILMAN JONES: See, the key            |          |
|    |   |          |

Page 119 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. of it is, you can do a good thing at a micro level to bring it to scale, to mass 3 4 produce it in a meaningful way that 5 impacts both budget and outcomes. You have to beta test it, then roll it out 6 7 and do it in ways, and you get these glimmers of success. But the key is 8 9 being able to bring it to scale so that it is impactful. So we anxiously want to 10 11 know those numbers so that we can know how to roll it out. 12 Just for 13 MS. BRADFORD-GREY: 14 the record, they said the Defender did 15 not get any additional funding, just for 16 the record. 17 COUNCILMAN JONES: Those words 18 are a repetitive theme. 19 MR. ROJAS: In talking about 20 funding, a lot of people that are in jail 21 have some great legal skills, and I remember when I worked at Community Legal 22 23 Services, rather than getting down to the people who had housing problems, we 2.4 25 actually went out and started legal

|    |   | 1        |
|----|---|----------|
|    |   | Page 120 |
| 1  | 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 |          |
| 2  | clinics to teach people how to do the     |          |
| 3  | appeal process and actually appear before |          |
| 4  | a judge without an attorney.              |          |
| 5  | Is your office or have you ever           |          |
| 6  | thought of going in and doing clinics     |          |
| 7  | with the incarcerated individuals to      |          |
| 8  | teach them how to do the bail reduction   |          |
| 9  | petitions, how to submit them, et cetera? |          |
| 10 | MR. HOULDIN: Not to my                    |          |
| 11 | knowledge, but I really like the idea, so |          |
| 12 | thank you.                                |          |
| 13 | COUNCILMAN JONES: Are there               |          |
| 14 | any other questions for this panel?       |          |
| 15 | (No response.)                            |          |
| 16 | COUNCILMAN JONES: Seeing none,            |          |
| 17 | thank you so very much for your           |          |
| 18 | testimony.                                |          |
| 19 | MR. BARRY: Thank you.                     |          |
| 20 | COUNCILMAN JONES:                         |          |
| 21 | Ms. Williams, would you please read the   |          |
| 22 | names of the next panel of witnesses to   |          |
| 23 | testify.                                  |          |
| 24 | THE CLERK: Our next witness is            |          |
| 25 | going to be Dr. Richard Berk from the     |          |
|    |   |          |

```
Page 121
        9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101
 1
 2.
         University of Pennsylvania.
 3
                   (Witness approached witness
 4
         table.)
 5
                   COUNCILMAN JONES: So now
         you're --
 6
                   DR. BERK: I'm in the hot seat,
               My name is Richard Berk.
 8
         yes.
                                          I am a
 9
         Professor at the University of
         Pennsylvania in the Department of
10
11
         Criminology and in the Department of
         Statistics. I've been doing criminal
12
         justice risk assessments for 20 years for
13
14
         all kinds of agencies across the country,
         even around the world. And what I
15
16
         thought I would do today is talk very
17
         briefly about what a risk assessment is,
18
         because there's some misunderstanding,
         and then basically leave time for
19
20
         questions, because I can already tell you
21
         have lots, and I think that's probably
         the most productive use of the few
22
         minutes I have.
23
                   So let me talk about risk
2.4
25
         assessments. You actually are all
```

Page 122 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. experts. You go into a restaurant. 3 look at the menu. Ravioli. Should I 4 order ravioli? So you think back to 5 ravioli you had in the past. You think about previous visits to this restaurant. 6 7 Yeah, that ravioli was pretty good. You're making a group judgment about lots 8 9 of experience, and then based on that experience, you place a bet. 10 That bet 11 basically is the bill you're going to 12 pay. And you're making a forecast that if you pay that money, you're going to 13 14 have a good meal. You've done a risk 15 assessment, and you built that risk 16 assessment based on experience you have 17 had and things that people have told you about ravioli or about this particular 18 19 restaurant. 20 Suppose you go to a physician. You've had, let's say, some abdominal 21 22 pain. You want the physician to diagnose 23 your problem, if you have one, and suggest what course of treatment makes 2.4 25 sense. The physician is doing a risk

Page 123 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. assessment. The physician looks at you, gets your symptoms, thinks back to his or 3 her experiences with patients in the 4 5 past, some of them are like you, some of them are different, thinks back to the 6 many hours this physician spent doing general rounds and all the patients that 8 9 that particular physician has seen, puts all that information together and then 10 11 makes a forecast, a quess, but it's a forecast based on lots of experience that 12 you do or do not have some sort of 13 14 problem that requires an intervention. That's a risk assessment. 15 16 Judges do the same thing. Thev 17 have a particular convicted offender 18 appearing before them within the bounds of statutory requirements and perhaps 19 20 sentencing guidelines. The judge thinks 21 back, I've seen people like this before, some quite similar, some quite different. 22 23 I know how they have done, let's say, on probation. This particular individual, 2.4 25 yeah, like a lot of those folks who did

Page 124 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. well on probation, I'm going to sentence the individual to probation. That's a 3 risk assessment. That's no different 4 5 from what we've been talking about in the 6 context of the discussions today. What feels different to some is that these 7 risk assessments use numbers, but they're 8 9 still group based. We've heard a lot of talk about 10 11 individual decision-making. Judges make 12 them, doctors make them, you make them 13 when you go to a restaurant, but the fact 14 of the matter is, you're aggregating lots 15 and lots of similar experiences in the 16 past to make good judgments about the 17 future. Okay? What makes numbers different? 18 Some people don't like numbers much, but 19 20 what they allow you to do is to be 21 transparent about that risk assessment. It's right there in black and white for 22 23 you to read. But also you also get greater accuracy. Why do you get greater 2.4 25 accuracy? When you go to the restaurant,

Page 125 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. you can think about three or four factors 3 that maybe guided your insight about whether this is going to be good ravioli. 4 5 The computer can look at hundreds. You 6 may base your experience on 10 or 15 7 dishes of ravioli in the past. computer can look at hundreds of 8 9 thousands. Because the computer has better experiential base than you do and 10 11 can weigh many more factors, there's a 12 good chance the computer is going to do a better job in the sense of being more 13 14 accurate. 15 Now, I also appreciate that 16 there are widespread concerns and there are legitimate concerns about fairness, 17 and I understand that that's where a lot 18 of the questions have been coming from. 19 20 A couple of points to make. 21 First is, there's all kinds of fairness. I give you a simple example. 22 23 We'd like our risk assessment instruments 2.4 to be equally accurate for all groups. 25 So let's talk about men and women.

Page 126 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2 kind of lowers the threshold of the 3 dialogue a little bit. Let's talk about men and women. If we have men and women, 4 5 we would like very much to have our risk 6 assessment instrument be equally accurate for men and women, and we can do that. 7 But it turns out that there are more men 8 9 who are going to fail, let's say, on probation than women, and they're also 10 11 going to fail for more violent crimes. 12 So when we apply our instrument, which is equally accurate for men and women, we 13 14 will project many more males than females 15 will fail on probation. Is that evidence 16 of bias? It's a tough call. I don't have the answer to that, but there are a 17 lot of subtleties in how we think about 18 what fairness is given the realities of 19 20 the way crimes occur. 2.1 There are, therefore, trade-offs between different kinds of 22 fairness. I as a statistician don't make 23 those trade-offs. You have to make them. 2.4 25 They're not easy. That's why I'm glad

Page 127 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. you're going to do them and not me. you have to make those calls. 3 You also have to make another 4 5 very, very difficult call. If we strive 6 for fairness, unfortunately we're going 7 to lose some accuracy. That's a price. It's a price we may choose to pay, but 8 9 one of the risks of that choice is you will make everybody equally worse off. 10 11 In the case of an arraignment, we will make more mistakes such that 12 individuals who should not be detained 13 14 are and we will make more mistakes such as individuals who should not be released 15 16 are. We will make more of those. 17 we'll make them equally for men and women or for African Americans or Hispanics or 18 Asians and whites. It will be equal, but 19 20 we'll all be equally worse off. 21 that's a trade-off you have to consider. That's, again, something I cannot solve 22 23 for you. The advantage of these risk 2.4 25 assessments is not then that they're just

|    |   | Page 128 |
|----|---|----------|
| 1  | 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 |          |
| 2  | accurate and more transparent, but they   |          |
| 3  | put the burden back on policymakers like  |          |
| 4  | yourself to make these hard choices.      |          |
| 5  | Our current discussions sweep             |          |
| 6  | that under the rug. You can't have it     |          |
| 7  | all. You can't have an accurate risk      |          |
| 8  | assessment, or as accurate as it could    |          |
| 9  | be, and at the same time achieve all of   |          |
| 10 | these different levels of fairness.       |          |
| 11 | Can't be done. There are important        |          |
| 12 | trade-offs that you have to make.         |          |
| 13 | And that's really all I have to           |          |
| 14 | say at the moment. I can give you         |          |
| 15 | examples more of some of those            |          |
| 16 | trade-offs. For example, the instance of  |          |
| 17 | domestic violence where we've looked at   |          |
| 18 | it with respect to pretrial, if you'd     |          |
| 19 | like, or I can certainly go right to the  |          |
| 20 | questions that you might have.            |          |
| 21 | COUNCILMAN JONES: So I'm a                |          |
| 22 | little geeked out, because you're         |          |
| 23 | actually a rock star in my mind.          |          |
| 24 | DR. BERK: Oh, my goodness.                |          |
| 25 | COUNCILMAN JONES: We did bail             |          |

Page 129 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 hearings. I think Councilman DiCicco was with us when we were looking at guns and 3 risk assessment for the very first time. 4 5 You can't hear me? 6 MR. DiCICCO: I'm 70 years old. COUNCILMAN JONES: Oh, I'm 8 sorry. 9 So the first time I heard about your work was with bail assessments on 10 11 gun violence, and you were referred to as 12 someone who had originally tweaked a risk 13 assessment that we use currently. 14 My first question is, has since that time to now statistical calculations 15 16 changed or has society changed to make 17 risk assessment different? I quess 18 that's a better way of phrasing it. 19 DR. BERK: Well, what's 20 happened -- and it's been a gradual 2.1 evolution. Risk assessments, as I'm sure 22 you know, began in the 1920s with parole. 23 We've doing risk assessments for almost a hundred years. What's happened over that 2.4 25 time is that the risk assessments have

Page 130 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 been increasingly numerical, like 2. insurance companies do, and we can do 3 that better and better because of larger 4 5 datasets that are publicly available, 6 better algorithms, bigger computers. So 7 we've gotten quantitatively more skilled at this over time, but it's not as if 8 9 there's some dramatic change in the basic 10 approach that we use. 11 COUNCILMAN JONES: 12 exonerating you for the time being while you testify from any stereotype of you 13 14 being a racist or this or that or any 15 ism, I'm going to ask you a series of 16 questions. 17 DR. BERK: Sure. 18 COUNCILMAN JONES: Does a zip 19 code matter in a risk assessment? 20 DR. BERK: I'm glad you ask 21 that, because I have an answer for you. Let me give you a hypothetical. We have 22 two individuals. Both are males. 23 are 25 years old. Both have three prior 2.4 25 convictions for burglary. Both have a

Page 131 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. steady job. Both are married, and a variety of other ways they're absolutely 3 identical. We're deciding whether to 4 5 release them. We know that if we release one of them, we're going to release them 6 7 to my neighborhood in Mount Airy. The other one if we're going to release them 8 9 perhaps not in such a pleasant area, perhaps Germantown, three miles away. 10 11 COUNCILMAN JONES: Neither one 12 of them are in my district. DR. BERK: Who do you think is 13 14 going to be more likely to be a victim of 15 a crime? Who do you think is going to be 16 more likely to commit a crime? Identical 17 people put in different environments. 18 Why? Some neighborhoods have more access to firearms. Some neighborhoods have 19 20 peer pressure which set up circumstances 21 where people commit crimes. Some 22 neighborhoods are policed differently 23 than others. There's lots of things going on. All that a zip code does is it 2.4 25 takes two people who are identical and

Page 132 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. envisions what would happen if we put them in two different environments. 3 4 Environment matters. 5 Now, therefore, it's 6 predictive. You have to decide whether 7 it's worth it from an ethical point of view. That's not my call. That's too 8 9 hard for me. That's above my pay grade. DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BETHEL: 10 11 The challenge with that is that when you 12 go into those zip codes, I'll take you back into Germantown and I'll put you in 13 14 a half a million dollar home and I'll 15 take you a block away and I'll put you in 16 a home that's not. I'll put you in Mount 17 Airy and I'll take you through the 1400 18 block of Johnson or I'll take you -- so how do you account for those within those 19 20 zip codes? I mean, I could take you to 21 his district. You can go from one end to 22 the lowest end of poverty to the highest 23 of employment and occupancy and millionaires, I mean, where you go to 2.4 25 some of those properties. So how do you

|    |   | Page 133 |
|----|---|----------|
| 1  | 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 |          |
| 2  | account for that?                         |          |
| 3  | DR. BERK: We could do that if             |          |
| 4  | you would let me.                         |          |
| 5  | DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BETHEL: Is            |          |
| 6  | that what it is?                          |          |
| 7  | DR. BERK: We have addresses.              |          |
| 8  | We can GPS longitude and latitude right   |          |
| 9  | down to the block. But there's            |          |
| 10 | resistance, and I understand it's         |          |
| 11 | legitimate. We can't right now because    |          |
| 12 | we're not allowed. I'm not saying you     |          |
| 13 | have to include that. I'm just saying     |          |
| 14 | there's a trade-off.                      |          |
| 15 | DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BETHEL:               |          |
| 16 | But that's just fair. I mean, that's      |          |
| 17 | fair because that's the reality. Our      |          |
| 18 | city is so diverse. I mean, you can go    |          |
| 19 | to the north of Center City, just go a    |          |
| 20 | few blocks north and you're in a totally  |          |
| 21 | different economic setting.               |          |
| 22 | DR. BERK: Absolutely.                     |          |
| 23 | DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BETHEL: So            |          |
| 24 | how do you account for that is the        |          |
| 25 | challenge, right?                         |          |
|    |   |          |

```
Page 134
        9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101
 1
 2.
                   DR. BERK:
                              Yes.
                   MS. BRADFORD-GREY:
 3
         afternoon, Dr. Berk.
 4
 5
                   DR. BERK: Good afternoon.
                   MS. BRADFORD-GREY:
 6
                                        I'm a
 7
         little, I quess, confused about a few
         things. And I know that you said if we
 8
 9
         want to be fundamentally fair, we'll make
10
         everyone worse off.
11
                   DR. BERK:
                              That's the risk.
12
                   MS. BRADFORD-GREY: Can you
13
         really elaborate on that? Because I'm
14
         not really understanding --
15
                   DR. BERK:
                              Sure.
16
                   MS. BRADFORD-GREY: -- that
17
         before I ask my follow-up questions.
18
                   DR. BERK: Let's stick with zip
19
         code because that's a controversial
20
         issue, I agree. If I include zip code,
21
         I'm going to be able to more accurately
22
         anticipate -- let's take probation or
23
         parole -- who is going to fail on
         probation. Okay? If I don't include zip
2.4
25
         code, I'm going to make more mistakes.
```

Page 135 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 My mistakes are going to be of two kinds. 2. 3 Some individuals who I release I really shouldn't have, and they'll be crime 4 5 victims as a consequence. Some individuals who I should have released I 6 7 didn't, and then we hear about the consequences that you've heard a lot 8 9 about today, damage to family, damage to work experience and all sorts of other 10 11 things. I'm going to make more of those 12 mistakes, but I'm going to make them equally, let's say, for men and women. 13 14 So I make everybody equally worse off. It's fair, but it's worse. 15 16 MS. BRADFORD-GREY: But isn't 17 that where we are now really looking at 18 correcting the mistakes? Because in your analysis, we're exactly where we don't 19 20 want to be. We're creating or we're 21 looking at the possibility that we're making more mistakes in terms of what is 22 23 going to help us reach our ultimate goal and achieving greater public safety. 2.4 25 we are really erring on the side of

Page 136 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 caution to the detriment of our communities, right? 3 4 DR. BERK: We have lots of data 5 which say that we can do a lot better 6 than we currently do. No question. And the issue becomes how much better and 7 what trade-offs you're prepared to make. 8 9 All I'm saying is that we could do better, a lot better, but at the price 10 11 perhaps of including some predictors that 12 people are uncomfortable with. I'm fine with that as a statistician. You may or 13 14 may not be, but it's your call. 15 MS. BRADFORD-GREY: But the 16 comfort level is based on categorical 17 information, right? It's not really 18 based on those individualized successes. 19 DR. BERK: That's come up 20 again. Let's talk about individualized. 21 In the examples I gave you, whether it's ravioli or sentencing or going to the 22 23 doctor, you'd like to think that you made an individualized decision, right? 2.4 25 That's what judges are supposed to do and

Page 137 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. that's what doctors are supposed to do. They are nevertheless based on groups. 3 The doctor is looking at people like you 4 5 they've seen in the past. That's what 6 medicine does. MS. BRADFORD-GREY: But they also look at your records, don't they? 8 9 DR. BERK: And they put those records in the context of people like you 10 11 in the past. Your records have no 12 meaning, except in the context of other people who have had similar records. Oh, 13 14 I've looked at 30 people like you with 15 this particular diagnosis and set of 16 records, and you know what? You're going 17 to be fine. But they 18 MS. BRADFORD-GREY: wouldn't look at other people's records 19 20 and diagnose you without looking at what 21 are your needs or what are your 22 particular --23 DR. BERK: Exactly. 2.4 MS. BRADFORD-GREY: What are 25 the particulars that you have.

Page 138 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. DR. BERK: Exactly. I want to make a distinction about this 4 individualized thing from this, but the 5 first point is, any kind of risk 6 assessment is necessarily group based. What I think I hear -- and I think it's a legitimate concern -- is under the 8 9 current procedures we have for risk assessment, we build the instrument in 10 11 advance, maybe it takes several months, 12 with the data that is likely to be available on a routine basis, and then we 13 14 use that. That is a group risk 15 assessment. 16 What is important is that when 17 people come in who have experiences that 18 we have not been able to capture in those tools. An example, I don't have any 19 information on marital status. I don't 20 21 have any information on whether a person graduated from high school. 22 I can't 23 build those into my instrument. group-based instrument is incomplete. 2.4 25 somebody comes in and provides me that

Page 139 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. information, I can't use it with my numerical tools, but I should quite 3 properly take it into account when I make 4 5 a decision. Nevertheless, keep in mind that what you're thinking in your head 6 7 when you see this person is, Oh, this person is well educated, has a job, has 8 9 pretty much stayed out of trouble, I've seen lots of people like this before, 10 this is a good risk. It's still a group 11 risk assessment, but it takes into 12 account factors that we could not build 13 14 into the numerical risk assessment. 15 think that's good policy. 16 MS. BRADFORD-GREY: So yes. DR. BERK: And I think that's 17 18 what people mean by individualized. 19 MS. BRADFORD-GREY: So you're 20 saying in any practice, we should never 21 just rely on a risk assessment tool. That's what you're saying. We should 22 23 always utilize an ability to understand more individualized factors that may 2.4 25 reduce the risk that we're willing to

|    |   | Page 140 |
|----|---|----------|
| 1  | 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 |          |
| 2  | accept.                                   |          |
| 3  | DR. BERK: Absolutely. Any                 |          |
| 4  | mathematical risk assessment tool will be |          |
| 5  | incomplete, because we could only work    |          |
| 6  | with the data we have. Individuals come   |          |
| 7  | in with factors that we couldn't take     |          |
| 8  | into account, we'd be foolish not to use  |          |
| 9  | that information.                         |          |
| 10 | MS. BRADFORD-GREY: As a good              |          |
| 11 | model, there should always be a weighing  |          |
| 12 | of both things?                           |          |
| 13 | DR. BERK: Absolutely.                     |          |
| 14 | MS. BRADFORD-GREY: And so any             |          |
| 15 | model that you're, I guess, testifying to |          |
| 16 | better practices needs to include that.   |          |
| 17 | So if Philadelphia wants to be a city     |          |
| 18 | that practices that has best practices    |          |
| 19 | in its bail assessments, we have to have  |          |
| 20 | both. There's no way to get around that.  |          |
| 21 | DR. BERK: That's ideal. We                |          |
| 22 | should do that. That, of course, is       |          |
| 23 | MS. BRADFORD-GREY: Is                     |          |
| 24 | necessary, right?                         |          |
| 25 | DR. BERK: Absolutely. But as              |          |

Page 141 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. you've heard, there are time issues, 3 resource issues that are painful. hope we can circumvent them, but ideally, 4 5 absolutely. We should always use all the information we can. We'd be foolish not 7 to. 8 MS. BRADFORD-GREY: Thank you 9 so much. MR. HOLLWAY: Dr. Berk, thanks 10 11 very much. It's really enlightening, and 12 I've had the benefit of hearing you give a similar talk before, and one of the 13 14 conversations that came up in that talk 15 was the question of whether the -- one of 16 the factors in the risk assessment tools 17 is the criminal history of an individual, and of course the criminal history as 18 it's accumulated can sometimes be 19 20 different zip code to zip code based on 21 reasonable focuses of police activity. 22 So there are people who question whether 23 the data that goes in is itself inherently biased because of criminal 2.4 25 histories and the criminal histories that

Page 142 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 people accumulate in different areas of 2. 3 the City. Can you talk about that a 4 little bit? 5 DR. BERK: That's a hard one. 6 Yes. It's a fact of life that all data 7 that we have is related to gender and is related to race and is related to 8 9 ethnicity. That's just life. If I were to use education, if I were to use 10 11 marital status, if I were to use 12 employment, anything you can think of is going to be related to gender and it's 13 14 going to be related to ethnicity and 15 race. 16 If you require that I do not 17 use any variables that are related to 18 gender and race, I'm out of business, but then so are you, because you can't use 19 20 them when you decide as a judge how to 2.1 sentence. What we can do with modern 22 technology -- and this is where the 23 trade-offs come in -- we can pull a lot 2.4 25 of that association out of the data, kind

Page 143 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. of like taking it to the laundry. We're 3 going to clean it up as best we can. 4 Sometimes we can do that quite well, sometimes not as well. And it seems like 5 6 that's the solution, but then we're back to this trade-off. If you don't allow me 7 to use that information and if that 8 9 information is really predictive, I'm going to predict less well. I'm going to 10 11 make more mistakes, and we're back into a situation that I can have an instrument 12 that's more fair in the sense that we're 13 14 all equally worse off. Difficult 15 trade-off, which you have to make. 16 MR. HOLLWAY: So my takeaway 17 from this -- and tell me if I'm 18 interpreting what I think I hear you 19 saying -- is that the key here is the 20 transparency and the understanding 21 between the policymakers and the decisions they're making and what your 22 23 data is able to weight so that we have a weighting that is both known to everybody 2.4 25 and accurate as designed. So long as

|    |   | Page 144 |
|----|---|----------|
| 1  | 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 |          |
| 2  | that is there, we're doing basically the  |          |
| 3  | best that we can and we've got a system   |          |
| 4  | that will operate with our communities'   |          |
| 5  | priorities in place.                      |          |
| 6  | DR. BERK: That's the ideal.               |          |
| 7  | We can go even one step farther. We're    |          |
| 8  | developing algorithms now at Penn with    |          |
| 9  | some colleagues in computer science in    |          |
| 10 | which you will literally have not         |          |
| 11 | literally; figuratively have knobs to     |          |
| 12 | turn.                                     |          |
| 13 | MR. HOLLWAY: Sorry. I want                |          |
| 14 | literal knobs.                            |          |
| 15 | DR. BERK: For you we'll build             |          |
| 16 | it.                                       |          |
| 17 | There will be knobs you can               |          |
| 18 | turn, which will allow you to, let's say, |          |
| 19 | down weight the role of gender at the     |          |
| 20 | cost of 14 burglaries. And you can        |          |
| 21 | decide whether that's a reasonable        |          |
| 22 | trade-off. Again, that's way above my     |          |
| 23 | pay grade. I leave it to you to decide.   |          |
| 24 | MR. COBB: Doctor, thank you               |          |
| 25 | for your testimony. So I'm going to ask   |          |
|    |   |          |

Page 145 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. like a less academic question. 3 I'm a black male. I live in a 4 zip code where the average capita income 5 is at the poverty rate. I have been in 6 conflict with the criminal justice system. White male, who lives in an area 7 with a higher capita than me, who has 8 9 equally been in conflict with the criminal justice system. As a black 10 11 male, should I be afraid of risk 12 assessment tools? 13 DR. BERK: No. You got to tell 14 me a lot more about yourself. Remember, 15 I'm weighing hundreds of factors. 16 gave three or four. At what age were you 17 first arrested? What is the date of your 18 most recent crime? What are you charged 19 with? And what's the 20 MR. COBB: 21 culture of policing in the neighborhood in which I've grown up in, how frequently 22 23 have people seen their heads cracked by the individuals who should protect them 2.4 25 as opposed to not.

Page 146 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. So, I mean, I just want to 3 really kind of sort of get to like the 4 grassroots feeling of a risk assessment 5 tool when you belong to a depressed socioeconomic population. 6 DR. BERK: When that decision is made, as I explained a few moments 8 9 ago, we will have a tool which will take into account the factors we can easily 10 11 measure. You are bringing in other 12 factors which we may not have been able to measure. You or your attorney needs 13 14 to stand up and say just what you said so 15 that that information becomes part of the record and part of the information base 16 17 of a decision. Then we hope everybody is 18 sensible. 19 MR. COBB: So an individual 20 would have to have the capacity to know that they've grown up in a country where 21 certain populations have been 22 23 marginalized systemically in order for that to be factored? I'm just pushing 2.4 25 back.

Page 147 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 DR. BERK: I understand. are a lot of historical forces in this 3 country and around the world which affect 4 5 the experiences we currently have day to 6 day. If those experiences are relevant, 7 you should be able or your attorney should be able to present them to the 8 9 decision-maker in addition to having this more systematic risk assessment tool. 10 11 Again, you need both. 12 COUNCILMAN JONES: So for me, 13 you came in here as a rock star and 14 you're going to leave here as a rock star 15 in my mind, because let me say why. 16 can walk in two different courtrooms and 17 the judge similar to him will think and take into account all of the things you 18 19 said and factors. You can walk in 20 another courtroom, it won't matter -- it 2.1 didn't matter. And that happens every 22 day now. Those assessments that we are 23 quantifying, those judgments happen every -- this at least adds another tool 2.4 25 that you can look at and say, You know

Page 148 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. what, these are circumstances and now I need to talk to the human being, not just 3 the credit report but the human being. 4 And so you guys deal with this more than 5 6 me, but I know every day at that CJC, these assessments are happening in one form or another right now. 8 9 DR. BERK: Can I just add a point to that. Nobody claims that a risk 10 11 assessment tool is going to remove 300 years of racial injustice. We just hope 12 to make it better, and if we can make it 13 14 better, we're heroes. Not saying we 15 couldn't make it still better, but to 16 give up in some sense to make the perfect 17 the enemy of the good leaves us nowhere. 18 MS. SCHWARTZMAN: My name is Ann Schwartzman. I'm with the 19 20 Pennsylvania Prison Society, and I have 21 two very specific questions following up on that. We see risk assessments now 22 23 used not only in trial cases, not only with judges but with probation/parole. 2.4 25 We're talking about bail. We're talking

Page 149 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. about all different levels. Recently 3 it's come up by the Sentencing Commission to really look at sentencing and how risk 4 5 should be involved. 6 What I'm interpreting or at least what I'm seeing is that this tool 7 is being used without the additional 8 9 discretion that you're talking about, without the additional measures, without 10 11 those additional people identifiers. 12 we're getting the tool kind of pretty much as it is without a lot of other 13 14 things that what I'm hearing really should be included. And I was curious 15 16 what you thought could be something that 17 could be added to the tool so it's not strictly the tool, period, but there's 18 19 more to it. It turns out I'm 20 DR. BERK: 21 working with Mark Bergstrom and the 22 folks, so I know of what you say. 23 in an evolutionary process where the current tools are kind of crude. 2.4 least my understanding is, nobody is 25

Page 150 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. proposing those tools go live and we're 3 going to do a bunch better. And Mark and 4 the Commission is well aware of the 5 points that you're making and very sympathetic, but I wouldn't take the 6 7 current product as where we're going to We're starting a project now in 8 be. 9 Montgomery County much along the lines you suggest which we hope to be a 10 11 prototype. 12 MS. SCHWARTZMAN: And then one In the discussion we had before 13 14 and a number of other discussions, people 15 talked about risk assessments and needs. and the combination is often linked and 16 17 at least in the work that we do, we 18 constantly talk about you want to look at 19 risk, but you want to match it with the 20 need, and if you don't have the needs 21 met, all you're doing is really putting a label on somebody and making life even 22 more difficult than it's been before. 23 How does that work? How do needs, risks, 2.4 25 everything pull together? How can we

Page 151 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 look at it as a package more than just one or the other? 3 4 DR. BERK: You're right, it's 5 absolutely a package. Risk assessment tools, however, are very specialized. 6 7 Their job is to assess risk, as you'd expect. When you decide how to 8 9 intervene -- and we heard some wonderful suggestions today about various programs, 10 11 which are interventions, that takes a different kind of research, some of the 12 research Paul Heaton, for example, was 13 14 talking about where you have to do other studies to find out what sorts of needs 15 should be met with what sorts of 16 17 interventions. Risk assessments don't do 18 They can't. They're specialists. that. 19 But those other kinds of research are 20 absolutely essential if we're going to 21 intervene intelligently. Just risk assessments don't do that. 22 23 MR. ROJAS: I have a question. Risk assessment tools are designed and 2.4 25 implemented by individuals. The one

|    |   | Page 152 |
|----|---|----------|
| 1  | 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 |          |
| 2  | you're talking about, does that have      |          |
| 3  | people that are culturally competent to   |          |
| 4  | go into different areas to be able to, in |          |
| 5  | their own either personal experience or   |          |
| 6  | research experience, able to put together |          |
| 7  | a risk assessment tool that's actually    |          |
| 8  | going to gauge the cultural competency of |          |
| 9  | a particular population? And how far are  |          |
| 10 | they going to drill down to make sure     |          |
| 11 | that all that comes to rises to the       |          |
| 12 | top so a real fair risk assessment tool   |          |
| 13 | is designed? How many people do we have   |          |
| 14 | of color that actually design risk        |          |
| 15 | assessment tools?                         |          |
| 16 | DR. BERK: This is math.                   |          |
| 17 | MR. ROJAS: Yes.                           |          |
| 18 | DR. BERK: It starts out                   |          |
| 19 | MR. ROJAS: We have black                  |          |
| 20 | mathematicians, I think.                  |          |
| 21 | DR. BERK: It's math and it's              |          |
| 22 | also a kitchen sink. To avoid some of     |          |
| 23 | the complications you're alluding to, we  |          |
| 24 | go out and grab every shred of            |          |
| 25 | information that's routinely available,   |          |
|    |   |          |

Page 153 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. even things that we think don't make a difference. Anything that's in the 3 4 official records that are machine readable, we bring in and let the 5 computer decide. And the computer is 6 7 blind, except for what things predict well. 8 9 You're right, if we had to rely on your judgment or my judgment or Ral 10 11 (ph) Holloway's judgment about what should be in there, there would be 12 grounds for concern that individual 13 14 proclivities or biases would somehow 15 distort the outcome. But the computer is 16 just saying, I don't care what it is, I 17 want stuff that's going to help me 18 anticipate the future well. Then we can 19 decide whether what the computer chooses 20 is what we want to use, and that's a 21 group's decision, that's a political decision that a computer nor I should 22 make. We don't want to have an 23 individual because of -- or all 2.4 25 individual limitations make those calls.

Page 154 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. It's a group decision, policy decision. 3 JUDGE LERNER: Dr. Berk, thank 4 you very much for your presentation 5 today. I suspect that if the 6 Philadelphia Inquirer Editorial Board had 7 the opportunity to hear this entire presentation or if they paid attention to 8 9 it, the editorial that they wrote would have been a lot different than it was and 10 11 the little snippet of quote they gave to 12 you wouldn't have come out the way that it did. 13 14 I'd like to go back to 15 something that you pointed out earlier, 16 which is that in designing this risk 17 assessment tool, there is somewhat of a 18 sliding scale between fairness and accuracy and that it's going to be up to 19 20 policymakers to determine to some extent where on that scale we want to come out 21 22 in terms of what we actually want to use. 23 Are you able to enlighten the policymakers that are going to be making 2.4 25 this judgment with any specificity as to

Page 155 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. exactly where the trade-off is and what 3 it involves, how much fairness you -- or 4 how much this level of fairness is going 5 to cost you in terms of this level of 6 accuracy? DR. BERK: Yes. There are new ways that are being developed currently. 8 9 We have some old ways which are historic. It is almost what we talked about a few 10 11 moments ago with knobs. We'll be able to 12 say turn this knob up three units, three more units of fairness, four more 13 14 burglaries. I mean, it will be that 15 specific. Now, they're going to be 16 estimates and they're not going to be 17 exactly right, but they'll give you 18 qualitatively a sense of the trade-offs, the very difficult trade-offs you're 19 20 going to have to face. 2.1 JUDGE LERNER: Thank you. 22 I just want to put one thing on 23 the record in response to the point that Councilman Jones made, because you 2.4 25 actually took the question that I wanted

Page 156 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 to ask first and took care of that. I'm the person sitting up here who has for many, many years in my career 4 5 not only represented the people that the 6 Public Defender represents, but also has made the bail and sentencing decisions in individual cases. And Councilman Jones 8 9 is absolutely right in his rhetorical question to you. What we are talking 10 11 about is what judges do every day in 12 every courtroom in every place in this 13 country, and the extent to which that 14 judgment can be aided, not substituted 15 for but aided, by a tool which we 16 understand and understand what it is and 17 what it isn't and then we can add that to 18 what effective advocates present to us on both sides, the more likely we are to 19 20 come up with more correct decisions in 2.1 more cases. 22 COUNCILMAN JONES: Thank you, 23 Judge. 2.4 MR. HOLLWAY: So just to make 25 sure I understand that, it's like we're

Page 157 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. making our decision to get ravioli 3 without knowing whether the person who 4 did the other ratings likes Italian food. 5 MS. BRADFORD-GREY: Riaht. 6 Yes. MR. HOLLWAY: Now at least with the tool, we'll know that the decision 8 9 about whether Italian food is good or not is a more objective one and we can go 10 11 from there. 12 DR. BERK: We go to Yelp or something and get all the reviews. 13 14 COUNCILMAN JONES: Mr. Mosee. 15 MR. MOSEE: So I was a little 16 concerned with all the talk about putting the onus on the policymakers to figure 17 out what this risk assessment was 18 19 actually going to be comprised of, that 20 maybe what you were talking about was the 2.1 conclusion from the risk assessment being dispositive, that there wouldn't be an 22 23 opportunity to talk about things, but 2.4 you're not saying that; is that correct? 25 DR. BERK: No, no. I was

Page 158 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 talking about the development of the instrument. The instrument is going to 4 require some trade-offs that 5 policymakers -- let's say more broadly stakeholders -- need to contribute to. Once the instrument is developed and it's revised periodically, it's brought online 8 9 and used in concert with information that's collected that's not in the risk 10 11 assessment. 12 So what I want to MR. MOSEE: address is the ability of advocates to 13 14 actually understand how the risk assessment arrived at its conclusion. 15 16 because in the real world experience that 17 I've had with risk assessments, there 18 have been times when they don't want us 19 to see that information. They don't want us to know what information was collected 20 2.1 that actually constituted the foundation from which the risk assessment reached 22 23 its conclusion. DR. BERK: I think that's 2.4 25 unprofessional. Part of the problem is

Page 159 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 that some of these risk assessments are proprietary and they don't want to reveal 3 because of competition what they used to 4 5 construct the instrument or even how they validated it. I think that's 6 unprofessional. I think all risk assessment tools should be as transparent 8 9 as they can be, what went into it, the algorithms themselves you can, if you 10 11 wish, read about and the test results 12 which show how accurate it is. All that should be on the table for everybody to 13 14 see. If not, I think that's an error. 15 This is helping me MR. MOSEE: 16 with the progression of my questions, 17 because the next question is what can we do to be assured that when it's time to 18 change the risk assessment because things 19 20 have changed in communities or we have 21 more resources -- you probably heard some of the testimony from my colleague that 22 23 if we have resources, if we have programs and that makes it more palatable for us 2.4 25 to release people, what can we do to

Page 160 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. assure us that we'll be able to do that when things change? 3 DR. BERK: These risk 4 5 assessment tools can be updated very 6 easily. I just did one for Probation and 7 Parole here in Philadelphia. Once they provided the data, it was two days work. 8 9 What you basically do is, you monitor the performance of the instrument 10 11 over time, and if the forecasting skill 12 starts to degrade or let's say there are 13 new statutes that were passed or there 14 are new administrative regulations, or 15 whatever the changes are that you've 16 mentioned, that's the time to rebuild the 17 instrument. Once you've done it a single time, doing it additional times is very, 18 very easy and can be done, I said, in a 19 20 couple of days, and they should be. 21 MR. MOSEE: Thank you, Doctor. 22 MR. COBB: One quick question, 23 Are risk assessment tools -- you said something about measuring the 2.4 25 outcome of a risk assessment tool. So

Page 161 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. I'm thinking with my poker brain. Like I 3 know that a pair of aces is a 95 percent 4 chance of winning. What's the error of 5 margin with utilizing a risk assessment 6 tool? How accurate are they in terms of 7 a percentage? To me I'm likening -- I'm not an academia, so I'm likening it to a 8 9 crystal ball. How good is this crystal ball? 10 11 DR. BERK: It depends on the 12 application. I circulated a paper, a recent paper, in which we did this for 13 14 pretrial here in Philadelphia for domestic violence cases. And if the 15 16 instrument were used, not in the 17 complicated way you all are saying right 18 now, but just taken literally, it would be right 90 percent of the time. Pretty 19 20 good. Now, to be fair, if you don't use 21 any information and just release people, you're right about 80 percent of the 22 time, but that's a substantial 23 2.4 improvement. 25 So we have benchmarks.

Page 162 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 Benchmarks are current practice. We know that of those who are released who are DV 3 offenders who are released at 4 5 arraignment, 20 percent re-offend within 6 a relatively short period of time, and of course that implies lots of victims. 7 That's thousands in Philadelphia. That's 8 9 victims. And of course those are re-offenses we hear about because they're 10 11 arrested. It doesn't include those that 12 aren't reported to the police. But we know that if this risk 13 14 assessment tool is used, we can cut that 15 substantially. We have a benchmark and 16 we have measures of current performance. 17 That needs to be done for all sorts of risk assessments. How well do we 18 currently do? You can choose as 19 20 policymakers what outcomes you care 21 about, arrests, offenses reported, 22 whatever. How well do we currently do, how much better could we do if we use 23 this instrument, and then subsequently 2.4 25 what you've just done for the Board of

Page 163 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 Probation and Parole here in Pennsylvania, when you actually use it 3 then, how much better you do. By the 4 5 way, in the case of Pennsylvania, Board of Probation and Parole is using our 6 7 tools. You can cut crime by about 20 percent. Actually did cut crime by 20 8 9 percent. Substantial. So we can measure Those are the good 10 these things. 11 stories, but I have to add, sometimes we don't do so well. 12 COUNCILMAN JONES: 13 WOW. 14 Listen, sometimes you don't always want 15 to hear what math says, but math is math, 16 and the application, it's like a toolbox. 17 You create a toolbox. How much of it I want to use, it's up to me. It's up to 18 And how much else I want to factor 19 me. 20 in is up to me. And so -- but a ruling 21 quide that increases accuracy by 20 22 percent can't be ignored. 23 MS. BRADFORD-GREY: I just 2.4 would like to reiterate, I think using 25 math is why we're here. And so I really

Page 164 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. think it's regressive to just rely on 3 math without understanding a lot of other things, and I think that we've talked 4 5 about that and I know you said something that I found very -- I perked up when you 6 7 said, if you were to use race or gender in your -- or if you would take that out 8 9 of your assessment, you'd be out of business and so would judges. I don't 10 11 really know if judges rely strictly on 12 race and gender. In fact, I don't even 13 think that that's where they start. 14 JUDGE LERNER: He didn't say 15 that. 16 DR. BERK: That's not what I 17 said. 18 MS. BRADFORD-GREY: Well, no one would say it, but to be honest with 19 you, when you're looking at sentencing a 20 21 person, race and gender -- if you were to be completely honest, I don't even know 22 23 if that's your starting point. 2.4 DR. BERK: But that's not what 25 I said.

|    |   | Page 165 |
|----|---|----------|
| 1  | 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 |          |
| 2  | MS. BRADFORD-GREY: That's what            |          |
| 3  | I wrote down. I'm sorry.                  |          |
| 4  | DR. BERK: No, no. What I said             |          |
| 5  | was Professor Hollway said, what about    |          |
| 6  | all these things that are related to      |          |
| 7  | race. And the point I made was that       |          |
| 8  | everything you could ever measure, the    |          |
| 9  | clothes you're wearing, are related to    |          |
| 10 | gender and race. It's just the way the    |          |
| 11 | world is. If you require me to remove     |          |
| 12 | all of that content, I can do it. If you  |          |
| 13 | would require me to remove all of that    |          |
| 14 | content, I'm going to forecast less well, |          |
| 15 | and I'll give you dials so you can decide |          |
| 16 | how much of it I want to remove, at what  |          |
| 17 | price, for how many more arrests, let's   |          |
| 18 | say, for domestic violence. But it's not  |          |
| 19 | literally race and gender and             |          |
| 20 | neighborhood. It's the things that are    |          |
| 21 | associated, which is virtually            |          |
| 22 | everything. That's the world.             |          |
| 23 | MS. BRADFORD-GREY: I'm not                |          |
| 24 | sold.                                     |          |
| 25 | COUNCILMAN JONES: Listen,                 |          |
|    |   |          |

Page 166 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. listen, listen --3 MS. BRADFORD-GREY: I get it. I get what you're saying. I just do 4 5 disagree. I do get what you're saying. 6 COUNCILMAN JONES: It is only one factor, not all of the factors. And 7 it's being used every day. The truth of 8 9 the matter is, right, we quantify a great many things using those tools, and the 10 11 discussion about it in a public forum 12 like this is important. 13 MS. BRADFORD-GREY: 14 COUNCILMAN JONES: Because what 15 we said in the opening was that things 16 that are unfair -- and keep in mind, he 17 said those dials. I kept getting stuck on the dials. How much I want to weigh 18 in some things and fair, I can dial it 19 up. And so we do have that discretion. 20 MS. BRADFORD-GREY: In criminal 2.1 22 justice, we have to weigh fairness 23 against other things. Isn't that the tenet of a criminal justice system, to be 2.4 25 fundamentally fair? If it's not, then I

Page 167 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. think we're going to be fundamentally overly cautious. I don't see how you 3 reconcile that. And maybe I'm an 4 5 idealist. Maybe I really think that most 6 people want to be fundamentally fair, and 7 I probably am an idealist, but I think that's what a criminal justice system 8 9 should have at its core. I just do. else we're going to continue to get these 10 11 same systemic oppressive types of 12 policies that we have for certain people that we don't have for others, and that's 13 14 where we are right now. You're looking 15 at people marching all over the country 16 wanting more from their system, wanting 17 more opportunities, not to be judged based on their poverty or their skin 18 color or who they were born to. You want 19 more of that, and I think we have to move 20 21 that way, because to be like this is 22 going to cause much more disruption than 23 we're seeing right now. DR. BERK: 2.4 T have no 25 disagreement with that whatsoever. All

Page 168 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. I'm saying is that in a real world, you 3 can't have everything. We had a 4 discussion before about money and 5 You can't have it all. programs. That's 6 all I'm saying. We should try. We 7 should try, but we can't have it all, so you got to, as policymakers, make those 8 9 calls. JUDGE LERNER: I think that's a 10 11 great eloquent speech that you just made, 12 but I also don't think it really applies to what we're talking about here 13 14 obviously. The whole idea of the 15 criminal justice system is to be as fair 16 as possible, as individualized fair as 17 possible to all of the parties in the 18 system, but if you took what you said out to its conclusion as if that's really --19 the criminal justice system in order to 20 21 achieve that had to ignore anything that might have any kind of gender or race 22 23 connection, among the other things you would be saying is a judge really has no 2.4 25 right to consider a defendant's prior

Page 169 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 criminal history when the judge is 2. 3 determining an appropriate sentence for a 4 specific case, because as we were just 5 talking about in terms of this risk 6 assessment, that prior criminal history 7 is made up in part by cultural, residential, et cetera, factors which go 8 9 beyond that individual. Well, I don't think anybody is prepared to say -- maybe 10 11 I'm wrong. Maybe you're prepared to 12 say -- that when you're doing an individual sentence, prior criminal 13 14 record isn't part of what you consider. 15 So what we're talking about 16 here is one instrument, one tool that's 17 going to be used in helping a judicial officer make a determination, a specific 18 19 individualized determination in a 20 specific case. 21 Now, I understand very fully the concern that some judicial officers 22 23 at some level making some determinations, especially early in the process, if you 2.4 25 give them something like a risk

Page 170 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. assessment tool, that's all they're going to look at and they're not going to pay 3 attention to what the bail advocate or 4 the Defender -- well, I know we don't 5 6 have to worry about this in the early bail review hearing, but at least the 7 original Arraignment Court magistrate is 8 9 only going to look at that. And I think that's a concern. I understand that 10 11 concern very well, both from my history 12 as an advocate and my history as a judge, but I think the answer to that is not to 13 14 say, Well, therefore, we're not going to 15 use this tool at all, even though we can 16 adjust this tool so that it's more 17 heavily weighted on the accuracy side --I mean, on the fairness side rather than 18 on the accuracy side and it has some 19 value. It's not a decisionmaker, and in 20 21 fact, as I'm sure you are aware, there 22 are Appellate Court decisions in at least 23 two states in the United States that say it would be unconstitutional to use this 2.4 25 risk assessment tool as a sole determiner

Page 171 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. either for bail or for sentencing. 3 But I don't -- I think we're not so dumb that we can't make use of 4 5 this tool as a tool to help the 6 individual decision-makers make the best decisions. 7 COUNCILMAN JONES: You could 8 9 take that tool and say anything below this range, we'll lower offenses and we 10 11 know they're safer. Let's err on the 12 side of letting them participate in diversionary programs. Anybody above 13 14 this range right here, we're going to take an extended, holistic, fair look at 15 16 to determine what things can be done at 17 this range. But if we can say that -you mentioned earlier 28 percent of the 18 people don't even fit -- well, then if 19 20 they fall in that, we know that's a good 2.1 bet. And so, yes, I'm concerned 22 23 about some of the factors in, but they aren't the law. We are helping to shape 2.4 25 the law. And then there's a thing called

Page 172 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. judgment, and we never want to take that out. Sometimes we're right with it, 3 sometimes we're wrong with it, but that's 4 5 a part of the process. So do you have any more 7 questions for this rock star? MR. ROJAS: No. I just have 8 9 one last thing to tell the rock star. The fact that we're having this 10 11 conversation tells me that there is some 12 feeling that certain communities are being stigmatized, and we might agree 13 14 that they're not, but the average citizen 15 out there probably believes that their communities because of this tool that's 16 17 being used are being stigmatized. DR. BERK: There are lots of 18 19 concerns -- you mentioned the Inquirer. There are lots of concerns that are 20 21 generated by misunderstanding or lack of information. Again, that's not my 22 23 problem, although I have to live with it. It's your problem in how you disseminate 2.4 25 whatever your decisions are, whatever

|   | Page 173   |
|---|--|
| 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 | J  |
| your findings are, and then with          |  |
| follow-up presumably with the various     |  |
| stakeholders who would convey the         |  |
| accurate assessment of what's going on.   |  |
| COUNCILMAN JONES: Thank you               |  |
| all.                                      |  |
| Thank you, sir.                           |  |
| DR. BERK: My pleasure.                    |  |
| COUNCILMAN JONES: It's good to            |  |
| finally put a face to the name.           |  |
| DR. BERK: Any time. My                    |  |
| pleasure. Thank you.                      |  |
| MS. BRADFORD-GREY: Thank you.             |  |
| COUNCILMAN JONES: Sam, do we              |  |
| have any witnesses in the next panel?     |  |
| THE CLERK: Yes. The next                  |  |
| panel will be John Hogan and Adam         |  |
| Schlager from GEO Reentry Group to        |  |
| discuss day reporting centers.            |  |
| And, gentlemen, I know you have           |  |
| a PowerPoint presentation.                |  |
| (Witnesses approached witness             |  |
| table.)                                   |  |
| MR. HOGAN: We can work without            |  |
|   | your findings are, and then with follow-up presumably with the various stakeholders who would convey the accurate assessment of what's going on.  COUNCILMAN JONES: Thank you  all.  Thank you, sir.  DR. BERK: My pleasure.  COUNCILMAN JONES: It's good to  finally put a face to the name.  DR. BERK: Any time. My  pleasure. Thank you.  MS. BRADFORD-GREY: Thank you.  COUNCILMAN JONES: Sam, do we  have any witnesses in the next panel?  THE CLERK: Yes. The next  panel will be John Hogan and Adam  Schlager from GEO Reentry Group to  discuss day reporting centers.  And, gentlemen, I know you have a PowerPoint presentation.  (Witnesses approached witness  table.) |

|    |   | Page | 174 |
|----|---|------|-----|
| 1  | 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 |      |     |
| 2  | it.                                       |      |     |
| 3  | THE CLERK: Okay.                          |      |     |
| 4  | MR. HOGAN: Thank you to the               |      |     |
| 5  | group for inviting us today.              |      |     |
| 6  | COUNCILMAN JONES: You're                  |      |     |
| 7  | welcome.                                  |      |     |
| 8  | MR. HOGAN: Rock stars will not            |      |     |
| 9  | describe our performance today.           |      |     |
| 10 | COUNCILMAN JONES: Listen,                 |      |     |
| 11 | everybody can't be a rock star, but you   |      |     |
| 12 | can work towards it.                      |      |     |
| 13 | MR. HOGAN: We'll do our best.             |      |     |
| 14 | My name is John Hogan. I'm the            |      |     |
| 15 | Area Manager for the Commonwealth of      |      |     |
| 16 | Pennsylvania for the GEO Group.           |      |     |
| 17 | MR. SCHLAGER: My name is Adam             |      |     |
| 18 | Schlager. I'm the District Manager for    |      |     |
| 19 | GEO Reentry Services.                     |      |     |
| 20 | COUNCILMAN JONES: Welcome.                |      |     |
| 21 | Please begin your testimony.              |      |     |
| 22 | MR. HOGAN: We come before you             |      |     |
| 23 | today to talk a little bit about day      |      |     |
| 24 | reporting centers. In Pennsylvania, GEO   |      |     |
| 25 | Reentry Services operates nine day        |      |     |

Page 175 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. reporting centers. Nationally we operate 3 approximately 85 of these. We'll get 4 into that in a little bit in the 5 presentation. I'm going to turn it over 6 to Adam real quickly to talk to you in its most basic form what a day reporting 7 center is. 8 9 MR. SCHLAGER: And we'll be brief. I know time is of the essence. 10 11 So I thank you very much for the opportunity to speak today. 12 So what is a day reporting 13 14 center exactly? Whenever we start 15 looking at the term "day reporting 16 center," it's been diluted over the 17 years. It can mean a variety of 18 different things, from a daily check-in where an ex-offender is merely checking 19 20 in for the day and then they leave, to a 21 full-service day reporting center when you're talking about treatment groups and 22 23 supervision aspects that are put into place. So whenever we're considering 2.4 25 what a day reporting center is, we

Page 176 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. consider an outpatient program that consists of elements of both supervision 3 and cognitive behavioral therapy, using 4 5 evidence-based practices and targeting and addressing criminogenic needs, 6 7 thereby reducing recidivism. And the way that we go about that -- and we'll get 8 9 into it shortly -- there's a number of 10 different components to a proper day 11 reporting center, and John will get into 12 that now. So a couple things 13 MR. HOGAN: 14 that we're looking at from tradition when 15 you hear the term "day reporting center" 16 and people think check-in center to what 17 is truly kind of a reentry service center in the evolution of these things. 18 started off from a place where people 19 20 that come to the criminal justice system 21 would come into the center, check in 22 there. That was a way to supervise them, 23 and then they'd go about their day. as it evolved, it started to take in a 2.4 25 strong supervision component to it, which

Page 177 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. wasn't just the check-ins, but then it 3 started to incorporate things like drug testing or breath alcohol testing, make 4 5 sure that we were having participants that were abstaining from substance 6 7 abuse, and then started to incorporate full treatment. So you had not only 8 9 cognitive behavioral interventions as treatments, but things that were not --10 that were more psychoeducational and 11 12 things that we're working on for basic needs, such as employment, education, 13 14 housing, those kind of things, to give a 15 person a true comprehensive approach to 16 reduce their rate of recidivism. 17 Within our programs, we have an 18 accountability component to it, which I 19 just mentioned as far as the daily 20 check-ins, the substance abuse testing, 21 the supervision, the laying eyes on a person and making sure we're observing 22 23 their progress in their program and quiding their behavior change to avoid 2.4 25 the risky criminogenic behavior. You

Page 178 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. have self-sufficiency within the program, 3 which is driving the person to become a more productive member of the community. 4 5 We want them to take ownership of their pathway towards reducing the criminal 6 behavior or the potential criminal behavior and, again, driving them to get 8 9 from an anti-social realm towards a pro-social realm. And then the 10 11 behavioral change program. I mean, 12 that's really the teaching component of things. So it's having the understanding 13 14 that a person coming to one of these 15 programs may -- is going to need the 16 teaching of new skills, the learning -whether it be a hard skill like writing a 17 resume to help with employment or doing 18 mock interviews to the skills that are 19 20 more of their thinking areas and that lead to behavior. 2.1 22 So if you have someone whose 23 attitudes, values, and beliefs are geared towards pro-criminal behavior, you have 2.4 25 to identify that belief system, teach new

Page 179 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. belief systems that are more conventional, and then help them practice 3 the behaviors that now when they have 4 5 these feelings, these attitudes, these 6 beliefs, now how is that going to trigger 7 the behavior and then get that kind of behavior. That is what the goal is to 8 9 try to reduce the recidivism. The first -- so we're going to 10 good over eight principles of effective 11 intervention, which comes out of the NIC. 12 So these are things that we mention a lot 13 14 of what we're going to mention from this 15 point forward. These aren't GEO program 16 foundations and this isn't something we 17 say exclusively. So when we're saying this, it's not something that's saying, 18 All right, because we believe this to be 19 20 the best way it is, that it is the best 21 way it is. It's actually the opposite. It's the academic community which is 22 23 telling us in research that says this is 2.4 what you ought to do in your programs, 25 this is what will work, so apply it then

Page 180 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. to your programs. So we're going to talk about 3 4 the eight principles of effective 5 intervention, something that was talked a 6 lot about today, but we're going to talk about it in a different kind of application, as actuarial risk 8 9 assessments. That is the first principle. But when we're talking about 10 11 it, we're not talking about it from the 12 application of making a determination in a court proceeding to say, Okay, should I 13 14 put this person in programming as a 15 condition of their pretrial status. 16 We're looking at it from a risk/needs 17 principle. And I heard that mentioned from the Council earlier. And what the 18 risk/needs principle is telling us is, 19 20 risk is who they are, right? So you're 21 saying is this person high risk, moderate risk, low risk. And we're talking about 22 23 that risk. Again, we're not talking about a person that's in front of the 2.4 25 courts for homicide, has a greater degree

Page 181 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. of risk than a person who has a DUI. That's a gravity. That's an offense 3 gravity assessment. We're talking about 4 5 without proper intervention, what's the likelihood that they're going to continue 6 to offend. 7 So the need principle is what's 8 9 telling us once we identify who they are, then the needs principle is going to tell 10 11 us what exactly do we need to work with 12 that person on. Because not every person 13 that walks through the door, as kind of 14 was discussed -- hearing the analogy of 15 the zip codes. Every person who walks 16 through the door is individual, and what 17 makes up their needs are very different, and that's -- having that validated risk 18 assessment tool that tells us we now know 19 what their level of risk is and we know 20 21 what their specific needs are, then we 22 can move on to the next step, which Adam 23 can talk about. 2.4 MR. SCHLAGER: That's when we 25 started getting into targeted

Page 182 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. interventions. It is important whenever you're structuring a program to make sure 3 that you're targeting the right things. 4 5 A lot of different programs out there are 6 focused on either education or substance abuse or one factor. There's a number of 7 different criminogenic risk factors that 8 9 go into criminal behavior, and a lot of 10 times with most assessments what you're 11 identifying are what they call the big 12 That's the criminal history, which is static, which there's nothing we can 13 14 do about that. But the other three are 15 dynamic. That's when you're talking 16 about anti-social attitudes, values, and 17 belief systems; your criminal associates; and your behavioral characteristics that 18 are in play. So that's what we work with 19 20 in our programs, are that top four. 21 other ones are extremely important, but that was termed the moderate set. So 22 23 that's your family, alcohol and drug use, 2.4 employment. Yes, those have an impact on 25 the likelihood of recidivating, but not

Page 183 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. as much as the anti-social attitudes. values, and belief systems behind it. 3 that's why we really try to focus on that 4 5 targeted intervention. If you get a criminal offender a job, what you have is 6 7 an employed criminal offender. You haven't done anything to change the 8 9 behavior that's present. So after that, once we get kind 10 of a plan in place, we start to build 11 that out with an ex-offender to determine 12 how are we going to get there. 13 14 part of the motivation factor. 15 MR. HOGAN: So in enhancing 16 intrinsic motivation, the thought behind 17 it is with any person that comes into these programs or really any person, 18 period, we all have our intrinsic 19 20 motivators for pro-social people that are 21 driving us to the things that make us 22 pro-social. For some of the people --23 with any person that comes in the criminal justice system, they also have 2.4 25 some very strong pro-social intrinsic

Page 184 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. motivations. That's why when they make 3 it in the communities, there's a lot of really good things that these people are 4 5 doing day in and day out. So what we 6 work in our programs is to draw out the 7 very positive and pro-social components of the person, the things that motivate 8 9 them most, and we use counseling techniques like motivational interviewing 10 to draw that out in the person to find 11 12 out what intrinsically is going to get them to not want to reenter the criminal 13 14 justice system, return to jail, return to criminal behaviors, and then we'll use 15 16 that in our programming and use that in their individual sessions in order -- in 17 18 a process to gear them towards the 19 programming that's going to reduce the recidivism. 20 MR. SCHLAGER: So then we start 2.1 22 getting into the skill training with 23 directed practice. And whenever we're talking about this, the best analogy I 2.4 25 can come up with is, you don't wait until

Page 185 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. the big game to practice your free throw. 3 We have to be in a safe setting, one where they can practice different skills, 4 5 without having the possible consequences associated with them, to make sure that 6 those skills are cemented. So we do a 7 lot of role play. We do a lot of not 8 9 just talking about the issue and what's going through their head, but then 10 11 actually playing that out. So you're 12 doing a mock interview. That's great. What about whenever you're around that 13 14 friend that uses. We're talking about 15 that big four again. That person -- your 16 dealer comes around, because he's not 17 going anywhere. He's going to come back. 18 He's going to try to get you to use again. So how are you going to interact 19 20 with him in that situation? And you play 21 it out to cement those skills so they're more likely to do it on the outside. 22 23 The next principle MR. HOGAN: is increasing positive reenforcement. 2.4 What the research has shown is that 25

Page 186 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. participants that come to our programs, 3 people that have been involved in the 4 criminal justice system, using the threat 5 of jail, the threat of incarceration, any kind of punisher is not going to motivate 6 behavior changes. It's not there. A lot people have done time. They're 8 9 comfortable with that. Really what you have to do is more positively the 10 11 behaviors that you observe that gear 12 towards the good works that they're doing in order to cement the skill of behavior 13 14 change. So we do that, the research is 15 saying, a minimum of a four-to-one ratio 16 within your programs. A minimum ratio of 17 four to one. We do that within all of 18 our programs. 19 There's comprehensive and 20 aggressive contingency management 21 programs. So for everything that they're doing good versus the unwanted behaviors 22 23 or the punishers, we're keeping to a minimum of a four-to-one ratio to quide 2.4 25 them through that behavior change and

|    |   | Page 187 |
|----|---|----------|
| 1  | 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 |          |
| 2  | cement the skill.                         |          |
| 3  | COUNCILMAN JONES: Four to one             |          |
| 4  | positive strokes versus a negative?       |          |
| 5  | MR. HOGAN: That is correct.               |          |
| 6  | The positive reenforcement is             |          |
| 7  | substantially more effective than the     |          |
| 8  | negative reenforcement.                   |          |
| 9  | COUNCILMAN JONES: Got it.                 |          |
| 10 | Four to one.                              |          |
| 11 | MR. HOGAN: Minimally.                     |          |
| 12 | MR. SCHLAGER: So then we start            |          |
| 13 | getting into community support. This is   |          |
| 14 | where it's so important that the          |          |
| 15 | different programs within the community   |          |
| 16 | work and communicate together. Whenever   |          |
| 17 | a person is done with a day reporting     |          |
| 18 | center, there can't be that dependence on |          |
| 19 | that center. Otherwise, as soon as that   |          |
| 20 | structure is taken away, they fall apart. |          |
| 21 | So a part of a good day reporting center  |          |
| 22 | is about establishing those links with    |          |
| 23 | the community and having that             |          |
| 24 | self-sufficiency that John had mentioned, |          |
| 25 | that they know where to go for help for   |          |
| İ  |   |          |

Page 188 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. the different issues or items they may need in the future. 3 4 MR. HOGAN: Measurement 5 feedback within each one of these 6 programs, we do things like quarterly 7 surveys. There's things that we do to survey our participants when they come in 8 9 for treatment, how they respond to the treatment, what else is going on in their 10 11 outside lives that are kind of giving us 12 an idea of how to guide the treatment. So there's constantly getting measurement 13 14 feedback from the participant. 15 The good thing about that is, 16 that allows us within these programs to 17 make sure they maintain efficacy, because 18 there are things we find out that can be changed that don't go against any kind of 19 20 research modality. So we might find out 21 something like our rewards program, the participant saying, Hey, if you tweak 22 23 this, this would be more motivating to 2.4 the participants. Those surveys are 25 helpful and we can use them in our

Page 189 1 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 2. programming, and we often do. 3 MR. SCHLAGER: Then you get into measuring your relevant practices. 4 5 This is actually the impact a program can 6 make. So the most generalized one that we use is recidivism, trying to see have we dropped that. But that takes time and 8 9 money to accomplish. So a lot of times you need to have that information 10 11 quickly. So you start looking at some 12 intermediate outcomes and some other kind of assessments or audits of a program 13 14 that can tell you whether it's effective 15 or not. One of those is a CPC, a 16 correctional program checklist, and what 17 that does is that measures the effectiveness of your program. 18 done by an independent auditor who comes 19 20 in, I believe is out of the University of Cincinnati, but it was developed there. 21 But they'll come in and actually measure 22 23 the different components of your program and give you a good indicator as to 2.4 25 whether it's effective or not. And the

Page 190 1 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 2. programs that we run, we've had four 3 independent CPC's done on our programs, and all of them have been found either 4 5 effective or highly effective. Now, you have the stats in terms of what that means. 7 MR. HOGAN: So in Pennsylvania 8 9 we've had it done four times, and of that -- so when you're looking at those 10 11 percentages that we come by, that makes 12 up -- there's something like almost 600 CPC's have been done to date, and of 13 14 those 600, only about one in four come 15 into that category of being highly 16 effective or effective. So when you're 17 thinking about that, in Pennsylvania the ones that we're operating make it in the 18 highest percentage of being -- having 19 20 efficacy, showing that they're likely to 21 produce reduction in recidivism. 22 MR. SCHLAGER: So once we 23 started to get into a bit more of the 2.4 research, on the next page we start 25 talking about structured time, and this

Page 191 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. is a difficult one to address. Basically 3 what the research had said is that 40 to 70 percent of a high-risk participant, 4 5 that time needs to be filled with something pro-social or positive, whether 6 that's treatment, whether that's 7 pro-social activities like work, 8 9 employment, church, whatever it might be. Forty to 70 percent of that time needs to 10 11 be filled and it has to be structured. 12 And that's a key piece that's often missing, is they'll go to a program 13 14 once a week for a couple hours and then the rest of the time we don't know where 15 16 they are or what they're doing. 17 We also start getting into 18 dosage here. Now, that's a buzz word 19 that's come out over the last few years 20 in terms of how much dosage is enough. And what the research so far has found is 21 22 that you could kind of separate that out 23 into three categories. So for your moderate risk participant or one that 2.4 25 just has a few needs, they might have a

Page 192 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. bit more risk side but low need, you're 3 looking at about 100 hours of treatment 4 involved in changing that behavior. 5 for your high risk or multiple need 6 participants, 200. And then for your 7 high risk, high need, the worst of the worst, we're looking at 300 hours of 8 9 treatment. I would be surprised to see any program that's really capable of 10 11 hitting that mark right now. A lot of 12 programs fall short on that, because that's a significant amount of time, time 13 14 that we normally don't have. 15 MR. HOGAN: So we want to talk 16 a little bit about referral options, 17 recognizing that this is a pretrial 18 committee or a post -- before the 19 adjudication. Within these programs 20 nationally, we've seen people coming in from a whole bunch of different referral 21 22 options. We've seen them from people 23 that were probation violators. So before they went into incarceration or the 2.4 25 courts, they would come to these

Page 193 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. programs. We look at it as a condition 3 of parole. So people that are coming out on parole would enter these programs. 4 5 But we've also seen a pretty aggressive use of them for pretrial. And 6 7 conceptually the model works well. Basically you're saying to 8 9 someone you're running them through, from our perspective, risk/needs assessments. 10 11 If the person is showing up to have a 12 moderate or high risk, then they're someone who would be appropriate for 13 14 programming. 15 We don't -- typically wouldn't 16 recommend someone that's low risk coming 17 into programming. Low risk to us tells us that they're not in need of 18 intervention, that they may have 19 committed a criminal offense, but there's 20 21 a lot of pro-social things going on with that person that you're probably at risk 22 23 of doing them all more harm by taking them into an aggressive program like this 2.4 25 and out of their pro-social stuff, plus

Page 194 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. you're mixing them with a population that 3 is higher risk. Higher risk people tend to influence lower risk people. Research 4 5 bears that out. But the moderate risk, the higher risk people that are in these 6 7 programs, coming in in pretrial, that's been an effective utilization. 8 9 We've done this in Pennsylvania within some of our county programs 10 11 that -- they've used it for a pretrial 12 sentencing option. It's worked very well. The participants that have come in 13 14 from that way know that there's a 15 motivating factor to participate in the 16 program and, that is, that it will be considered upon at sentencing. So if 17 they're doing well, we're able to 18 19 advocate for them and make -- here's what 20 the person has done while in programming. 21 They maintained their supervision. maintained their sobriety. They found 22 23 employment. They participated in the program. It's a great story for them to 2.4 25 tell.

Page 195 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. It works well for the criminal 3 justice community for two reasons. One, it provides needed supervision from 4 5 practitioners like us. We're keeping an 6 eye on what they're doing in the 7 community. It starts to reduce -- and it's starting to reduce the recidivism, 8 9 but it also saves dollars on jail days. What ends up happening, if 10 11 you're saying to somebody -- I heard the 12 conversation earlier and it piqued my interest when it came up to cost. 13 14 cost of running a day reporting center, a 15 per diem per day reporting center 16 participant is substantially lower than 17 it is for an in-jail day. 18 MS. BRADFORD-GREY: What is it? 19 MR. HOGAN: Well, that would 20 depend on the style of program that you have. I mean, there's different -- these 21 can be customizable, but you could see a 22 23 program run as low as \$20 to \$25 per diem and up to about 50 to 60 depending on the 2.4 25 size of the program, what everything was

Page 196 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. wanted within the program. I mean, there 3 are versions of this that are more comprehensive than others, but -- and 4 5 volume, of course. The more participants 6 that are allocated to a program, there 7 are certain costs that are fixed, thereby the more that are in the daily per diem 8 9 is less expensive. That said, you look at most 10 jails and they're talking about 90, 100, 11 12 110 and upwards of that per diems for inmates. So it is a substantial cost 13 14 saver, and we have examples of that that 15 we've seen in Pennsylvania alone where a 16 day reporting center was implemented 17 because of jail overcrowding within the 18 county. They aggressively used the day reporting center. When they did that, 19 20 they were able to not only stop sending 21 people to other neighboring counties where they were paying them but actually 22 23 reduced the number of days within their jail. They also were seeing shorter 2.4 25 lengths of stay, shorter -- a reduction

Page 197 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. in the amount of people that were being put into the jail on a daily basis, so 3 all of the supporting complements when 4 5 you implement a program like this. 6 Without the slide show, you have -- I don't know if you have this in 7 front of you, but one of the things I 8 9 think it's important to mention that -- I also heard mention about how Philadelphia 10 11 is different than other places, and it 12 It's one of the largest cities in 13 the country. So it represents something 14 that is not kind for kind with every 15 different area where we operate programs. 16 However, I do want to make known of the 17 fact that we operate programs in the City of Los Angeles, five in Chicago, San 18 Diego, and currently we're operating a 19 20 day reporting here in Philadelphia for 21 the Department to Corrections. COUNCILMAN JONES: 22 Federal? 23 MR. HOGAN: State. And that's something that if the community --2.4 25 talking pilots, that if this is something

Page 198 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. that wanted to be piloted, we could do 3 that. An organization like that could 4 implement something like that 5 immediately. And we have the expertise 6 and we have the supporting complements within our national network that we're 7 able to do those things quickly. 8 9 To make something work on a grander scale, we implement programs from 10 11 the day we're asked to do it to opening 12 doors within 60 to 90 days, with a fully trained staff. So we're able to 13 14 recruit -- we recruit locally. We bring 15 in our training departments. We bring in 16 our quality assurance people to make sure 17 that the efficacy of the program is 18 strong, and we could do that within 60 to 90 days, and have a track record of doing 19 20 so. 2.1 So talking a little bit on one 22 of the last slides -- and I'm not going 23 to go into great detail. You have it there. But the top bar on there talks 2.4 25 about reduction on crime, positive effect

Page 199 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. on the probability of -- the net value of 3 these kind of programs. It also talks about the cost savings. Now, that 4 5 wasn't -- those data points were not run 6 by us. That was out of a study that there is a link on there to -- it was 7 Washington state. It was a Washington 8 9 state study. So this was not data that we pulled. This was data from an 10 11 independent source when it comes to day 12 reporting options. 13 We also put on there a banner 14 of some of our independent studies that 15 we've had on our programs. And you'll 16 see there, there's one from Bakersfield, 17 California, which is a pretty --18 Bakersfield is a pretty large city that had greater than a 40 percent reduction 19 20 of recidivism. Again, Chicago, their DOC had a greater than 40 percent. And then 21 Franklin County, Pennsylvania secured a 22 23 greater than 60 percent reduction of recidivism. 2.4 25 So some of the things that

Page 200 1 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 2. we're doing -- and I've mentioned those, but I know time is running long today. 3 But these things have been proven to 4 5 reduce recidivism. We're able to -- they 6 have high program fidelity. They're a 7 great local resource. When we've come into locations, we've always -- as we've 8 9 grown our programs, they've always been run by people locally that help -- I 10 11 mean, that's key. You can't recruit --12 we can't do good things within a community if we don't have people from a 13 14 community. 15 We have independent quality 16 assurance from our own company that are 17 researchers, academic researchers, that come in and check for fidelity, but also 18 check to make sure that we're continuing 19 20 to follow an evidence-based practice model. And we've been able to do that 21 22 with some great success. 23 And I think one of the things 2.4 is, we have a strong track record, that 25 this is something we've been running --

Page 201 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. when people talk day reporting center, in 3 the State of New Jersey was one of -- we 4 have five day reporting centers in the 5 State of New Jersey that we've been 6 running since the late 1990s with 7 tremendous success. We've been a great partner for theirs. I think our track 8 9 record, it pretty well speaks for itself. That's all of our formal 10 11 presentation. Happy to take questions on 12 day reporting. COUNCILMAN JONES: So I've been 13 14 here, this is my ninth year as a 15 Councilman. I've never seen anybody go 16 back and forth like that in my life. 17 guys have done this once or twice. 18 MR. SCHLAGER: Once or twice. 19 COUNCILMAN JONES: So a couple 20 of things. And this is one of my, as a 2.1 Committee member here, most intriguing aspects of a continuum. So what we're 22 23 doing is, a risk assessment determines if someone is eligible to be in a program 2.4 25 like yours or should be in a more secured

Page 202 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. facility. All of these things are kind 3 of interconnected. And so we're trying very hard to kind of show a cohesion, if 4 you would, in our thought process along 5 6 with our partners in the City. Politically, with a small P, as you see the empty desks here, all of us 8 9 have districts, and the NIMBY, I think it is, principle is in full effect when it 10 11 comes to your facility. So irrespective 12 of the fact that 500 people come back to the City returning every week, 13 14 irrespective they come from somebody's 15 zip code back to usually the zip code 16 they came from, most people, if you had 17 an organized effort to say, Hey, I'm getting ready to open up a day reporting 18 center in your area, would be resistant 19 20 to it. I watched one of my brave 21 colleagues who had doctors and 22 psychiatrists and program directors all 23 lined up to go into a facility and watched people with pitchforks and 2.4 25 torches come in here, not in my backyard.

Page 203 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. So one of the ongoing 3 discussions we've had with the past 4 Administration and -- we have to have 5 political courage too, that we have to say the facts of the numbers. Sometimes 6 7 people don't like the numbers. And say folk are returning to the zip code 8 9 anyway. Would you like that to happen with supervision or without supervision, 10 without services or with services? 11 So to that end, how do you deal 12 with the NIMBY of communities that you 13 14 find yourself in? 15 MR. HOGAN: A couple ways. 16 Certainly we have -- the first part in 17 that process is, we have personnel 18 dedicated to real estate when we open up one of these programs. So the personnel 19 20 within the GEO Group that's dedicated to real estate makes sure we site select so 21 that it's going to be most -- in an 22 23 appropriate area within the community. 2.4 So that when we go in there and we open 25 up shop, that it isn't somewhere where

Page 204 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. you're going to get the high degree of 3 resistance. That's very important. We don't want to -- if we're not wanted, 4 5 we're never going to get off the ground, 6 and it's not beneficial to anybody, least 7 of all our participants, which are exceptionally important to us, that 8 9 they're going through treatment and supervision in a safe and effective 10 11 manner. 12 But that's the first step of the process. Once that's done and we 13 14 select a location and if we run into --15 sometimes you don't run into 16 interference. When we opened in 17 Philadelphia, we didn't run into 18 interference. These places -- there's a misconception, but because the ones that 19 20 we're operating are non-residential and 21 they're not drug dispensing or any of those kind of things, which tend to get 22 23 people nervous or concerned or bring out that NIMBY factor, these are -- we've 2.4 25 oftentimes had people come in and do open

Page 205 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. houses, so they can see what it is we're opening, see what it is we're operating. 3 We become very good community partners in 4 5 these neighborhoods. People that -like, All right, this isn't a bad thing. 6 7 In fact, oftentimes these are good things and we're glad to have them. 8 Thev 9 provide a viable service. You're always going to run into 10 11 some of those things where people are 12 just -- if not site selected appropriately, you can run into those 13 14 situations where it becomes tense. But I think what best -- other than the work 15 16 out front of that, the thing that works 17 best to maintain those relationships is being transparent, is being involved, 18 being active and talking to people about 19 20 what it is exactly we're doing and what 21 it is exactly we're not doing and what 22 benefit it promotes within the community. 23 COUNCILMAN JONES: So describe for me and the panel a day in the life of 2.4 25 a participant in one of your programs.

Page 206 1 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 2. MR. SCHLAGER: Sure. 3 Typically -- and this is all dependent on 4 risk level, of course. So there may be 5 cases where some get less treatment than 6 others, depending. But on a typical day, they're going to come in. They're going to get 8 9 breathalyzed. They'll see if it's their day for a random drug screen or not. 10 11 then at that point, they're probably 12 going to do one of two things. They're either going to go for a group focusing 13 14 on either -- a lot of times we run moral 15 recognition therapy. It's a type of 16 cognitive reasoning group. Or drug and 17 alcohol group, anger group, kind of the normal treatment groups that you might 18 have, or they're going to have an 19 individual cognitive behavioral therapy 20 session with us. 2.1 22 COUNCILMAN JONES: So your 23 assessment, you determine the treatment 2.4 plan. 25 MR. SCHLAGER: Correct. And

|    |   | Page 207 |
|----|---|----------|
| 1  | 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 |          |
| 2  | then it's built from there, yes.          |          |
| 3  | MS. BRADFORD-GREY: Can I ask,             |          |
| 4  | what is the profile of a person who would |          |
| 5  | be high risk in your treatment plan?      |          |
| 6  | MR. SCHLAGER: Well                        |          |
| 7  | MS. BRADFORD-GREY: Like what              |          |
| 8  | charges would they have, what background? |          |
| 9  | MR. SCHLAGER: It's based off              |          |
| 10 | whatever risk assessment is being used    |          |
| 11 | now. In a lot of ours, we use the LSIR,   |          |
| 12 | but we're also familiar with the COMPAS,  |          |
| 13 | ORAD.                                     |          |
| 14 | COUNCILMAN JONES: On the                  |          |
| 15 | acronyms, there are some folks            |          |
| 16 | MS. BRADFORD-GREY: I don't                |          |
| 17 | know what that is either, but just the    |          |
| 18 | profile generally of a person that would  |          |
| 19 | be high risk.                             |          |
| 20 | MR. SCHLAGER: So it depends on            |          |
| 21 | the categories that the assessment is     |          |
| 22 | going after. So they're all going         |          |
| 23 | most of them are going to be based off    |          |
| 24 | dynamic risk factors, though. So is       |          |
| 25 | there a current drug and alcohol problem; |          |

Page 208 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. are there attitude, value or belief 3 issues regarding the criminal justice system or belief systems that are outside 4 5 the norm; for example, that it's okay to 6 get in a fight with somebody, those kind 7 of things that will highlight them as that being a risk area. Education, 8 9 employment levels, mental health concerns, leisure rec time, how much down 10 11 time do they have, are they just sitting 12 around doing nothing or are they involved with the community. 13 14 MS. BRADFORD-GREY: 15 criminal history come into play at all? 16 MR. SCHLAGER: Yes, but it's 17 only one factor out of many that are 18 looked at, and it's static. We don't have a tendency to focus on that. 19 20 will impact the overall risk score, but we don't look at it too much because 2.1 22 there's nothing you can do about it. It's done. 23 MR. HOGAN: Most of our 2.4 25 participants are going to spend somewhere

Page 209 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. between 10 and 15 hours total at our 3 center throughout the week, depending on 4 level of risk. The higher, the more time 5 they'll spend in some kind of treatment activity throughout the week. Most of 6 7 our centers in Pennsylvania are six-day operation. We do have one nationally 8 9 that is seven-day operation. And those six-day operations, that will be at that 10 center at least one time per day every 11 12 day of the week. They'll be drug screened a minimum of one time per week, 13 14 sometimes twice per week. And minimally 15 they'll be AC'd the second they walk 16 through the door every time they walk 17 through the door. 18 MR. ROJAS: Let me ask you 19 I worked at a drug and alcohol this. 20 methadone program in the inner city in 21 the community. At \$25 a day per inmate, 22 how are you covering the costs? 23 MR. HOGAN: Well, keep in mind 2.4 I said that the costs would vary 25 depending on a couple of factors. The

Page 210 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. level of services that we provide is 3 number one, and the second, the volume of 4 participants that are sent. So the 5 greater the amount of participants that 6 are sent, the lower the daily per diem would be. 7 But how do we cover our costs? 8 9 I mean, you must understand that we're drawing from a national resource. So 10 11 it's -- GEO is a large company. So some of the resources that are used to be able 12 to use it to build programming like this 13 14 or maintain programming like this is 15 divvied up coming from the margins of 16 dozens and dozens and dozens of programs. 17 So where one company on its own may have to pay for real estate, legal, human 18 resource, training, all those support 19 services to maintain a good effective 20 21 program, we're drawing from a national resource to be able to do that. 22 MR. ROJAS: Is that federal 23 funds? 2.4 25 MR. HOGAN: It depends on the

Page 211 1 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 2. program. So some programs we have 3 federal programs, we have state programs, we have county, we have municipal. 4 5 MR. ROJAS: Okay. 6 MS. SCHWARTZMAN: Do you charge 7 the people that go there also? Are there different expenses for different 8 9 programming pieces? MR. HOGAN: We have not done 10 11 that for day reporting centers where we 12 have some form of a co-pay. Not to say that we couldn't build a model that does 13 14 that. We haven't done that, because the 15 thought behind it is it will interfere 16 with treatment decisions. So if we're making decisions saying you have to come 17 for more, then the first answer from the 18 participant would be, Well, you want me 19 20 to come more to pay for more. So we don't do that. 2.1 Now, we deal within this 22 23 division because we do -- a subsidiary of 2.4 GEO is BI Incorporated, which is an 25 electronic monitoring company. So there

Page 212 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. are offender-funded electronic monitoring components within our company, but not in 3 the day reporting side. 4 5 MR. ROJAS: So do you have 6 stakeholders that you develop in the 7 community to help you achieve your goals with the particular individuals? 8 9 MR. HOGAN: Absolutely. One of the key principles of the effective 10 11 intervention is engaging the community 12 for support. So day reporting centers, a lot of times when we've talked about this 13 14 before, we'll describe it as a one-stop 15 shop. A person could come there and get 16 cog, employment, drug and alcohol, 17 education, supervision, anger management, parenting. All those things could be 18 built within the program. But you're 19 20 still not going to cover everything. 21 There are criminogenic needs and there are basic needs. So if a person has 22 23 something that they're dealing with medically, we're not a hospital or a 2.4 25 clinic, so we'll bring people that are

Page 213 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 and have them do presentations and make 2. our participants aware of this service 3 that's in the community and tie them in. 4 5 So when they do have medical needs --6 it's often overlooked for people in the criminal justice system -- they have somewhere else to go. 8 9 We work on housing, but we don't provide housing. So we might have 10 11 housing providers come in and have conversations like, All right, if you 12 find yourself homeless, here's what you 13 14 need to do next. We build those 15 relationships with those organizations, 16 so if someone comes into us -- and it 17 happens. Someone walks in the door, I 18 got kicked out of my house, what do I do. 19 All right, let's start working 20 on resources, let's get you a place. 21 MR. SCHLAGER: To piggyback on 22 that, something to keep in mind, John mentioned a bunch of times about 23 customization. Whenever we're looking to 2.4 25 open up a center, if you have resources

Page 214 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. in the community that are good, that you are happy with, then there's no need for 3 a redundancy of services. So if there's 4 5 a drug and alcohol program that's already 6 in operation doing good work, then why 7 would we come in and do the same thing? So we try to fill the gaps. We try to 8 9 find those areas that aren't being hit, 10 work with the community resources that 11 are already present. That way, we're 12 addressing as many needs as possible. 13 COUNCILMAN JONES: Patiently 14 waiting. 15 MR. HOLLWAY: Thank you. 16 So how do you guys define 17 failure? MR. HOGAN: Well, typically we 18 work individually, like we'll go to --19 20 when we start to work in a community, we 21 have conversations with that jurisdiction about what their definition of failure 22 23 is. Now, the ultimate failure is they don't successfully complete the program. 2.4 25 But when someone says, All right, what

Page 215 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 criteria will get them to that point, 2. 3 different jurisdictions have different thresholds of what they're comfortable 4 5 with, and we don't make that -- our determination has always been, you decide 6 who comes into this program, you decide when they come out. We will work with 8 9 them. Unless they're an extreme safety risk, we will work with them throughout, 10 11 but we don't dictate to a jurisdiction 12 saying, All right, this is the criteria you have to use for placement or removal 13 14 from this program. 15 There are some things that are 16 pretty much universal. New criminal 17 offenses seems to be something -- just 18 long-term substance abuse where the person becomes a risk to themselves, a 19 20 risk to the community, violent behavior, 21 things like that are typical if you're going to ask what a standard would be, 22 but there's a different threshold for 23 what one community might or what one 2.4 25 jurisdiction might be accepting of as

|                  |  | Page | 216 |
|------------------|--|------|-----|
| 1 9/             | 12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101  |      |     |
| 2 o <sub>1</sub> | pposed to the other.                     |      |     |
| 3                | The one thing I will say is, we          |      |     |
| 4 d              | o try to encourage that. Unless they     |      |     |
| 5 a:             | re a risk to themselves or a risk to the |      |     |
| 6 с              | ommunity, let them go through the        |      |     |
| 7 p:             | rogramming. They are going to make       |      |     |
| 8 m              | istakes. They didn't enter the criminal  |      |     |
| 9 j <sup>.</sup> | ustice system because everything was     |      |     |
| 10 g             | ood. So they're going to make mistakes.  |      |     |
| 11 A             | s a community, we have to recognize that |      |     |
| 12 a:            | nd behavior change. People didn't learn  |      |     |
| 13 c:            | riminal behavior overnight. They don't   |      |     |
| 14 1             | earn pro-social behavior overnight.      |      |     |
| 15 T             | here will be relapses. Not just          |      |     |
| 16 r             | elapses from a substance abuse           |      |     |
| 17 p             | erspective, but behaviorally. So we      |      |     |
| 18 w             | ork through those relapses to get to     |      |     |
| 19 w.            | here we want to go.                      |      |     |
| 20               | MR. HOLLWAY: Thank you.                  |      |     |
| 21               | COUNCILMAN JONES: So are you             |      |     |
| 22 f             | amiliar with Red Hook?                   |      |     |
| 23               | MR. HOGAN: Red Hook?                     |      |     |
| 24               | COUNCILMAN JONES: A community            |      |     |
| 25 c             | ourt model in Red Hook, New York.        |      |     |

|    |   | Page 217 |
|----|---|----------|
| 1  | 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 |          |
| 2  | MR. HOGAN: No.                            |          |
| 3  | COUNCILMAN JONES: You sound               |          |
| 4  | like them. I mean, you should Google      |          |
| 5  | them.                                     |          |
| 6  | MR. HOGAN: I will.                        |          |
| 7  | COUNCILMAN JONES: And they                |          |
| 8  | talk about holistic restorative justice   |          |
| 9  | models. So I would like you to look at    |          |
| 10 | them, and that's something we've taken a  |          |
| 11 | day trip                                  |          |
| 12 | MR. HOGAN: If it's an                     |          |
| 13 | evidence-based practice, if we sound      |          |
| 14 | similar is because we're not inventing    |          |
| 15 | this. There's research out there that     |          |
| 16 | says in order for this to work, this is   |          |
| 17 | the principles you ought to be following. |          |
| 18 | And the real danger that we see is either |          |
| 19 | practitioners don't follow that research, |          |
| 20 | they do what they think feels good or     |          |
| 21 | feels right instead of what's scientific. |          |
| 22 | And this is a science. This is no         |          |
| 23 | different than a medical model.           |          |
| 24 | COUNCILMAN JONES: And without             |          |
| 25 | violating your own personal privacy       |          |

|    |   | Page | 218 |
|----|---|------|-----|
| 1  | 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 |      |     |
| 2  | stuff, have you either been involved in   |      |     |
| 3  | law enforcement at all, prison?           |      |     |
| 4  | MR. HOGAN: Yes.                           |      |     |
| 5  | COUNCILMAN JONES: And then                |      |     |
| 6  | where did you get your so you're what     |      |     |
| 7  | I talked about. If a probation officer    |      |     |
| 8  | and a social worker had a baby, they      |      |     |
| 9  | would be you.                             |      |     |
| 10 | MR. SCHLAGER: It's us.                    |      |     |
| 11 | MR. HOGAN: This is what it                |      |     |
| 12 | looks like.                               |      |     |
| 13 | MR. HOLLWAY: Which one is                 |      |     |
| 14 | which?                                    |      |     |
| 15 | COUNCILMAN JONES: But, I mean,            |      |     |
| 16 | the personality characteristic.           |      |     |
| 17 | Listen, they call me the happy            |      |     |
| 18 | Councilman.                               |      |     |
| 19 | But do you I mean, the                    |      |     |
| 20 | job so what would be the ideal job        |      |     |
| 21 | description for people actually dealing   |      |     |
| 22 | with this every day? Is it someone with   |      |     |
| 23 | both sides of the hemisphere that         |      |     |
| 24 | brings                                    |      |     |
| 25 | MR. SCHLAGER: A lot of time in            |      |     |

Page 219 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. the research you'll see the Venn diagram. 3 It basically has treatment side and 4 supervision side, and where that's 5 overlapping, that is your baby. And what 6 we're getting at there is that treatment without supervision is ineffective and 7 supervision without treatment doesn't 8 9 change anything. So it really does have to be that mix of both. So people from 10 11 the criminal justice side of things have 12 a tendency to be a bit more authoritative. We're looking for the 13 14 softer side of that. On the treatment 15 side they have a tendency to internalize 16 a bit too much on what's going on with 17 the participants. We need a bit of the 18 harder edge on that side. So that 19 perfect mix is what we're looking for, 20 the ability to be empathetic while still 21 holding them accountable for their actions. 22 23 COUNCILMAN JONES: And I use 2.4 colorful metaphors sometimes to get my 25 point across, and I hope I don't offend

Page 220 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. when I do it. But when I travel in my 3 district in some of the more challenging 4 parts is that figure, father or mother, 5 missing. And unfortunately at a certain 6 point in one's life, they wind up in a 7 situation where now they're finally getting that structure and social worker, 8 9 the empathy and sympathy but consistency that they needed all along and gravitate 10 to it really well. So what I'm hoping to 11 12 do is try to synthesize some of the things that we're learning to give that. 13 14 Because I'm familiar with -- what is it, the Youth Court -- not Youth Court that 15 16 vou dealt with. We dealt with -- was it 17 Youth Court? Your rendition of it. was it called? 18 19 MR. MOSEE: I'm not sure what 20 you're referring to. 2.1 COUNCILMAN JONES: So you ran a program dealing with the criminal justice 22 23 alternatives for juveniles. Was it called Youth Court or was it called 2.4 25 something else?

|    |   | Page 221 |
|----|---|----------|
| 1  | 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 |          |
| 2  | DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BETHEL: I             |          |
| 3  | think it's the Youth Aid Panel.           |          |
| 4  | COUNCILMAN JONES: Youth Aid               |          |
| 5  | Panel.                                    |          |
| 6  | You did run it. You still do?             |          |
| 7  | DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BETHEL:               |          |
| 8  | George does so much.                      |          |
| 9  | COUNCILMAN JONES: George does             |          |
| 10 | so much. He had to think what year was    |          |
| 11 | it, what month.                           |          |
| 12 | But those kinds of structures             |          |
| 13 | and in some of my schools where there are |          |
| 14 | challenges and climate challenges, as     |          |
| 15 | they call it, there's no strong principle |          |
| 16 | that's a kind of guiding hand but firm to |          |
| 17 | where you want the behavior to be. And    |          |
| 18 | I'm not talking about corporal            |          |
| 19 | punishment, but, no, you're not going to  |          |
| 20 | do that in my class, period. You cross    |          |
| 21 | the line. And then that four/one ratio    |          |
| 22 | that you talked about is truly            |          |
| 23 | intriguing, because you have to           |          |
| 24 | positively reinforce good behavior.       |          |
| 25 | MR. HOGAN: Those principles               |          |

Page 222 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. are for behavior change. So whether 3 you're talking about the criminal justice system, your schools, I mean, if you're 4 5 looking at things that you need to do, 6 those principles can be applied in any 7 dynamic. So if you're saying that -- the assessment is to identify it and identify 8 9 who and what needs to be done -- what needs to be targeted. Targeting 10 11 interventions, rewarding, motivating. 12 mean, these are core principles. are not things that should be considered 13 14 groundbreaking. 15 COUNCILMAN JONES: So my last 16 question, how much do you involve community service, almost in a 17 18 restoration back to the community? Do you do any of that? 19 We do. Within the 20 MR. HOGAN: 21 moral recognition therapy, or MRT that we do, it requires two different -- it's a 22 23 12-step, not to be confused with the 12-step model, but there are two 2.4 25 different sessions that require community

Page 223 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. service that needs to be signed off of that's independent to court order with 3 that exact principle in mind. The reason 4 5 the step was designed that way is to say, 6 Okay, you need to be doing some act of 7 altruism to reengage yourself within the community because you're out of it, 8 9 you're on the framework. You need to be 10 part of your community again. 11 COUNCILMAN JONES: Do you hire 12 people from the community? 13 MR. SCHLAGER: Absolutely. 14 MR. HOGAN: Absolutely. 15 COUNCILMAN JONES: Elaborate. 16 MR. HOGAN: I mean, in fact, we 17 prioritize it. If you look at any one of our centers nationally, they're made up 18 predominantly -- yes, there are occasions 19 20 where someone transfers in because they 21 want to work in a certain place, but overwhelmingly people that work in our 22 23 programs are from that community. have to be, because there are resources 2.4 25 that they're going to tie our

```
Page 224
        9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101
 1
 2.
         participants in that an outsider is not
 3
         going to be aware of.
 4
                   COUNCILMAN JONES: Nicely done.
 5
                   Questions?
 6
                   (No response.)
                   COUNCILMAN JONES: Well, thank
         you so much for --
 8
 9
                   MR. HOGAN:
                                Thank you.
                   COUNCILMAN JONES: Ms. Williams
10
11
         has you on speed dial.
12
                   THE CLERK: I will be
13
         contacting you.
14
                   MR. HOGAN: We look forward to
15
         it.
              Thank you all.
16
                   THE CLERK: We have one final
17
         witness before we end today's hearing,
         Dr. Ghose from the University of
18
19
         Pennsylvania.
20
                    (Witness approached witness
2.1
         table.)
22
                   COUNCILMAN JONES:
                                       Welcome,
23
         Dr. Ghose. Thank you for your patience.
         You must have did something to Ms.
2.4
25
         Williams to make you absolutely last.
```

Page 225 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. Whatever it is, you need to beg forgiveness. 3 4 DR. GHOSE: I'm going to be 5 short. I'm going to be brief. 6 My name is T.J. Ghose. I'm a 7 Professor at the University of Pennsylvania in the School of Social 8 9 Policy and Practice, and I'm also an author under Penn top-ten book around the 10 top ten issues that we're facing as a 11 12 society. My issue is incarceration, substance use, and homelessness, the 13 14 three intersecting social situations that 15 put our communities at very high risk. I mean, I had a lot to say and 16 17 I've been hearing this, and I'm going to just modify -- I'm just going to throw 18 19 all of this out a little bit and just 20 modify what I was going to say to respond 21 to some of the questions that have come 22 up to better use your time. 23 First and foremost, as a Penn 2.4 Professor, I must say that what you heard 25 from Dr. Berk is not uncontested.

Page 226 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. are many of us -- and this is hard for me to say, because of course -- but that's 3 the academic field, right? We have to 4 5 have conversations. Exactly. 6 There are many of our social scientists who take deep issue with the 7 implications of the kind of statistical 8 9 modeling he has presented to you. not just us as social scientists, the 10 country has taken deep issue with that in 11 the Supreme Court. The Civil Rights 12 Movement at that point in time dealt with 13 14 this very important issue of what we call statistical discrimination. 15 The fact 16 that you have statistical tendencies does 17 not actually translate to the ability to That's not apply that to the individual. 18 a legal mandate. That is a legal mandate 19 20 after the civil rights decisions. 21 is a mathematical principle, and from my 22 background in math and physics, I will 23 tell you that a lot of -- I'll give you three really quick examples of how the 2.4 25 stats comes from physics, then goes into

Page 227 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. psychology, and now is in social 3 behavioral science and where we start to really become murky around our 4 5 assumptions. 6 So in physics, we have -- when 7 I was in physics -- I'm getting my degree in physics -- I was in quantum theory. 8 9 That was where my work was. Probability theory comes from quantum theory, 10 11 especially modern probability theory. 12 This year in physics is that we are dealing with the same exact atom over 13 14 and over and over again. It's the same 15 exact physical atom. So when we talk 16 about the probability of where to find 17 that atom, we're not talking about different atoms looking like that atom 18 that we are basing our stats on. That's 19 20 number one. That's a very simple system. 21 As soon as we go from one atom to two, the probability theories that we have for 22 23 that atom go out the window, which is why in physics today even two atomic systems 2.4 25 are impossible to predict. Despite being

Page 228 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. the same exact -- we know the same exact 3 characteristics between those two atoms, we still cannot, cannot -- I will put 4 5 this on the table. We cannot predict 6 with much confidence a two-atomic system. Now we go to psychology, my area really where I've been trained. The 8 9 DSM, Diagnostic Statistical Manual, is how we come to conclusions about what a 10 person has in terms of a malady. 11 12 these are statistical categories. 13 However, we are still dealing with 14 biometrics, biological processes. We're 15 still dealing with people -- when I have 16 depression, there are certain biological 17 processes going on inside my body that 18 look very much like the same hormonal biological processes that go on in 19 20 someone else's body. We have to still 21 deal with the probabilities, because you and I might actually deal with the same 22 23 hormones differently. So there's still a probability, non-confidence and terrible, 2.4 25 so to speak, as to even though we have

Page 229 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. the same exact hormonal situation in our two bodies, whether or not I am depressed 3 versus you are depressed. That's why 4 5 it's only a probability. The Diagnostic 6 Statistical Manual, DSM, which we base 7 our entire diagnoses on is a probabilistic manual. There's a high 8 9 probability if you take off these that you might have depression. We are still 10 11 in the zone of biological factors, which 12 are -- which look exactly the same across 13 human beings. 14 Now we go to what -- and I'm 15 sorry to be provocative, but I have to 16 We go to the zone which Hitler made 17 famous, the use of physical processes to predict social biological behaviors. 18 This was an entire -- there's a history 19 20 to this. There's a social history to 21 this that goes -- it goes right back to fascist regimes, and we need to 22 understand that, because now we are 23 dealing with not biology, not uniform 2.4 25 atoms. We are dealing with behaviors.

Page 230 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. And in our field, for example, 95 percent 3 probabilities are cut off for saying that behaviorally there's a high probability 4 5 that my hypothesis might be true 6 behaviorally. You heard today a 7 presentation that says 90 percent might be predictive. That is danger zones. 8 9 Number two, now we're dealing with the fact that when we take -- and 10 this is statistics. I would love to have 11 a conversation -- I have had a 12 conversation with Dr. Berk about this. 13 would love to have this conversation --14 15 take this conversation further, because 16 what he said is absolutely right, that 17 the group processes, it is easy to come to these -- not easy, but it's 18 complicated, but at least you come to 19 20 some kind of a score that applies to the 21 That is important to understand, because the minute you take the group 22 23 applicability to the individual and try to predict what that one individual will 2.4 25 do tomorrow or the day after or the day

Page 231 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. after that, you are now mathematically --3 I'm not even talking about the problems of biology versus behavior. I'm talking 4 5 about mathematics. You are in murky 6 zone. And this is what led the courts in the 1960s to say statistical discrimination is illegal, it's racist. 8 9 And this is the basis of disparate outcomes rulings. 10 11 I'm not accusing anyone of 12 being racist for coming up with these stats. What I am saying is regardless of 13 14 motivation, when because of these social 15 behavioral processes you come to the 16 conclusion that certain groups, like African American men in this particular 17 case, are disparately impacted, then that 18 is illegal. 19 We have had this conversation. 20 2.1 It is unfortunate that we are revisiting this conversation in this day and age. 22 But revisit we must. Then we must 23 revisit it with science, and the kind of 2.4 25 science I'm trying to present as -- I

Page 232 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. will never say I'm the kind of 3 statistician that Dr. Berk is. Neither will I say I'm the kind of lawyer that 4 5 the lawyers successfully argued against 6 these kind of statistics back in the '60s were. But I am a little bit of both. a social worker, I do have training in 8 9 these fields. As a social scientist, I do have training in these fields. 10 11 are ongoing conversations. These must 12 not be taken as protocols, because we will be revisiting -- all the kinds of 13 14 statistical discrimination processes that 15 predate our time here go right back to 16 when we actually deemed them illegal and unfeasible, especially to people of color 17 in this country. And I will tell you 18 from a personal point of view. Look at 19 I don't look like the Penn 20 me. 21 professor, right? I mean, I have had an 22 engagement with the criminal history 23 system -- criminal justice system, not just in this country but back in my own 2.4 25 country in India before I came here. I

Page 233 1 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 2. escaped those situations. I was sent off to fight wars where I would have lost my 3 head and back because I wasn't really 4 5 trained for it, because I was a high risk 6 category, right from when I was a young 7 I had to actually escape that kid. situation to come to this country, 8 9 because I had individual civil rights. needed individually to fight back against 10 11 that category I was placed in by people 12 who raised me, my country, India. consider myself now to be product of two 13 14 countries. 15 Certainly the biggest thing 16 about this country that I love, the one 17 thing -- especially in this moment post 18 9/11, is the fact that individually I have been able to establish my rights. 19 20 And some people have looked at me and 21 said, You are not statistically that 22 category. We will not -- if they have 23 surveilled me, by the way, if they had put those eyes on me in my first years in 2.4 25 this country, I would have been sent back

Page 234 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. on a boat. I would have been sent back on a plane. Because the more you watch 3 somebody, the more they become criminal, 4 5 because you catch them doing the acts 6 that others are doing too. My students now at the University of Pennsylvania are smoking 8 9 pot every weekend. They just are not getting caught doing it, because they're 10 11 not in the probation system. 12 not -- they do not have parole officers they have to pee for, right? So the more 13 14 you surveil somebody -- it's a 15 self-fulfilling prophecy -- the more you 16 are likely to find criminality. 17 So let's throw all of this out of the box. Let's think outside the box 18 actually, right? Because what I'm trying 19 20 to tell you is that we have a problem 21 that we're trying to solve by thinking outside the box. The problem is not the 22 23 numbers. The problem is the environment of criminality that we have imposed on 2.4 25 our communities. The problem is that we

Page 235 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. have such a high level of surveillance 3 now, that we are bound to find what we're 4 looking for. It's the Heisenberg 5 principle, the Heisenberg uncertainty 6 principle from quantum theory from my old 7 physics background. I do have a degree in it. I had to complete it to stay 8 9 here. But that principle says you change what you observe. And Dr. Berk's 10 11 statistical protocol is changing what it 12 is observing. How? It is being used currently in Philadelphia to categorize 13 14 people in high risk to medium risk to low 15 risk groups on probation. Guess what 16 those high-risk groups end up -- where 17 they end up in the criminal justice 18 system? They end up exactly where they were categorized into. They are watched 19 20 They are, therefore, violated 2.1 more. 22 This is an important thing to 23 keep in mind, very, very important. do not have just efficiency mind here or 2.4 25 even fairness. We have the very tenets

Page 236 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 of the American system of justice at stake here. Scientifically we have also the 4 5 standards of what exactly are we talking about. We are not -- when you say a 7 person has an 80 percent risk of using substances, we do not say what you think 8 9 we say. Mathematicians and statisticians know what that means. That means that if 10 11 that person were to be followed X number 12 of times -- and it has to be 100 times --80 percent of those times he might be 13 14 using substances. But that's not what we 15 base our judgment and decisions on. 16 base our judgment and decisions on 17 whether they will commit this act again, 18 not if they repeat the same thing. Or if you find 100 people who look exactly like 19 20 that person, how many of them will use 2.1 substances. That's what the math says. 22 But when it translates into English in an 23 emphasized way to be applied to an emphasized social behavioral environment 2.4 25 like human behavior, it gets lost in

Page 237 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 translation, and it is very important. 2. I understand five languages. Ι 3 work all over the world in this issue, 4 5 and I will tell you what gets lost in 6 translation is exactly where our issues 7 are. To go back to a little bit of 8 9 what I wanted to talk about also -- this was part of what I was going to say, but 10 11 it kind of became such an overriding 12 concern. I don't know if you saw, I don't keep my facial expressions very 13 14 blank. I've never learned that. 15 there, Oh, let me speak, please. I need to say something. 16 17 Mathematically I would like to have this conversation further with 18 transparency. I think the communities 19 20 need to know what we're talking about, because they are deep, deep issues here. 21 COUNCILMAN JONES: 22 Had we 23 known -- you had a title for him? 2.4 MS. BRADFORD-GREY: That you 25 were a rock star.

| 1 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 2 COUNCILMAN JONES: Too. Both 3 of you. 4 DR. GHOSE: I just pretend to 5 be one. |  |
|--|--|
| 3 of you.<br>4 DR. GHOSE: I just pretend to  |  |
| 4 DR. GHOSE: I just pretend to   |  |
|  |  |
| 5 be one.  |  |
|  |  |
| 6 COUNCILMAN JONES: We'd have  |  |
| 7 had you both side by side, and that  |  |
| 8 probably would have been better.   |  |
| 9 DR. GHOSE: I think it's a  |  |
| 10 great conversation to have, because I   |  |
| 11 think a lot of eyes are on this, right,   |  |
| 12 across the City, across the country,  |  |
| across the world, if you will. And I   |  |
| 14 think the history of the social   |  |
| 15 history of these kind of statistics also  |  |
| needs to be on display.  |  |
| 17 Number one, I will say that   |  |
| 18 I work with the United Nations. I work  |  |
| 19 with the World Bank on this issue, and  |  |
| I'm so excited that we're here in  |  |
| 21 Philadelphia talking about this, because  |  |
| 22 Philadelphia is at the crux of so much of   |  |
| what we're talking about and trying to   |  |
| dismantle the carceral regime we have  |  |
| 25 created over the years. And it is   |  |

Page 239 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. seriously exciting to come and think about it here at a time in my career -- I 3 got my tenure a few years ago and I said, 4 5 What do I really want to do with the 6 standing of thing, how they can't fire 7 So let's do the thing that really is me? out there. So let's think out of the 8 9 box, and this is exactly where I landed. Let's do what we've been doing in India 10 11 and Haiti and New York City and then in 12 Saint Louis. Let's try to bring it to Philadelphia. And what is this? 13 14 is -- we created with independent 15 funding -- I raised my own funding so 16 that I wouldn't be beholden to anyone, because we wanted it to be a total pilot 17 project, outside the box, create 18 something that we kind of modulate as we 19 20 go along and reform as we go along so 21 that we learn from it, and we've done this for a year. It's called the Center 22 for Carceral Communities. And the Center 23 for Carceral Communities, I named it 2.4 25 that, because it's not obvious, because

Page 240 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. of the NIMBY effect. So that people are kind of like, What is that? We're a 3 community. We're all affected by this 4 5 incarceration, but we're carceral, which 6 means a little bit of a different thing. 7 But the point being, we wanted to bring together a process that was not for 8 9 money. We have no stakes in this in terms of money. Bring together people --10 I went around and said, Do you want to 11 12 donate two hours of your time a week to the social workers, psychiatrists, 13 14 doctors, lawyers, et cetera, et cetera, 15 so we can create something that we 16 learned in school, but we can't implement out there. And I cannot tell you the 17 overwhelming response I got. I went to a 18 guy from Wall Street who said, I'll give 19 20 you the money, just do it. 21 I said, No strings attached, 22 right? Because I was in Occupy, so I can't be related to Wall Street. 23 And he said, No strings 2.4 25 And we got the money for a attached.

Page 241 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. five-year run, you know. And one of the 3 programs -- I'll talk about the other 4 programs perhaps in a different panel, 5 but one of the programs we do is a 6 pretrial diversion where we work with the 7 Community College of Philadelphia, and that's where, Councilman Jones, you and I 8 9 met first when you were at the graduation. Most of the guys who 10 11 graduated that program were from our 12 center. What we do is, we provide the 13 14 background psychosocial support and 15 political and advocacy support, and we do 16 it with a lot of evidence-based practice, 17 straight from our classrooms and our labs 18 into the program. We run CBT and 19 motivational interviewing groups, some of 20 which you heard before, but we were 21 modifying it, because we realized that the people who don't last are never 22 23 measured. They fall off. If CBT isn't 2.4 for them, they just go away, and so we 25 end up measuring only those who succeed.

Page 242 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. It's a selection bias. So we held on to the folks who 3 said this is not for me. We went after 4 5 We went into communities. them. 6 like, Hey, let's read that cudoba (ph), 7 tell me what's going on. And we started modifying, and this is what emerged. 8 9 have a whole protocol. We have -- we threw out individual sessions unless it 10 11 was in crisis management. We realized it 12 didn't work. People of color from the streets do not want to sit one on one 13 14 with therapists who don't look like them. 15 That's the first thing we learned. 16 they will sit with each other. 17 So we have a group therapy 18 process that is called the CHAPS model. I will go into the details later, but 19 20 what it is is we actually train folks in 21 the group to become therapists, group therapists, collective therapists for 22 23 each other, and we actually certify them. And they bring in folks as the model 2.4 25 evolves.

Page 243 1 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 2. We also make sure that they're connected not to a McDonald's job. 3 sorry, McDonald's, but not to a 4 5 burger-flipping job, not to a job that 6 pays them 7 bucks, 10 bucks an hour. 7 It's not enough. It's not enough to get out of that situation. 8 9 We literally sit down over movies, over coffee and talk about bigger 10 11 life goals. And so what they've come up 12 with is, they want a business. So we have created a business, a small 13 14 non-profit business that is now about to start on a thrift store business in Penn. 15 There are a lot of Penn folks who will 16 17 buy our stuff. We're a Penn outfit. And this is owned entirely by the folks at 18 19 the center. 20 Number two, they want They really want careers. 21 education. 22 this is where the CCP program came in. 23 We worked with CCP, Community College of Philadelphia, where we are centered, by 2.4 25 the way. The West Philly campus of CCP,

Page 244 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. we're centered there, and we have them in 3 So they are actually taking classes. 4 classes. They're taking the first two 5 years of college at CCP. Because the Obama Administration has thrown some 6 7 funding at that, right, and so we're utilizing that. Then they go into two 8 9 years of colleges where I have connections with old students, for 10 example, at West Chester, et cetera, and 11 12 they go into programs like the BSW program, the Bachelor of Science program, 13 14 which are accredited. Not Associate's 15 degrees, because sometimes Associate degrees are just not enough. 16 17 So they go into those programs, 18 and then from there, they go into a Master's level program, such as an MSW 19 20 program. So it's a real tangible degree, 21 right? In the meantime, we are monitoring their success and we have --22 23 I'm waiting for the other shoe to drop, because I'm a skeptic at the heart of it 2.4 25 I just don't believe things work so all.

Page 245 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. well. But for now, after a year, we have 3 had out of about 80 folks who have gone through the program in a year, we have 4 5 had one person who has been re-incarcerated, and that is still 6 7 pending. And we have been literally opened -- we have opened our doors to the 8 9 highest risk clients. We have gone into courtrooms. 10 11 I have personal relationships with judges and peers and told them, Send us your 12 worst-case scenarios and we will work 13 14 with them. Because it's supposed to be a 15 model program. It's supposed to be 16 testing the borders. If we can't do 17 that, then there's no point to this. we have had one case of re-incarceration. 18 These folks who are -- we have 19 20 a mix in these groups. We throw the 21 pretrial groups with the post-trial. There are some plea bargains with drug 22 23 courts. We throw them together. one group, you might find someone who is 2.4 25 a pretrial person interacting with

Page 246 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. someone who has just come out of the 3 system after 24 years. We have folks who have come out of the system after 24, 30 4 5 years. And it works as a wonderful 6 crucible for the folks who are just 7 starting out to see where these folks are who are coming out of that system and 8 9 saying, Don't do it. Let's work together to put my life back and let's work 10 11 together to deter you from this 12 particular life that you're about to It's very powerful. 13 start on. It's verv 14 powerful. It is way more powerful that 15 me as a therapist sitting down and doing 16 CBT for them. We do it in a CBT format, 17 because we train everybody to do it 18 amongst themselves. 19 We do a lot of advocacy. We do a lot of arts. A number of our folks --20 21 this is a Philadelphia thing. I don't know -- if you walk to KMA, the station 22 23 there, the El station, you see people distributing the best rap CD's ever, 2.4 25 because everybody is a sheer budding

Page 247 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. point on our streets. And so we harness 3 that, and we do art development. We have 4 spoken word. We're about to do a whole 5 visual arts project in the program that 6 allows them to really plug into the arts in the communities. 7 We have family night. We open 8 9 the doors to all the families. families are very complicated families, 10 and these families are the support 11 12 system. So we open the doors, and the families come in. So we do a bunch of 13 14 this. 15 And most importantly I should 16 say the funding. I want to really 17 address the funding. So, yeah, we have 18 this free system, but what we -- the 19 Affordable Care Act actually allows all 20 our clients to be paid for. They have 21 insurance. But none of them is signed up because the City of Philadelphia does not 22 23 do a good job of efficiently signing up young men of color who are right now 2.4 25 eligible under the ACA.

|    |   | Page 248 |
|----|---|----------|
| 1  | 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 |          |
| 2  | DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BETHEL:               |          |
| 3  | Hey, Doc, I don't want to cut you off.    |          |
| 4  | The reason I want to be very              |          |
| 5  | respectful, because you waited all this   |          |
| 6  | time. The only issue we have is our       |          |
| 7  | stenographer has been going at it for a   |          |
| 8  | while and we just need to get an idea of  |          |
| 9  | how much longer                           |          |
| 10 | DR. GHOSE: Two more minutes.              |          |
| 11 | Two minutes.                              |          |
| 12 | DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BETHEL:               |          |
| 13 | Again, I want to be respectful.           |          |
| 14 | DR. GHOSE: Absolutely.                    |          |
| 15 | DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BETHEL:               |          |
| 16 | You waited all this time. I just got      |          |
| 17 | queued that the stenographer is coming    |          |
| 18 | down the wire.                            |          |
| 19 | DR. GHOSE: I will totally                 |          |
| 20 | do just let's talk about the funding,     |          |
| 21 | because that was a big issue for          |          |
| 22 | everybody, right?                         |          |
| 23 | Our folks can be funded, not by           |          |
| 24 | private enterprises but by the Affordable |          |
| 25 | Care Act, and yet they are not signed up, |          |
|    |   |          |

Page 249 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 1 2. because old agencies are doing the old services still. Currently, CBH, the 3 4 Community Behavioral Health Services, has 5 put out an RFP to expand coverage to 6 these new folks coming out of prison who are under the Affordable Care Act or 7 before they go into prison. They're all 8 9 eligible. But the old agencies are still doing the signing up. They don't --10 they're not set up the way we are. 11 12 They're not set up with these folks in They're set up for folks who are 13 mind. 14 under Medicaid coverage in the previous 15 year, before the ACA, which is usually 16 single women with a substance use 17 problem. So we need to really think as a 18 19 city outside the box. There is funding, 20 but it has to be done through CBH, through Medicaid, going to new agencies 21 that are geared towards the incarcerated 22 23 population or the pre-incarcerated That's a totally different 2.4 population. 25 sort of agency. It cannot be -- I'm

Page 250 1 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 2. sorry to mention names, but I've got 3 tenure, so I can do this. It can't be 4 Gaudenzia. It can't be the same old, same old agencies. They are not set up 5 6 to actually do the services. 7 unfortunately that's how Philadelphia has done things. Let's just go with what's 8 9 there. Let's expand, because there's new resources, but ultimately those resources 10 11 do not get efficiently used targeting 12 this particular population of extremely high needs. 13 14 So I would suggest to us that 15 we really look -- funding is there, but 16 it has to be implemented efficiently with 17 new agencies, with a goal of actually addressing this particular population. 18 That's who should get the funding as we 19 20 move forward. 21 Thank you. 22 MS. BRADFORD-GREY: Thank you 23 so much, Dr. Ghose. I am really -- I was excited to hear your testimony. I don't 2.4 25 think I've ever heard you before and I'm

## Special Committee on Criminal Justice Reform September 12, 2016

|    |   | Page 251 |
|----|---|----------|
| 1  | 9/12/16 - SPECIAL COMMITTEE - RES. 160101 |          |
| 2  | the Chief Public Defender, so I really,   |          |
| 3  | really want to have coffee with you one   |          |
| 4  | day. That's all I'm going to say.         |          |
| 5  | DR. GHOSE: Absolutely.                    |          |
| 6  | DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BETHEL:               |          |
| 7  | Any questions on the panel?               |          |
| 8  | (No response.)                            |          |
| 9  | DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BETHEL:               |          |
| 10 | The hearing on the resolution will now    |          |
| 11 | recess to the call of the Chair.          |          |
| 12 | Thank you, Doctor, for your               |          |
| 13 | testimony.                                |          |
| 14 | DR. GHOSE: Thank you.                     |          |
| 15 | (Special Committee on Criminal            |          |
| 16 | Justice Reform concluded at 5:05 p.m.)    |          |
| 17 |   |          |
| 18 |   |          |
| 19 |   |          |
| 20 |   |          |
| 21 |   |          |
| 22 |   |          |
| 23 |   |          |
| 24 |   |          |
| 25 |   |          |

```
Page 252
 1
 2.
                     CERTIFICATE
 3
              I HEREBY CERTIFY that the
 4
     proceedings, evidence and objections are
 5
     contained fully and accurately in the
     stenographic notes taken by me upon the
 6
     foregoing matter, and that this is a true and
 7
     correct transcript of same.
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
     MICHELE L. MURPHY
15
     RPR-Notary Public
16
17
18
19
20
              (The foregoing certification of this
     transcript does not apply to any reproduction
21
     of the same by any means, unless under the
22
     direct control and/or supervision of the
23
24
     certifying reporter.)
25
```

## Special Committee on Criminal Justice Reform September 12, 2016

|                          |                       |                  |                            |                        |                     |                    | Page 1           |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------------|
|                          |                       | I                | I                          | I                      | I                   | I                  |                  |
| A                        | 156:9 212:9           | 142:2            | <b>Adam</b> 173:18         | 1:19 6:8               | 231:22              | alerts 51:3        | 107:20           |
| a.m 41:21                | 223:13,14             | accumulated      | 174:17                     | 203:4 244:6            | agencies 16:2       | algorithm          | 192:13           |
| <b>AA</b> 58:17          | 224:25                | 141:19           | 175:6                      | administrat            | 121:14              | 38:14 40:3         | 197:2 210:5      |
| abdominal                | 230:16                | accuracy         | 181:22                     | 8:24 160:14            | 249:2,9,21          | 40:5               | amounts          |
| 122:21                   | 248:14                | 124:24,25        | adamant                    | Administra             | 250:5,17            | algorithms         | 17:16            |
| abide 113:25             | 251:5                 | 127:7            | 111:2                      | 42:25                  | agency 52:21        | 40:8 130:6         | 112:25           |
| ability 15:8             | abstaining            | 154:19           | add 97:13                  | administrat            | 55:18               | 144:8              | analogy          |
| 33:2 39:14               | 177:6                 | 155:6            | 99:6 148:9                 | 3:20                   | 249:25              | 159:10             | 35:19            |
| 41:2 64:3                | abstract 37:4         | 163:21           | 156:17                     | <b>Adult</b> 43:4      | aggregating         | allegation         | 181:14           |
| 70:8,20                  | abuse 177:7           | 170:17,19        | 163:11                     | 54:12                  | 124:14              | 115:16             | 184:24           |
| 77:22,23,24              | 177:20                | accurate         | added 149:17               | advance                | aggressive          | Allegheny          | analysis         |
| 114:20                   | 182:7                 | 125:14,24        | addition                   | 138:11                 | 186:20              | 54:6,8             | 21:10 30:15      |
| 139:23                   | 215:18                | 126:6,13         | 14:11 15:23                | advancing              | 193:5,24            | allocated          | 135:19           |
| 159.23                   | 216:16                | 128:2,7,8        | 34:19                      | 7:19                   | aggressively        | 196:6              | analyzes 12:2    |
| 219:20                   | AC'd 209:15           | 143:25           | 101:15                     | advantage              | 196:18              | allow 9:4,10       | and/or           |
| 226:17                   | ACA 247:25            | 159:12           | 147:9                      | 9:8 47:2               | ago 46:2 67:5       | 11:9 19:4          | 252:23           |
| able 13:4                | 249:15                | 161:6 173:5      | additional                 | 69:20                  | 83:16 146:9         | 19:14 78:4         | Angeles          |
|                          | academia              | accurately       | 15:17 19:12                | 127:24                 | 155:11              | 78:5 124:20        | 197:18           |
| 36:6 37:4                | 161:8                 | 134:21           | 41:6 47:19                 | adverse 12:10          | 239:4               | 143:7              | anger 28:14      |
| 53:20 64:5<br>69:5 70:23 | academic 6:6          | 252:5            | 48:13,23                   | 16:15                  | agree 31:13         | 144:18             | 29:21            |
|                          | 6:17 7:25             | accusing         | 97:17                      | advocacy               | 40:12 95:12         | allowed            | 206:17           |
| 75:14 89:15              | 22:7 145:2            | 231:11           | 119:15                     | 30:23 31:4             | 98:22               | 133:12             | 212:17           |
| 90:25                    | 179:22                | aces 161:3       | 149:8,10,11                | 31:17                  | 105:15              | allowing           | angry 103:25     |
| 118:13                   | 200:17                | achieve 53:17    | 160:18                     | 241:15                 | 106:6               | 85:24              | ankle 49:12      |
| 119:9                    | 226:4                 | 128:9            | address 69:7               | 246:19                 | 107:10,11           | allows 45:11       | 49:16 57:9       |
| 134:21                   | accept 56:10          | 168:21           | 69:24 71:21                | advocate               | 107:20              | 52:22              | Ann 1:12         |
| 138:18                   | 140:2                 | 212:7            | 73:13 76:22                | 31:12                  | 134:20              | 188:16             | 148:19           |
| 143:23                   | accepting             | achieving        | 76:23 77:5                 | 114:23                 | 172:13              | 247:6,19           | annually 45:5    |
| 146:12                   | 215:25                | 110:13           | 77:12 79:2                 | 170:4,12               | <b>agreed</b> 99:6  | alluding           | anomalies        |
| 147:7,8                  | access 131:18         | 135:24           | 79:11 80:5                 | 194:19                 | 105:23              | 152:23             | 4:23             |
| 152:4,6                  | accommoda             | acronyms         | 80:6 158:13                | advocates              | agreeing            | alternative        | answer 43:18     |
| 154:23                   | 48:5                  | 207:15           | 191:2                      | 98:24                  | 104:14              | 41:8               | 47:18 63:12      |
| 155:11                   | accommoda             | act 104:8        | 247:17                     | 100:15                 | agreement           | alternatives       | 70:6 71:5        |
| 160:2                    | 88:20                 | 223:6            | addresses                  | 156:18                 | 97:21               | 3:6,15 5:15        | 89:15            |
| 194:18                   | accomplish            | 236:17           | 71:19 133:7                | 158:13                 |                     | 18:15 22:24        | 126:17           |
| 196:20                   | 189:9                 | 247:19           | addressing                 | <b>affect</b> 16:2,3   | agreements<br>99:4  | 102:14             | 130:21           |
| 198:8,13                 |                       | 247.19           | 3:7 176:6                  | 35:23 41:15            | Aid 221:3,4         | 102.14             | 170:13           |
| 200:5,21                 | account<br>40:21 60:2 | 248.23           | 214:12                     | 147:4                  | aided 156:14        | 114:13             | 211:18           |
| 210:12,22                | 60:9 61:12            | actions          | 250:18                     | <b>afford</b> 3:13     | 156:15              | 220:23             | anti-social      |
| 233:19                   | 114:19                | 219:22           | adds 147:24                | 17:16                  | Airy 5:4            | altruism           | 178:9            |
| abscond                  | 132:19                | active 205:19    | adds 147:24<br>adhere 73:9 | Affordable             | 131:7               | 223:7              | 182:16           |
| 76:25                    | 132:19                | activities       | adherence                  | 247:19                 | 131:7               | Amendment          | 183:2            |
| absconding               | 133:2,24              | 191:8            | 113:6                      | 247:19                 | alcohol 80:17       | 32:10              | anticipate       |
| 77:14                    | · ·                   |                  |                            | 248.24                 |                     |                    |                  |
| absence                  | 140:8<br>146:10       | activity 15:12   | adjudication<br>192:19     | 249:7<br>afraid 145:11 | 104:11<br>106:12    | American<br>231:17 | 134:22<br>153:18 |
| 57:21                    |                       | 90:5,8           |                            |                        |                     |                    |                  |
| absolute                 | 147:18                | 141:21           | adjust 6:22                | <b>African</b> 127:18  | 177:4               | 236:2              | anxiously        |
| 38:17 40:9               | accountabil           | 209:6            | 170:16                     |                        | 182:23              | Americans          | 119:10           |
| absolutely               | 177:18                | acts 234:5       | adjustments                | 231:17                 | 206:17              | 127:18             | anybody          |
| 51:23 131:3              | accountable           | actual 9:11      | 10:4                       | <b>afternoon</b> 2:3   | 207:25              | amount 12:21       | 90:12 98:21      |
| 133:22                   | 219:21                | actuarial        | administer                 | 42:21 134:4            | 209:19              | 13:11 14:7         | 102:16           |
| 140:3,13,25              | accredited            | 18:25 23:3       | 26:22 68:12                | 134:5                  | 212:16              | 48:18 49:10        | 169:10           |
| 141:5 151:5              | 244:14                | 25:3 180:8       | 88:12                      | age 26:14              | 214:5               | 57:15 98:17        | 171:13           |
| 151:20                   | accumulate            | <b>ADA</b> 94:14 | Administra                 | 145:16                 | <b>alert</b> 50:8,9 | 102:4              | 201:15           |
|                          |                       |                  |                            |                        |                     |                    |                  |
|                          | -                     | -                | -                          | -                      | -                   | -                  |                  |

|                     |               |               |             |               |                |               | Page 2             |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|--------------------|
|                     | Ī             | 1             | 1           | 1             | I              | 1             | 1                  |
| 204:6               | 121:3         | arrest 21:8   | 66:25 99:22 | 158:17        | 227:23         | 225:10        | 202:12,15          |
| anymore             | 173:23        | 28:4 50:4,7   | 100:8       | 159:2         | atomic         | authoritative | 222:18             |
| 51:19               | 224:20        | 95:4 96:21    | 121:17      | 162:18        | 227:24         | 219:13        | 229:21             |
| <b>anyway</b> 203:9 | approaches    | 100:16        | 122:15,16   | 180:9         | atoms 227:18   | automated     | 232:6,15,24        |
| apart 187:20        | 6:11 21:5     | 115:24        | 123:2,15    | 182:10        | 228:3          | 46:21         | 233:4,10,25        |
| appeal 120:3        | 110:7         | arrested      | 124:4,21    | 189:13        | 229:25         | automatic     | 234:2 237:8        |
| appear 18:3         | appropriate   | 44:18 52:23   | 125:23      | 193:10        | attached       | 39:24         | 246:10             |
| 20:2 21:8           | 18:17 43:11   | 58:25 63:4    | 126:6 128:8 | assign 36:12  | 240:21,25      | availability  | background         |
| 27:20 46:18         | 112:11        | 63:7 67:14    | 129:4,13,17 | assigned 12:5 | attachment     | 28:24         | 207:8              |
| 67:24 68:2          | 169:3         | 91:10,12      | 130:19      | 48:3 50:8     | 27:23          | available     | 226:22             |
| 76:20 120:3         | 193:13        | 108:20        | 138:6,10,15 | assigning     | attainment     | 3:15 29:25    | 235:7              |
| appearance          | 203:23        | 145:17        | 139:12,14   | 5:14          | 104:23         | 30:6 74:14    | 241:14             |
| 18:12 43:24         | appropriat    | 162:11        | 139:21      | assignment    | attempt        | 76:15 78:9    | backyard           |
| 56:6 80:7           | 205:13        | arrests 30:19 | 140:4       | 36:16         | 23:23          | 87:12 130:5   | 202:25             |
| appearances         | approval      | 162:21        | 141:16      | assist 58:21  | attention      | 138:13        | <b>bad</b> 79:12   |
| 44:8                | 59:16         | 165:17        | 145:12      | Assistant     | 23:7,12        | 152:25        | 205:6              |
| appearing           | approved      | arrived       | 146:4       | 35:11 37:18   | 83:21          | avenue        | <b>bail</b> 3:8,13 |
| 123:18              | 49:13         | 158:15        | 147:10      | 76:4          | 102:17         | 115:13        | 5:15 6:18          |
| Appellate           | approximat    | art 247:3     | 148:11      | Associate     | 106:22         | average       | 12:4,7             |
| 170:22              | 175:3         | articulated   | 151:5,24    | 244:15        | 108:18         | 97:18 145:4   | 14:25 17:16        |
| apples 52:18        | archaic 52:2  | 117:4         | 152:7,12,15 | Associate's   | 154:8 170:4    | 172:14        | 18:15,20           |
| applicability       | area 7:3      | arts 246:20   | 154:17      | 244:14        | attitude       | avoid 26:5    | 19:11 22:24        |
| 230:23              | 24:16 49:8    | 247:5,6       | 157:18,21   | associated    | 208:2          | 72:18         | 23:18 30:22        |
| application         | 81:10 95:8    | Asians        | 158:11,15   | 165:21        | attitudes      | 152:22        | 31:24 32:6         |
| 161:12              | 131:9 145:7   | 127:19        | 158:22      | 185:6         | 178:23         | 177:24        | 33:24 34:20        |
| 163:16              | 174:15        | asked 43:9    | 159:8,19    | associates    | 179:5          | awaiting 3:12 | 36:12,24           |
| 180:8,12            | 197:15        | 76:18 85:14   | 160:5,23,25 | 182:17        | 182:16         | aware 53:24   | 40:23,25           |
| applied 222:6       | 202:19        | 90:12,19      | 161:5       | association   | 183:2          | 55:11 78:2    | 44:16,25           |
| 236:23              | 203:23        | 198:11        | 162:14      | 1:10 32:25    | attorney 1:17  | 150:4         | 45:11,16           |
| applies             | 208:8 228:8   | asking 27:8   | 164:9 169:6 | 33:9 74:4     | 45:2 55:2      | 170:21        | 49:24,24           |
| 168:12              | areas 105:6,6 | 69:22         | 170:2,25    | 93:7 97:9     | 83:5 93:4      | 213:3 224:3   | 53:5,20            |
| 230:20              | 142:2 152:4   | 103:23        | 173:5 181:4 | 99:10         | 93:18 96:10    | awful 34:17   | 56:8 63:24         |
| apply 126:12        | 178:20        | aspects       | 181:19      | 100:11        | 120:4          | uwiui 3 1.17  | 64:7,21            |
| 179:25              | 214:9         | 175:23        | 201:23      | 101:6 103:4   | 146:13         | B             | 65:3 68:13         |
| 226:18              | argue 13:4    | 201:22        | 206:23      | 111:23        | 147:7          | baby 62:8     | 72:2,8,17          |
| 252:21              | 32:9          | assert 12:25  | 200:23      | 111.23        | Attorney's     | 108:2 218:8   | 72:2,8,17          |
| appointed           | argued 232:5  | assess 109:8  | 222:8       | 142:25        | 76:2 80:21     | 219:5         | 74:20 75:6         |
| 62:11               | arguing       | 151:7         | assessment  | assumption    | 81:9 93:5      | Bachelor      | 80:11,20           |
| appointing          | 76:14         | assessed      | 114:25      | 87:20         | 93:19,23       | 244:13        | 89:16,20,22        |
| 1:20 2:12           | arraigned     | 68:23         | assessments | assumptions   | 109:11         | back 20:4     | 90:16 91:12        |
| appointme           | 53:4 79:10    | assessment    | 24:15 26:13 | 227:5         | attorneys      | 28:20 38:3    | 91:23 93:25        |
| 20:23               | 80:2          | 18:19 19:2    | 26:20 30:24 | assurance     | 33:3,23        | 63:22 67:21   | 94:21 95:4         |
| appreciate          | arraignment   | 19:8 23:2,3   | 62:23 115:3 | 198:16        | 76:4           | 75:22 92:15   | 96:19,22           |
| 105:16              | 44:20,24      | 23:20 24:9    | 121:13,25   | 200:16        | attorneys-i    | 101:4 106:8   | 97:25 98:14        |
| 109:23              | 45:3 60:22    | 24:15,17      | 121:13,23   | assure 101:2  | 32:20          | 101.4 100.8   | 98:16,17,19        |
| 125:15              | 61:4 63:3     | 25:6,11,15    | 124.8       | 160:2         | attributable   | 110:5 122:4   | 99:7,17            |
| approach            | 74:10 93:25   | 25:25 27:5    | 127.23      | assured       | 34:15 36:9     | 123:3,6,21    | 100:15,17          |
| 42:16               | 95:5 97:12    | 27:7 28:16    | 129:10,21   | 159:18        | attribute 29:9 | 128:3         | 100.15,17          |
| 103:22              | 127:11        | 31:2 38:16    | 140:19      | atmosphere    | audio 82:7     | 132:13        | 101.19,23          |
| 130:10              | 162:5 170:8   | 38:23 39:25   | 140.19      | 99:11         | auditor        | 143:6,11      | 102.8,14           |
| 177:15              | arraignments  | 47:8 60:10    | 147.22      | atom 227:13   | 189:19         | 146:25        | 103.8,13           |
| approached          | 47:21 63:10   | 60:14,16,18   | 150:15      | 227:15,17     | audits 189:13  | 154:14        | 104.25,25          |
| 42:17 93:8          | array 45:9    | 61:9 64:2     | 150:15      | 227:13,17     | author         | 185:17        | 105.6,10           |
| 72.17 93.0          | array 73.7    | 01.7 04.2     | 131.11,22   | 221.10,21     | audioi         | 201:16        | 100.0,21           |
|                     |               | l             |             |               | l              | 201.10        |                    |
|                     |               |               |             |               |                |               |                    |

| 1982    122    177:12   179:4   129:19   130:17.20   132:10   13 |                   |                    |                       |             |                     |                      |             | Page 3               |
|--|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------|----------------------|
| 11421,21,5   212.22   179.4   139.17   139.17   131.13  |                   |                    |                       | I           |                     |                      |             |                      |
| 114:18   | · ·               |                    |                       |             |                     |                      |             |                      |
| 114:18   | 112:21,25         |                    |                       |             | ,                   |                      |             |                      |
| 1161:15  |                   |                    |                       |             |                     |                      |             | · ·                  |
| 1182,18   121:19   beholden   134:24,5   239:16   134:11,15   251:16   259:16   239:16   134:11,15   251:16   259:16   242:24   |                   |                    |                       |             |                     |                      |             |                      |
| 120.8   122.11   239.16   beings 229.13   134:11,15   251:6.9   beings 229.13   134:18   beitef 88:5   136:4,19   17:17   18:19   19:12   19:38   179:2   138:2   232,4 34:6   232,4 34:6   232.4 34:6 |                   |                    |                       | 133:3,7,22  |                     |                      |             |                      |
| 128.25   | 118:2,18          | 121:19             |                       | 134:2,4,5   | 248:2,12,15         | 229:11,18            | 49:12 57:9  | 239:12               |
| 129:10   | 120:8             | 122:11             | 239:16                | 134:11,15   | 251:6,9             | biology              | 59:17       |                      |
| 148:25   basing   179:2   138:2   23:24 34:6   238:14   34:9 37:16   brings 218:24   170:7 171:2   basis 54:10   183:3 208:2   140:31,32   185:21,22   36:19   694.16   brings 218:24   170:7 171:2   basis 54:10   183:3 208:2   140:31,32   185:21,22   36:19   694.16   brings 218:24   170:7 171:2   basis 54:10   183:3 208:2   140:31,32   185:21,22   36:19   694.16   brings 218:24   170:7 171:2   basis 54:10   183:3 208:2   140:31,32   185:21,22   36:19   694.16   brings 218:24   170:7 171:2   brings 218:24   170:7 171:2   183:3 208:2   141:10   99:21   126:3 142:4   72:20 80:52   55:17   brothers   171:2   basis 61:8   46:9 59:11   44:15   44:9 59:11   44:15   44:9 59:11   44:15   44:9 59:11   44:15   44:9 59:11   44:15   44:9 59:11   44:15   44:9 59:11   44:90   130:44,6   198:21   134:36,12   199:3   49:22   179:19   149:20   136:57,10   219:12,16   134:16   158:8   189:20   151:4   136:10   219:17   136:15   brothers   138:20   138:24   144:15   144:16   225:19   136:15   brothers   138:20   138:24   144:15   144:16   136:10   219:17   136:15   brothers   138:8   146:5   60:4   63:4   139:16,19   139:16   139:16,19 | 128:25            | 144:2 160:9        | <b>beings</b> 229:13  | 134:18      | better 9:7          | 229:24               | BRADFOR     | 242:24               |
| 148.25   | 129:10            | 191:2 193:8        | belief 88:5           | 136:4,19    | 17:17 18:19         | 231:4                | 1:10 5:10   | bringing             |
| 1567.170-4   170:7 171:2   170:7 1 | 140:19            | 219:3              | 178:25                | 137:9,23    | 19:2,10,14          | biometrics           | 30:12,17    | 146:11               |
| 1707.1712  | 148:25            | basing             | 179:2                 | 138:2       | 23:2,4 34:6         | 228:14               | 34:9 37:16  | <b>brings</b> 218:24 |
| Bakersfield         57:4.17         208.4         140:25         86:19 99:13         112:13         71:14 72:5         broken 57:15           ball 161:9.10         199:3 231:9         179:6         142:51 144:10         99:21         126:3 142:4         77:14 72:5         brothers           Baltimore         bay 75:17         bears 6:18         46:95 9:11         144:15         111:11,12         175:4         109:21         170:0ept         25:17         brought         21:23 73:23         38.3         194:5         85:10 111:3         146:7 147:2         129:18         192:2,16         119:13         94:22 95:20         29:20         29:21         199:13         194:2         179:19         149:20         130:4,4,6         198:21         134:16         158:8         88         158:8         158:8         88         24:29 95:20         94:22 95:20         151:4         130:10         219:12,16         134:16         158:8         88         88         24:25         152:16:18         140:16         225:17         135:16         158:8         88         244:12         223:15:16         148:13,14         232:27 237:8         137:71,8,24         150:17         246:25         152:16         144:13         140:23         159:16,19         246:25         158:83   | 156:7 170:4       | 227:19             | 182:17                | 139:17      | 35:18 51:7          | <b>bit</b> 20:3 32:2 | 38:10 68:10 | broadly              |
| 1991-16.18   138:13   179:6   142:5144:6   108:18   174:23   172:0   179:6   142:5144:6   108:18   174:23   175:4   109:21   175:4   109:21   175:4   109:21   175:4   109:21   175:4   109:21   175:4   109:21   175:4   109:21   175:4   109:21   175:4   109:21   175:4   109:21   175:4   109:21   175:4   109:21   175:4   109:21   175:4   109:21   175:4   109:21   175:4   175:4   109:21   175:4   175:4   109:21   175:4   175:4   109:21   175:4  | 170:7 171:2       | <b>basis</b> 54:10 | 183:3 208:2           | 140:3,13,21 | 85:21,22            | 36:19                | 69:4,16     | 158:5                |
| ball foli-9,10         197:3 231:9         179:6         142:5 144:6         108:18         174:23         81:5 84:17         25:17           Baltimore         bay 75:17         bears 6:18         46:9 59:11         144:15         111:119         175:4         109:21         brought           Bank 238:19         194:5         85:10 111:3         146:7 147:2         129:18         192:2,16         119:13         94:22 95:20           barner         beg 225:2         111:4,5         148:9         130:4,46         198:21         134:16         15:17           199:13         beginning         189:20         15:14         136:10         219:17         135:16         BSW 244:12           198:24         beginning         189:20         15:14         136:10         219:17         135:16         BSW 244:12           bargains         begins 29:17         believes         152:21         148:13,14         232:7 237:8         1377:18,24         bidding           93:16,17         behavior         108:23         158:24         162:21         148:15         240:6         139:16:19         246:25           base 55:5         178:24         91:24.25         166:4         160:4         163:4         152:19         148:3,10   | Bakersfield       | 57:4,17            | 208:4                 | 140:25      | 86:19 99:13         | 112:13               | 71:14 72:5  | <b>broken</b> 57:15  |
| Baltimore   Line   Li | 199:16,18         | 138:13             | <b>beliefs</b> 178:23 | 141:10      | 99:21               | 126:3 142:4          | 72:20 80:25 | brothers             |
| Baltimore   Line   Li |                   |                    |                       |             |                     |                      |             |                      |
| 112:19   |                   |                    |                       |             |                     |                      |             |                      |
| Bank 238:19 banner         194:5 bega 225:2 began 129:22 began 129:23 began 129:22 began 129:22 began 129:22 began 129:22 began 129:23 began 129:23 began 129:23 began 129:24 began 129:25 believes believes believes believes believes belong behalf 43:6 belong 157:12,25 belong 157:12,25 belong 157:12,25 belong 157:12,25 belong 157:12,25 belong 158:24 belong 179:24,25 bench 71:11 belong 178:78,8,21 belong 178:78,8,21 belong 178:78,8,21 belong 178:78,8,21 belong 179:78,8,21 belong 179:78,8,221 belong 179:78,8,222 belong 179:78                               |                   |                    |                       |             |                     |                      |             |                      |
| banner         beg 225:2         111:4,5         148:9         130:4,4,6         198:21         134:3,6,12         105:17           199:13         began 129:22         179:19         149:20         136:57,10         219:12,16         134:16         158:8           bergains         begins 29:17         244:25         152:16,18         140:16         225:19         136:15         bucks 243:66           bargains         begins 29:17         44:16 82:20         157:12,15         154:3155:7         148:13         232:7 237:8         137:7,18,24         bucks 243:66           93:16,17         behavior         108:23         158:24         162:23         145:3,10         140:02         53:16 63:13           101:22         1518 88:3         166:5         bench 71:11         161:11         225:22         blank 237:14         163:23         53:12 63:13           10:19         177:24,25         bench 71:11         161:16,24         225:22         blank 237:14         163:23         165:14           120:19         178:7,8,21         71:66 76:20         165:4         beyond 10:11         block 78:11         165:22         238:8         blind 153:7         164:18         105:21           125:6,10         186:7,13.25         benchmarks   |                   |                    |                       |             |                     |                      |             |                      |
| 199:13   began 129:22   179:19   149:20   136:5,7,10   219:12,16   134:16   134:16   188:8   198:29   32:22 34:2   244:25   152:16,18   140:16   225:19   136:15   bucks 243:6,6   bargains   begins 29:17   believes   152:21   148:13,14   232:7 237:8   137:7,18,24   246:25   bargains   behalf 43:6   belong   157:12,25   150:3   black 124:22   140:10,14   budget 49:10   246:25   115:7   179:24,25   160:4 |                   | beg 225:2          |                       | 148:9       |                     |                      |             |                      |
| bar 28:9,9,12 198:24         beginning 189:20         151:4 152:16,18         136:10 140:16 225:19 136:15 136:15 136:15 140:16 225:19 136:15 136:15 136:15 137:7,18,24 140:18 137:7,18,24 140:18 137:7,18,24 140:18 137:7,18,24 140:18 137:7,18,24 140:18 137:7,18,24 140:18 137:7,18,24 140:19 140:23 158:24 160:23 145:3,10 140:23 158:24 160:23 145:3,10 140:23 158:24 160:23 145:3,10 140:23 158:24 160:23 145:3,10 140:23 158:24 160:23 140:10,14 140:23 158:24 160:23 140:10,14 140:23 158:24 160:23 140:10,14 140:23 158:24 160:23 140:10,14 140:23 158:24 160:23 140:10,14 140:23 158:24 160:23 140:10,14 140:10,14 140   | 199:13            |                    | 179:19                | 149:20      |                     | 219:12,16            |             | 158:8                |
| 198:24   | bar 28:9,9,12     |                    | 189:20                | 151:4       |                     |                      |             |                      |
| bargains         begins 29:17<br>44:16 82:20         believes         152:21         148:13,14         232:7 237:8         137:7,18,24<br>139:16,19         budding<br>246:25           Barry 93:3<br>99:36,17         behalf 43:6<br>behavior         blong         157:12,25         150:3         black 124:22         140:10,14         budget 49:10           101:22         15:18 58:3         146:5         160:4         163:4         152:19         141:8 157:5         53:12 63:13           115:7         177:24,25         bench 71:11         161:11         225:22         blank 237:14         163:23         85:12 88:9           120:19         178:78,8,21         71:16 76:20         164:16,24         238:8         blind 153:7         164:18         105:21           85:25         179:7,8         benchmark         167:24         30:9 32:23         132:15,18         166:3,13,21         budget s           145:16,10         186:7,13,25         benchmarks         173:9,12         169:9         blocks 133:20         195:18         build 138:10           229:6         215:20         162:2         230:13         base 126:16         225:25         BI 211:24         Board 154:6         207:3,7,16         138:23           based 31:6         216:14         221:13   |                   |                    | 244:25                | 152:16,18   |                     | 225:19               |             | bucks 243:6,6        |
| 245:22         44:16 82:20 blant 93:36,17         154:3 155:7 bloom         148:15 bloom         240:6 blant 14:22 bloom         139:16,19 bloom         246:25 bloom         139:16,19 blook         246:25 bloom         246:21 bloom         246:21 bloom         246:21 bloom         246:21 bloom         246:21 bloom         246:25 bloom         246:21 bloom         246:22 bloom         246:22 bloom         246:22 bloom         246:22 bloom         246:22 bloom         246:23 bloom         246:23 bloom         246:23 bloom         246:23 bloom         246:23 bloom         246:22 bloom         246:23 bloom         246:24 bloom         246:22 bloom         246:22 bloom         246:22 bloom         246:22 bloom         246:23 bloom         246:24 bloom         246:25 bloom         246:25 bloom<  | bargains          |                    | believes              | 152:21      | 148:13,14           | 232:7 237:8          | 137:7,18,24 |                      |
| Barry 93:3         behalf 43:6         belong         157:12,25         150:3         black 124:22         140:10,14         budget 49:10           93:16,17         behavior         108:23         158:24         162:23         145:3,10         140:23         53:12 63:13           101:22         15:18 58:3         146:5         160:4         163:4         152:19         141:8 157:5         63:15 82:21           115:7         177:24,25         bench 71:11         161:11         225:22         blank 237:14         163:23         85:12 88:9           120:19         178:7,8,21         71:16 76:20         164:16,24         238:8         blind 153:7         164:18         105:21           base 55:5         179:7,8         benchmark         167:24         30:9 32:23         132:15,18         166:3,13,21         budgets           117:19,20         182:9 183:9         162:15         172:18         33:24 113:4         133:9         173:14         66:20 84:4           125:6,10         186:7,13,25         benchmarks         173:9,12         169:9         blocks 133:20         195:18         build 138:10           236:15,16         216:12,3         beneficial         232:3         242:2         166:25         208:14         139:13 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>172:15</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>240:6</td> <td></td> <td></td>   |                   |                    | 172:15                |             |                     | 240:6                |             |                      |
| 93:16,17         behavior         108:23         158:24         162:23         145:3,10         140:23         55:12 63:13           101:22         15:18 58:3         146:5         160:4         163:4         152:19         141:8 157:5         63:15 82:21           115:7         177:24,25         bench 71:11         161:11         225:22         blank 237:14         163:23         85:12 88:9           120:19         178:7,8,21         71:16 76:20         164:16,24         238:8         blind 153:7         164:18         105:21           85:25         179:7,8         benchmark         167:24         30:9 32:23         132:15,18         166:3,13,21         buggets           117:19,20         182:9 183:9         162:15         172:18         33:24 113:4         166:3,13,21         buggets           146:16         192:4         161:25         225:25         BI 211:24         Board 154:6         207:37,16         138:23           236:15,16         216:12,13         beneficial         232:3         242:2         163:5         237:24         144:15           40:2 68:14         221:17,24         benefit 13:22         best 1:23 2:19         best 1:23 2:19         boat 66:19         250:22         183:11           115:3,1  | <b>Barry</b> 93:3 | behalf 43:6        | belong                | 157:12,25   | 150:3               | black 124:22         |             | <b>budget</b> 49:10  |
| 115:7   177:24,25   178:7,8,21   71:16 76:20   164:16,24   238:8   blank 237:14   163:23   85:12 88:9  |                   | behavior           | 108:23                |             | 162:23              | 145:3,10             | 140:23      |                      |
| 120:19   178:7,8,21   71:16 76:20   164:16,24   238:8   beyond 10:11   165:2,23   119:5   179:7,8   179:7,8   169:25   179:7,8   169:25   179:7,8   169:25   179:7,8   169:25   179:7,8   169:15   179:18   169:24   125:6,10   186:7,13,25   166:15   172:18   169:25   179:18   173:14   169:26   169:26   179:18   169:26   179:18   169:26   179:18   169:26   179:18   169:27   179:18   169:27   179:18   169:27   179:18   169:27   179:18 |                   | 15:18 58:3         | 146:5                 | 160:4       |                     |                      | 141:8 157:5 |                      |
| 120:19   178:7,8,21   71:16 76:20   164:16,24   238:8   beyond 10:11   165:2,23   119:5   179:7,8   179:7,8   benchmark   167:24   30:9 32:23   132:15,18   166:3,13,21   166:2,04   179:7,8   179:7,8   179:7,8   162:15   172:18   33:24 113:4   133:9   173:14   179:20   186:7,13,25   benchmark   173:9,12   169:9   blocks 133:20   195:18   build 138:10   146:16   192:4   161:25   225:25   BI 211:24   Board 154:6   207:3,7,16   138:23   236:15,16   216:14   204:6   Berk's 235:10   best 1:23 2:19   240:6   best 1:23 2:19   240:6   best 1:23 2:19   240:6   best 1:23 2:19   141:24   234:2   boat 66:19   250:22   183:11   240:22 2:30:20   21:14   222:2 2:30:20   21:14   223:25   236:25   87:14   106:20   236:25   87:14   106:20   236:25   176:4 177:9   205:22   143:3 144:3   61:19 80:13   228:20   206:9   122:15   122:16   176:4 177:9   205:22   143:3 144:3   61:19 80:13   228:20   206:9   122:15   122:9   178:11   206:20   78:14 86:7   179:20,20   182:11   245:16   175:10   bunch 150:3   137:3 138:6   231:15   1:15   205:15,17   248:21   249:4   249:4   249:4   249:4   249:4   249:4   249:4   249:21   246:86   243:10   500md 235:3   50mm d 235:3    | 115:7             | 177:24,25          | <b>bench</b> 71:11    | 161:11      | 225:22              | blank 237:14         | 163:23      | 85:12 88:9           |
| 85:25         179:7,8         benchmark         167:24         30:9 32:23         132:15,18         166:3,13,21         budgets           117:19,20         182:9 183:9         162:15         172:18         33:24 113:4         133:9         173:14         66:20 84:4           125:6,10         186:7,13,25         benchmarks         173:9,12         169:9         blocks 133:20         195:18         build 138:10           146:16         192:4         161:25         225:25         BI 211:24         Board 154:6         207:3,7,16         138:23           229:6         215:20         162:2         230:13         bias 162:16         162:25         208:14         139:13           236:15,16         216:14         204:6         Berk's 235:10         best 1:23 2:19         biased 4:17         boat 66:19         250:22         183:11           40:2 68:14         221:17,24         benefit 13:22         best 1:23 2:19         141:24         234:2         brain 161:2         210:13           69:22 77:8         222:2 231:4         22:3,20         21:14         biases 153:14         bides 229:3         brave 202:20         211:13           115:3,11         18havioral         141:12         140:18         31:13 61:16         88:6 228:17         b   | 120:19            | 178:7,8,21         | 71:16 76:20           | 164:16,24   | 238:8               | <b>blind</b> 153:7   | 164:18      |                      |
| 85:25         179:7,8         benchmark         167:24         30:9 32:23         132:15,18         166:3,13,21         budgets           117:19,20         182:9 183:9         162:15         172:18         33:24 113:4         133:9         173:14         66:20 84:4           125:6,10         186:7,13,25         benchmarks         173:9,12         169:9         blocks 133:20         195:18         build 138:10           146:16         192:4         161:25         225:25         BI 211:24         Board 154:6         207:3,7,16         138:23           229:6         215:20         162:2         230:13         bias 162:16         162:25         208:14         139:13           236:15,16         216:14         204:6         Berk's 235:10         best 1:23 2:19         biased 4:17         boat 66:19         250:22         183:11           40:2 68:14         221:17,24         benefit 13:22         best 1:23 2:19         141:24         234:2         brain 161:2         210:13           69:22 77:8         222:2 231:4         22:3,20         21:14         biases 153:14         bides 229:3         brave 202:20         211:13           115:3,11         18havioral         141:12         140:18         31:13 61:16         88:6 228:17         b   |                   |                    |                       |             | <b>beyond</b> 10:11 |                      |             | 119:5                |
| 117:19,20         182:9 183:9         162:15         172:18         33:24 113:4         133:9         173:14         66:20 84:4           125:6,10         186:7,13,25         161:25         225:25         BI 211:24         blocks 133:20         195:18         build 138:10           146:16         192:4         161:25         225:25         BI 211:24         Board 154:6         207:3,7,16         138:23           229:6         215:20         162:12         230:13         bias 126:16         162:25         208:14         139:13           236:15,16         216:14         204:6         Berk's 235:10         biased 4:17         boat 66:19         250:22         183:11           40:2 68:14         221:17,24         benefit 13:22         best 1:23 2:19         141:24         234:2         brain 161:2         210:13           69:22 77:8         222:2 231:4         22:3,20         21:14         biases 153:14         big 28:12         bodies 229:3         brave 202:20         211:13           118:2 12:9         176:4 177:9         205:22         143:3 144:3         61:19 80:13         228:20         206:9         122:15           123:12         182:18         17:9 22:8         174:13         110:20         book 225:10         brief 42:23<   |                   | 179:7,8            |                       |             |                     |                      |             | budgets              |
| 125:6,10         186:7,13,25         benchmarks         173:9,12         169:9         blocks 133:20         195:18         build 138:10           146:16         192:4         161:25         225:25         BI 211:24         Board 154:6         207:3,7,16         138:23           229:6         215:20         162:2         230:13         bias 126:16         162:25         208:14         139:13           236:15,16         216:12,13         beneficial         232:3         242:2         163:5         237:24         144:15           based 31:6         216:14         204:6         Berk's 235:10         best 1:23 2:19         boat 66:19         250:22         183:11           40:2 68:14         221:17,24         benefit 13:22         best 1:23 2:19         biased 4:17         bodt 66:19         250:22         183:11           107:20         236:25         87:14         106:20         big 28:12         bodies 229:3         brave 202:20         211:13           118:2 122:9         176:4 177:9         205:22         143:3 144:3         61:19 80:13         228:20         206:9         122:15           122:16         178:11         benefits 14:6         171:6         88:7,8,23         book 225:10         brief 42:23         207:2     <  | 117:19,20         |                    |                       |             |                     |                      |             |                      |
| 146:16         192:4         161:25         225:25         BI 211:24         Board 154:6         207:3,7,16         138:23           229:6         215:20         162:2         230:13         232:3         242:2         163:5         208:14         139:13           236:15,16         216:14         204:6         Berk's 235:10         beeficial         242:2         163:5         237:24         144:15           40:2 68:14         221:17,24         benefit 13:22         best 1:23 2:19         best 1:23 2:19         bode 66:19         250:22         183:11           69:22 77:8         222:2 231:4         22:3,20         21:14         biases 153:14         bodies 229:3         brave 202:20         211:13           107:20         236:25         87:14         106:20         big 28:12         body 4:4 25:9         breath 177:4         213:14           118:2 122:9         176:4 177:9         205:22         143:3 144:3         61:19 80:13         228:20         206:9         122:15           122:16         178:11         benefits 14:6         171:6         88:7,8,23         book 225:10         brief 42:23         207:2           124:9         206:20         78:14 86:7         179:20,20         182:11         245:16         175:10<  | · ·               |                    |                       |             |                     |                      |             |                      |
| 229:6         215:20         162:2         230:13         bias 126:16         162:25         208:14         139:13           236:15,16         216:12,13         beneficial         232:3         242:2         163:5         237:24         144:15           based 31:6         216:14         204:6         Berk's 235:10         best 1:23 2:19         biased 4:17         boat 66:19         250:22         183:11           40:2 68:14         221:17,24         benefit 13:22         best 1:23 2:19         biased 1:23         boat 66:19         250:22         183:11           107:20         236:25         87:14         106:20         big 28:12         bodies 229:3         brain 161:2         210:13           115:3,11         behavioral         141:12         140:18         31:13 61:16         88:6 228:17         breath 177:4         213:14           118:2 122:9         176:4 177:9         205:22         143:3 144:3         61:19 80:13         228:20         breath 177:4         built 13:6           122:16         178:11         benefits 14:6         171:6         88:7,8,23         book 225:10         brief 42:23         207:2           124:9         206:20         78:14 86:7         179:20,20         182:11         245:16         175:10 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>207:3,7,16</td> <td></td>   |                   |                    |                       |             |                     |                      | 207:3,7,16  |                      |
| 236:15,16         216:12,13         beneficial         232:3         242:2         163:5         237:24         144:15           based 31:6         216:14         204:6         Berk's 235:10         biased 4:17         boat 66:19         250:22         183:11           40:2 68:14         221:17,24         benefit 13:22         best 1:23 2:19         141:24         234:2         brain 161:2         210:13           69:22 77:8         222:2 231:4         22:3,20         21:14         biases 153:14         bodies 229:3         brave 202:20         211:13           107:20         236:25         87:14         106:20         big 28:12         body 4:4 25:9         breath 177:4         213:14           115:3,11         behavioral         141:12         140:18         31:13 61:16         88:6 228:17         breath 177:4         213:14           118:2 122:9         176:4 177:9         205:22         143:3 144:3         61:19 80:13         228:20         206:9         122:15           122:16         178:11         benefits 14:6         171:6         88:7,8,23         book 225:10         brief 42:23         207:2           124:9         206:20         78:14 86:7         179:20,20         182:11         245:16         175:10         bunch 1   |                   |                    |                       |             |                     |                      |             |                      |
| based 31:6         216:14         204:6         Berk's 235:10         biased 4:17         boat 66:19         250:22         183:11           40:2 68:14         221:17,24         benefit 13:22         best 1:23 2:19         141:24         234:2         brain 161:2         210:13           69:22 77:8         222:2 231:4         22:3,20         21:14         biases 153:14         bodies 229:3         brave 202:20         211:13           107:20         236:25         87:14         106:20         big 28:12         body 4:4 25:9         breath 177:4         213:14           115:3,11         behavioral         141:12         140:18         31:13 61:16         88:6 228:17         breathalyzed         built 13:6           118:2 122:9         176:4 177:9         205:22         143:3 144:3         61:19 80:13         228:20         206:9         122:15           122:16         178:11         benefits 14:6         171:6         88:7,8,23         book 225:10         brief 42:23         207:2           123:12         182:18         17:9 22:8         174:13         110:20         borders         112:15         212:19           124:9         206:20         78:14 86:7         179:20,20         182:11         245:16         175:10         bunch  |                   |                    |                       |             |                     |                      |             |                      |
| 40:2 68:14         221:17,24         benefit 13:22         best 1:23 2:19         141:24         234:2         brain 161:2         210:13           69:22 77:8         222:2 231:4         22:3,20         21:14         biases 153:14         bodies 229:3         brave 202:20         211:13           107:20         236:25         87:14         106:20         big 28:12         body 4:4 25:9         breath 177:4         213:14           115:3,11         behavioral         141:12         140:18         31:13 61:16         88:6 228:17         breath 177:4         213:14           118:2 122:9         176:4 177:9         205:22         143:3 144:3         61:19 80:13         228:20         206:9         122:15           122:16         178:11         benefits 14:6         171:6         88:7,8,23         book 225:10         brief 42:23         207:2           123:12         182:18         17:9 22:8         174:13         110:20         borders         112:15         212:19           124:9         206:20         78:14 86:7         179:20,20         182:11         245:16         175:10         bunch 150:3           137:3 138:6         231:15         1:15         205:15,17         248:21         Bouchard         briefly         213:23  | · ·               |                    |                       |             |                     |                      |             |                      |
| 69:22 77:8         222:2 231:4         22:3,20         21:14         biases 153:14         bodies 229:3         brave 202:20         21:13           107:20         236:25         87:14         106:20         big 28:12         body 4:4 25:9         breath 177:4         213:14           115:3,11         behavioral         141:12         140:18         31:13 61:16         88:6 228:17         breathalyzed         built 13:6           118:2 122:9         176:4 177:9         205:22         143:3 144:3         61:19 80:13         228:20         206:9         122:15           122:16         178:11         benefits 14:6         171:6         88:7,8,23         book 225:10         brief 42:23         207:2           123:12         182:18         17:9 22:8         174:13         110:20         borders         112:15         212:19           124:9         206:20         78:14 86:7         179:20,20         182:11         245:16         175:10         bunch 150:3           136:16,18         227:3         BENJAMIN         184:24         185:2,15         born 167:19         225:5         192:21           141:20         236:24         Bergstrom         246:24         bigger 130:6         43:7 52:11         115:19         247:13   |                   |                    |                       |             |                     |                      |             |                      |
| 107:20         236:25         87:14         106:20         big 28:12         body 4:4 25:9         breath 177:4         213:14           115:3,11         behavioral         141:12         140:18         31:13 61:16         88:6 228:17         breath 177:4         built 13:6           118:2 122:9         176:4 177:9         205:22         143:3 144:3         61:19 80:13         228:20         206:9         122:15           122:16         178:11         benefits 14:6         171:6         88:7,8,23         book 225:10         brief 42:23         207:2           123:12         182:18         17:9 22:8         174:13         110:20         borders         112:15         212:19           124:9         206:20         78:14 86:7         179:20,20         182:11         245:16         175:10         bunch 150:3           136:16,18         227:3         BENJAMIN         184:24         185:2,15         born 167:19         225:5         192:21           137:3 138:6         231:15         1:15         205:15,17         248:21         Bouchard         briefly         213:23           141:20         236:24         Bergstrom         246:24         bigger 130:6         43:7 52:11         115:19         burden 79:18   |                   |                    |                       |             |                     |                      |             |                      |
| 115:3,11         behavioral         141:12         140:18         31:13 61:16         88:6 228:17         breathalyzed         built 13:6           118:2 122:9         176:4 177:9         205:22         143:3 144:3         61:19 80:13         228:20         206:9         122:15           122:16         178:11         benefits 14:6         171:6         88:7,8,23         book 225:10         brief 42:23         207:2           123:12         182:18         17:9 22:8         174:13         110:20         borders         112:15         212:19           124:9         206:20         78:14 86:7         179:20,20         182:11         245:16         175:10         bunch 150:3           136:16,18         227:3         BENJAMIN         184:24         185:2,15         born 167:19         225:5         192:21           137:3 138:6         231:15         1:15         205:15,17         248:21         Bouchard         briefly         213:23           141:20         236:24         Bergstrom         246:24         bigger 130:6         43:7 52:11         115:19         247:13           207:9,23         behaviorally         Berk 18:24         122:10,10         biggest 28:21         bound 235:3         bring 19:12         128:3   |                   |                    |                       |             |                     |                      |             |                      |
| 118:2 122:9       176:4 177:9       205:22       143:3 144:3       61:19 80:13       228:20       206:9       122:15         122:16       178:11       benefits 14:6       171:6       88:7,8,23       book 225:10       brief 42:23       207:2         123:12       182:18       17:9 22:8       174:13       110:20       borders       112:15       212:19         124:9       206:20       78:14 86:7       179:20,20       182:11       245:16       175:10       bunch 150:3         136:16,18       227:3       BENJAMIN       184:24       185:2,15       born 167:19       225:5       192:21         137:3 138:6       231:15       1:15       205:15,17       248:21       Bouchard       briefly       213:23         141:20       236:24       Bergstrom       246:24       bigger 130:6       43:7 52:11       115:19       247:13         167:18       249:4       149:21       bet 68:6       243:10       75:2       121:17       burden 79:18         207:9,23       behaviorally       Berk 18:24       122:10,10       biggest 28:21       bounds       32:20 73:19       burger-flip   |                   |                    |                       |             | 0                   |                      |             |                      |
| 122:16         178:11         benefits 14:6         171:6         88:7,8,23         book 225:10         brief 42:23         207:2           123:12         182:18         17:9 22:8         174:13         110:20         borders         112:15         212:19           124:9         206:20         78:14 86:7         179:20,20         182:11         245:16         175:10         bunch 150:3           136:16,18         227:3         BENJAMIN         184:24         185:2,15         born 167:19         225:5         192:21           137:3 138:6         231:15         1:15         205:15,17         248:21         Bouchard         briefly         213:23           141:20         236:24         Bergstrom         246:24         bigger 130:6         43:7 52:11         115:19         247:13           167:18         249:4         149:21         bet 68:6         243:10         75:2         121:17         burden 79:18           207:9,23         behaviorally         Berk 18:24         122:10,10         biggest 28:21         bounds         32:20 73:19         burger-flip  | ,                 |                    |                       |             |                     |                      | •           |                      |
| 123:12       182:18       17:9 22:8       174:13       110:20       borders       112:15       212:19         124:9       206:20       78:14 86:7       179:20,20       182:11       245:16       175:10       bunch 150:3         136:16,18       227:3       BENJAMIN       184:24       185:2,15       born 167:19       225:5       192:21         137:3 138:6       231:15       1:15       205:15,17       248:21       Bouchard       briefly       213:23         141:20       236:24       Bergstrom       246:24       bigger 130:6       43:7 52:11       115:19       247:13         167:18       249:4       149:21       bet 68:6       243:10       75:2       121:17       burden 79:18         207:9,23       behaviorally       Berk 18:24       122:10,10       biggest 28:21       bound 235:3       bring 19:12       128:3         basic 7:21 8:6       216:17       120:25       171:21       101:19       bounds       32:20 73:19       burger-flip   |                   |                    |                       |             |                     |                      |             |                      |
| 124:9       206:20       78:14 86:7       179:20,20       182:11       245:16       175:10       bunch 150:3         136:16,18       227:3       BENJAMIN       184:24       185:2,15       born 167:19       225:5       192:21         137:3 138:6       231:15       1:15       205:15,17       248:21       Bouchard       briefly       213:23         141:20       236:24       Bergstrom       246:24       bigger 130:6       43:7 52:11       115:19       247:13         167:18       249:4       149:21       bet 68:6       243:10       75:2       121:17       burden 79:18         207:9,23       behaviorally       Berk 18:24       122:10,10       biggest 28:21       bound 235:3       bring 19:12       128:3         basic 7:21 8:6       216:17       120:25       171:21       101:19       bounds       32:20 73:19       burger-flip   |                   |                    |                       |             |                     |                      |             |                      |
| 136:16,18       227:3       BENJAMIN       184:24       185:2,15       born 167:19       225:5       192:21         137:3 138:6       231:15       1:15       205:15,17       248:21       Bouchard       briefly       213:23         141:20       236:24       Bergstrom       246:24       bigger 130:6       43:7 52:11       115:19       247:13         167:18       249:4       149:21       bet 68:6       243:10       75:2       121:17       burden 79:18         207:9,23       behaviorally       Berk 18:24       122:10,10       biggest 28:21       bound 235:3       bring 19:12       128:3         basic 7:21 8:6       216:17       120:25       171:21       101:19       bounds       32:20 73:19       burger-flip  |                   |                    |                       |             |                     |                      |             |                      |
| 137:3 138:6       231:15       1:15       205:15,17       248:21       Bouchard       briefly       213:23         141:20       236:24       Bergstrom       246:24       bigger 130:6       43:7 52:11       115:19       247:13         167:18       249:4       149:21       bet 68:6       243:10       75:2       121:17       burden 79:18         207:9,23       behaviorally       Berk 18:24       122:10,10       biggest 28:21       bound 235:3       bring 19:12       128:3         basic 7:21 8:6       216:17       120:25       171:21       101:19       bounds       32:20 73:19       burger-flip  |                   |                    |                       |             |                     |                      |             |                      |
| 141:20       236:24       Bergstrom       246:24       bigger 130:6       43:7 52:11       115:19       247:13         167:18       249:4       149:21       bet 68:6       243:10       75:2       121:17       burden 79:18         207:9,23       behaviorally       Berk 18:24       122:10,10       biggest 28:21       bound 235:3       bring 19:12       128:3         basic 7:21 8:6       216:17       120:25       171:21       101:19       bounds       32:20 73:19       burger-flip   | · ·               |                    |                       |             |                     |                      |             |                      |
| 167:18       249:4       149:21       bet 68:6       243:10       75:2       121:17       burden 79:18         207:9,23       behaviorally       Berk 18:24       122:10,10       biggest 28:21       bound 235:3       bring 19:12       128:3         basic 7:21 8:6       216:17       120:25       171:21       101:19       bounds       32:20 73:19       burger-flip  |                   |                    |                       |             |                     |                      |             |                      |
| 207:9,23         behaviorally basic 7:21 8:6         Berk 18:24         122:10,10         biggest 28:21 bound 235:3 bound 235:3 bounds         bring 19:12 32:30 burger-flip         128:3 burger-flip   |                   |                    |                       |             |                     |                      |             |                      |
| <b>basic</b> 7:21 8:6   216:17   120:25   171:21   101:19   <b>bounds</b>   32:20 73:19   <b>burger-flip</b>   |                   |                    |                       |             |                     |                      |             |                      |
|  | · ·               | -                  |                       | · ·         |                     |                      |             |                      |
|  |                   |                    |                       |             |                     |                      |             | -                    |
|  |                   | , ,                | , ,                   |             |                     |                      |             |                      |
|  |                   |                    |                       | <u> </u>    |                     |                      |             |                      |

|                      |                         |                  |                    |                       |                       |                       | Page 4                    |
|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
|                      | 1                       | 1                | l                  | l                     | 1                     | l                     | l                         |
| burglaries           | candid 67:4             | 64:24 95:24      | 249:20             | 72:8 85:17            | 130:9                 | 176:16                | 56:25 74:7                |
| 144:20               | capable 33:2            | 98:15            | <b>CBT</b> 241:18  | 96:23                 | 159:19                | check-ins             | 78:3 83:24                |
| 155:14               | 107:7                   | 100:16,20        | 241:23             | 146:22                | 160:3                 | 177:2,20              | 83:25                     |
| burglary 97:3        | 192:10                  | 103:21           | 246:16,16          | 167:12                | 177:24                | checking              | 133:18,19                 |
| 130:25               | capacity                | 148:23           | <b>CCP</b> 243:22  | 172:12                | 178:11                | 175:19                | 140:17                    |
| business             | 146:20                  | 156:8,21         | 243:23,25          | 196:7 220:5           | 183:8                 | checklist             | 142:3                     |
| 50:21                | capita 145:4            | 161:15           | 244:5              | 223:21                | 186:14,25             | 189:16                | 197:17                    |
| 142:18               | 145:8                   | 206:5            | CCTV 73:25         | 228:16                | 216:12                | Chester               | 199:18                    |
| 164:10               | capture 27:2            | <b>cash</b> 3:13 | <b>CD's</b> 246:24 | 231:16                | 219:9 222:2           | 244:11                | 202:6,13                  |
| 243:12,13            | 138:18                  | 5:15 6:18        | cement             | certainly             | 235:9                 | Chicago               | 209:20                    |
| 243:14,15            | carceral                | 18:14 22:24      | 185:21             | 10:24 15:14           | changed               | 197:18                | 238:12                    |
| <b>busy</b> 29:8     | 238:24                  | 34:19 40:23      | 186:13             | 40:20                 | 83:22                 | 199:20                | 239:11                    |
| <b>button</b> 56:18  | 239:23,24               | 40:25 53:5       | 187:2              | 105:15                | 129:16,16             | <b>chief</b> 97:14,18 | 247:22                    |
| <b>buy</b> 243:17    | 240:5                   | 53:20 75:6       | cemented           | 115:6,9               | 159:20                | 251:2                 | 249:19                    |
| <b>buzz</b> 191:18   | care 87:23              | 80:11 89:16      | 185:7              | 118:11,12             | 188:19                | children              | civil 66:22               |
|                      | 153:16                  | 89:20,21         | center 5:25        | 128:19                | changes 7:4,6         | 60:24                 | 226:12,20                 |
| C                    | 156:2                   | 90:16 91:23      | 6:7,9,10,16        | 203:16                | 7:12,22               | <b>choice</b> 127:9   | 233:9                     |
| calculation          | 162:20                  | 102:8,14         | 11:24 74:3         | 233:15                | 103:17                | choices 128:4         | <b>CJC</b> 148:6          |
| 41:3                 | 247:19                  | 112:25           | 88:8 133:19        | certainty             | 160:15                | choose 9:14           | claims 148:10             |
| calculations         | 248:25                  | 114:13,15        | 175:8,14,16        | 34:24                 | 186:7                 | 127:8                 | Clarke 82:16              |
| 129:15               | 249:7                   | catch 234:5      | 175:21,25          | CERTIFIC              | changing              | 162:19                | class 221:20              |
| California           | career 156:4            | categorical      | 176:11,15          | 252:2                 | 10:14 78:14           | chooses               | classes 244:3             |
| 199:17               | 239:3                   | 39:15            | 176:16,17          | certification         | 192:4                 | 153:19                | 244:4                     |
| call 9:25 32:3       | careers                 | 136:16           | 176:21             | 252:20                | 235:11                | church                | classificatio             |
| 46:3,6,15            | 243:21                  | categories       | 187:18,19          | <b>certify</b> 242:23 | CHAPS                 | 107:23                | 39:15                     |
| 46:20,23             | carefully               | 39:9 191:23      | 187:21             | 252:3                 | 242:18                | 191:9                 | classrooms                |
| 69:13 94:4<br>117:10 | 21:18 117:4             | 207:21<br>228:12 | 195:14,15          | certifying<br>252:24  | characteristic 218:16 | Cincinnati<br>189:21  | 241:17                    |
| 126:16               | carry 107:8<br>case 9:3 | categorize       | 196:16,19<br>201:2 | cetera 34:8           | characteris           | circulated            | clean 143:3<br>clear 32:7 |
| 120.10               | 10:20,23,25             | 235:13           | 201.2              | 120:9 169:8           | 8:25 26:7             | 161:12                | clearly 32:5              |
| 136:14               | 12:12 14:12             | categorized      | 202.19             | 240:14,14             | 40:22                 | circumstance          | clerk 2:9,11              |
| 182:11               | 32:8 45:6               | 235:19           | 213:25             | 240.14,14             | 182:18                | 115:18                | 42:9 73:20                |
| 218:17               | 50:19 62:6              | category         | 239:22,23          | Chair 251:11          | 228:3                 | 116:3                 | 93:2 120:24               |
| 221:15               | 62:8 64:9               | 190:15           | 241:12             | Chairwoman            | charge 64:25          | circumstan            | 173:17                    |
| 226:14               | 65:4 94:6               | 233:6,11,22      | 243:19             | 30:11                 | 76:12 93:19           | 22:18                 | 173.17                    |
| 251:11               | 101:16                  | caught           | centered           | challenge             | 211:6                 | 131:20                | 224:12,16                 |
| called 2:4           | 113:22                  | 234:10           | 243:24             | 94:12                 | charged               | 148:2                 | clicking 91:3             |
| 10:11                | 116:2                   | causal 9:11      | 244:2              | 132:11                | 44:19                 | circumvent            | client/defen              |
| 171:25               | 127:11                  | 32:7             | centers 54:5,6     | 133:25                | 145:18                | 141:4                 | 58:14                     |
| 220:18,24            | 163:5 169:4             | cause 106:13     | 54:10              | challenged            | charges 37:24         | cite 8:15             | clients 44:7              |
| 220:24               | 169:20                  | 108:25           | 102:16,20          | 19:21                 | 68:15 93:24           | cited 28:20           | 67:23                     |
| 239:22               | 231:18                  | 167:22           | 107:16             | challenges            | 99:6 105:12           | 35:17 38:4            | 116:21                    |
| 242:18               | 245:18                  | causes 108:24    | 173:20             | 56:10                 | 106:16                | cities 16:14          | 117:2 245:9               |
| calls 45:14          | caseload 48:8           | 109:2            | 174:24             | 221:14,14             | 207:8                 | 197:12                | 247:20                    |
| 46:5 116:4           | 48:17                   | causing 104:7    | 175:2 201:4        | challenging           | charging              | <b>citizen</b> 172:14 | climate                   |
| 116:4,7              | cases 8:17,19           | caution 25:13    | 209:7              | 220:3                 | 93:24 95:5            | citizens 22:20        | 221:14                    |
| 127:3                | 8:23 12:16              | 136:2            | 211:11             | Chamber               | 97:14                 | <b>city</b> 1:2,6     | <b>clinic</b> 212:25      |
| 153:25               | 16:17 26:17             | cautionary       | 212:12             | 83:16                 | <b>chart</b> 13:12    | 3:15 14:8             | clinical 36:2             |
| 168:9                | 28:8,15                 | 20:4             | 223:18             | chance                | <b>check</b> 48:2     | 16:2,3 20:5           | clinics 120:2             |
| Camden               | 30:10 37:22             | cautious         | certain 12:21      | 125:12                | 176:21                | 22:9,20               | 120:6                     |
| 64:24                | 40:11 47:14             | 167:3            | 19:23,23           | 161:4                 | 200:18,19             | 29:15,18              | closely                   |
| campus               | 49:20,21,25             | caveats 62:19    | 29:4,23            | <b>change</b> 31:15   | check-in              | 30:7 49:11            | 104:25                    |
| 243:25               | 55:2,3,3                | <b>CBH</b> 249:3 | 36:19 39:10        | 88:24 110:9           | 175:18                | 54:14 55:8            | <b>closer</b> 31:16       |
| cancer 35:22         |                         |                  |                    |                       |                       |                       |                           |
|                      | I                       | I                | I                  |                       |                       |                       | l                         |

| _                    |                     |                     |              |             |                    |              | Page 5       |
|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|
|                      | l                   | l                   | l I          |             | I                  | I            | 1            |
| clothes 165:9        | college 241:7       | 231:15              | commission   | 55:24 56:1  | 158:1 159:1        | Commonwe     | 200:16       |
| co-authors           | 243:23              | 233:8 239:2         | 82:17 149:3  | 57:1 58:1   | 160:1 161:1        | 59:11        | 210:11,17    |
| 14:16                | 244:5               | 243:11              | 150:4        | 59:1 60:1   | 162:1 163:1        | 174:15       | 211:25       |
| Co-Chair 4:3         | colleges 244:9      | 246:2,4             | COMMISS      | 61:1 62:1   | 164:1 165:1        | communicate  | 212:3        |
| 5:9 84:16            | <b>color</b> 152:14 | 247:13              | 132:10       | 63:1 64:1   | 166:1 167:1        | 187:16       | compare 9:19 |
| co-pay               | 167:19              | <b>comes</b> 27:13  | 133:5,15,23  | 65:1 66:1   | 168:1 169:1        | communities  | 36:6         |
| 211:12               | 232:17              | 50:17 59:24         | 221:2,7      | 67:1 68:1   | 170:1 171:1        | 136:3        | compared     |
| <b>coaxed</b> 108:9  | 242:12              | 88:25               | 248:2,12,15  | 69:1 70:1   | 172:1 173:1        | 159:20       | 13:13        |
| Cobb 1:11            | 247:24              | 104:14              | 251:6,9      | 71:1 72:1   | 174:1 175:1        | 172:12,16    | COMPAS       |
| 56:19,22             | colorful            | 106:5               | commit 105:8 | 73:1 74:1   | 176:1 177:1        | 184:3        | 207:12       |
| 85:14 89:13          | 219:24              | 138:25              | 106:14       | 75:1 76:1   | 178:1 179:1        | 203:13       | competency   |
| 90:3 144:24          | column 88:2         | 152:11              | 131:16,21    | 77:1 78:1   | 180:1 181:1        | 225:15       | 152:8        |
| 145:20               | combination         | 179:12              | 236:17       | 79:1 80:1   | 182:1 183:1        | 234:25       | competent    |
| 146:19               | 150:16              | 183:17,23           | commitment   | 81:1 82:1   | 184:1 185:1        | 237:19       | 152:3        |
| 160:22               | combined            | 185:16              | 53:25 94:25  | 82:19 83:1  | 186:1 187:1        | 239:23,24    | competition  |
| <b>code</b> 25:18    | 107:24              | 189:19              | 95:19 96:6   | 84:1 85:1   | 188:1 189:1        | 242:5 247:7  | 159:4        |
| 130:19               | come 25:16          | 199:11              | 105:2 106:3  | 86:1 87:1   | 190:1 191:1        | communities' | complaint    |
| 131:24               | 41:14 46:14         | 202:11              | commits      | 88:1 89:1   | 192:1,18           | 144:4        | 49:22        |
| 134:19,20            | 67:7 76:5           | 213:16              | 95:15        | 90:1 91:1   | 193:1 194:1        | community    | complements  |
| 134:25               | 77:2 99:4           | 215:7               | committed    | 92:1 93:1   | 195:1 196:1        | 1:13 24:5    | 197:4 198:6  |
| 141:20,20            | 101:4 110:5         | 226:25              | 26:16 95:25  | 94:1 95:1   | 197:1 198:1        | 37:25 44:7   | complete     |
| 145:4                | 114:23              | 227:10              | 102:13       | 96:1 97:1   | 199:1 200:1        | 61:8 67:15   | 214:24       |
| 202:15,15            | 116:8               | comfort             | 193:20       | 98:1 99:1   | 201:1,21           | 87:13,13     | 235:8        |
| 203:8                | 136:19              | 136:16              | committee    | 100:1 101:1 | 202:1 203:1        | 116:8        | completely   |
| <b>codes</b> 4:23    | 138:17              | comfortable         | 1:3,21 2:5,7 | 102:1 103:1 | 204:1 205:1        | 119:22       | 103:18       |
| 25:14 26:10          | 140:6               | 100:21              | 2:13 3:1,3,4 | 104:1 105:1 | 206:1 207:1        | 178:4        | 164:22       |
| 27:15                | 142:24              | 103:15              | 4:1 5:1 6:1  | 106:1 107:1 | 208:1 209:1        | 179:22       | compliance   |
| 132:12,20            | 149:3               | 106:25              | 7:1,10 8:1   | 108:1 109:1 | 210:1 211:1        | 187:13,15    | 113:16       |
| 181:15               | 154:12,21           | 186:9 215:4         | 9:1 10:1     | 109:8 110:1 | 212:1 213:1        | 187:23       | complicated  |
| coexist 112:9        | 156:20              | comforting          | 11:1 12:1    | 110:2 111:1 | 214:1 215:1        | 195:3,7      | 31:13        |
| <b>coffee</b> 243:10 | 174:22              | 104:8               | 13:1 14:1    | 112:1 113:1 | 216:1 217:1        | 197:24       | 161:17       |
| 251:3                | 176:20,21           | <b>coming</b> 16:23 | 15:1 16:1    | 114:1 115:1 | 218:1 219:1        | 200:13,14    | 230:19       |
| cog 212:16           | 184:25              | 30:14 51:17         | 17:1 18:1    | 116:1 117:1 | 220:1 221:1        | 203:23       | 247:10       |
| cognitive            | 185:17              | 79:2 100:18         | 19:1 20:1    | 118:1 119:1 | 222:1 223:1        | 205:4,22     | complicatio  |
| 176:4 177:9          | 186:2 188:8         | 108:12              | 21:1 22:1    | 120:1 121:1 | 224:1 225:1        | 208:13       | 152:23       |
| 206:16,20            | 189:22              | 125:19              | 23:1,11,14   | 122:1 123:1 | 226:1 227:1        | 209:21       | component    |
| cohesion             | 190:11,14           | 178:14              | 24:1 25:1,5  | 124:1 125:1 | 228:1 229:1        | 212:7,11     | 25:21 29:13  |
| 202:4                | 191:19              | 192:20              | 26:1 27:1    | 126:1 127:1 | 230:1 231:1        | 213:4 214:2  | 176:25       |
| coincidence          | 192:25              | 193:3,16            | 28:1 29:1    | 128:1 129:1 | 232:1 233:1        | 214:10,20    | 177:18       |
| 103:11               | 194:13              | 194:7               | 30:1 31:1    | 130:1 131:1 | 234:1 235:1        | 215:20,24    | 178:12       |
| cold 26:25           | 200:7,18            | 210:15              | 32:1 33:1    | 132:1 133:1 | 236:1 237:1        | 216:6,11,24  | components   |
| colleague            | 202:12,14           | 231:12              | 34:1 35:1    | 134:1 135:1 | 238:1 239:1        | 222:17,18    | 25:22        |
| 16:10 18:24          | 202:25              | 246:8               | 36:1 37:1    | 136:1 137:1 | 240:1 241:1        | 222:25       | 176:10       |
| 115:7                | 204:25              | 248:17              | 38:1 39:1    | 138:1 139:1 | 242:1 243:1        | 223:8,10,12  | 184:7        |
| 159:22               | 206:8               | 249:6               | 40:1 41:1    | 140:1 141:1 | 244:1 245:1        | 223:23       | 189:23       |
| colleagues           | 208:15              | comment             | 42:1,11      | 142:1 143:1 | 246:1 247:1        | 240:4 241:7  | 212:3        |
| 84:8,14              | 211:17,20           | 65:23               | 43:1 44:1    | 144:1 145:1 | 248:1 249:1        | 243:23       | comprehen    |
| 144:9                | 212:15              | comments            | 44:10 45:1   | 146:1 147:1 | 250:1 251:1        | 249:4        | 11:10        |
| 202:21               | 213:11              | 30:25               | 46:1 47:1    | 148:1 149:1 | 251:15             | community    | 104:21       |
| collected            | 214:7 215:8         | 108:20              | 48:1 49:1,7  | 150:1 151:1 | committing         | 107:18       | 177:15       |
| 158:10,20            | 225:21              | 112:15              | 50:1 51:1    | 152:1 153:1 | 106:23             | companies    | 186:19       |
| collective           | 228:10              | commercial          | 52:1 53:1    | 154:1 155:1 | <b>common</b> 43:2 | 130:3        | 196:4        |
| 242:22               | 230:17,19           | 97:3                | 54:1 55:1    | 156:1 157:1 | 54:22 55:25        | company      | comprise     |
| <b></b>              |                     | ,                   |              |             |                    | J            |              |
|                      | <u> </u>            | <u> </u>            | l            |             | <u> </u>           | <u> </u>     | <u> </u>     |
|                      |                     |                     |              |             |                    |              |              |

|               |                |               |                      |                |               |                      | Page 6        |
|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------|
| 0.45          | l              | l             | 1.500                |                | 11015         | ١                    |               |
| 8:16          | 115:23         | constitutes   | 179:3                | 113:15         | 110:17        | counseling           | 226:3         |
| comprised     | conducted      | 23:24         | conversation         | correlation    | 111:15,21     | 59:7 71:2,3          | court 1:18    |
| 157:19        | 113:8          | Constitution  | 172:11               | 10:12          | 118:15,20     | 184:9                | 3:22 5:21     |
| computer      | conferences    | 40:14         | 195:12               | cost 34:5 57:3 | 118:25        | counselors           | 14:3 18:12    |
| 125:5,8,9     | 65:14          | constraints   | 230:12,13            | 57:4,7,10      | 119:17        | 78:7                 | 19:13,24      |
| 125:12        | confidence     | 48:7 54:9     | 230:14,15            | 87:20,21       | 120:13,16     | counterparts         | 31:25 42:25   |
| 144:9 153:6   | 24:22 228:6    | construct     | 231:20,22            | 88:3,7,8,18    | 120:20        | 65:16                | 43:2,24       |
| 153:6,15,19   | confident      | 159:5         | 237:18               | 88:25          | 121:5         | counties             | 44:8,21,24    |
| 153:22        | 37:3           | consuming     | 238:10               | 107:20         | 128:21,25     | 45:19 50:22          | 45:3,12       |
| computers     | confirm        | 92:3          | conversations        | 144:20         | 129:2,7       | 50:23 79:5           | 46:18,19      |
| 130:6         | 117:13         | contact 9:16  | 141:14               | 155:5          | 130:11,18     | 196:21               | 48:12 49:9    |
| concept 68:9  | conflict 145:6 | 47:16 59:4    | 213:12               | 195:13,14      | 131:11        | countries            | 54:21,22      |
| conceptually  | 145:9          | 59:22 69:12   | 214:21               | 196:13         | 147:12        | 233:14               | 55:3,25       |
| 193:7         | conformed      | contacting    | 226:5                | 199:4          | 155:24        | country              | 56:6 58:19    |
| concern 17:7  | 21:14          | 224:13        | 232:11               | costs 56:25    | 156:8,22      | 32:17                | 59:10,20      |
| 74:4 138:8    | confused       | contacts 11:3 | convert 51:7         | 80:19 196:7    | 157:14        | 121:14               | 61:4 65:2     |
| 153:13        | 134:7          | contained     | convey 173:4         | 209:22,24      | 163:13        | 146:21               | 67:24 68:2    |
| 169:22        | 222:23         | 252:5         | convicted 4:9        | 210:8          | 165:25        | 147:4                | 70:19 71:9    |
| 170:10,11     | connected      | contempora    | 123:17               | couches        | 166:6,14      | 156:13               | 71:13 73:22   |
| 237:12        | 243:3          | 8:14          | conviction           | 79:22          | 171:8 173:6   | 167:15               | 74:23 79:11   |
| concerned     | connection     | content       | 16:20                | Council 1:2    | 173:10,15     | 197:13               | 79:20 83:10   |
| 157:16        | 168:23         | 165:12,14     | convictions          | 29:15 49:11    | 174:6,10,20   | 226:11               | 89:19,23      |
| 171:22        | connections    | context 16:12 | 12:11 13:8           | 49:13 83:25    | 187:3,9       | 232:18,24            | 101:4         |
| 204:23        | 244:10         | 24:19,20      | 130:25               | 180:18         | 197:22        | 232:25               | 102:25        |
| concerning    | consequence    | 124:6         | convince 84:8        | Councilman     | 201:13,15     | 233:8,12,16          | 103:6,10,15   |
| 26:12         | 27:19 62:13    | 137:10,12     | 84:13                | 1:9 2:2,21     | 201:19        | 233:25               | 104:9,13      |
| concerns 4:8  | 135:5          | contexts      | convincing           | 4:2 5:11 6:2   | 205:23        | 238:12               | 107:3         |
| 27:15         | consequences   | 38:23         | 10:8                 | 23:13 25:4     | 206:22        | <b>county</b> 16:18  | 110:19        |
| 125:16,17     | 29:2,12        | contingency   | coordinated          | 27:16 30:11    | 207:14        | 54:7,8 63:2          | 113:21        |
| 172:19,20     | 135:8 185:5    | 186:20        | 2:24                 | 34:10 35:8     | 214:13        | 64:17 78:3           | 114:2 116:9   |
| 208:10        | consider 3:21  | continuances  | coordination         | 37:10,14       | 216:21,24     | 150:9                | 170:8,22      |
| concert 158:9 | 127:21         | 79:16         | 33:6                 | 38:11 39:18    | 217:3,7,24    | 194:10               | 180:13        |
| concluded     | 168:25         | continue      | core 167:9           | 41:16,24       | 218:5,15,18   | 196:18               | 216:25        |
| 251:16        | 169:14         | 12:25 85:5    | 222:12               | 42:3,6,14      | 219:23        | 199:22               | 220:15,15     |
| concludes     | 176:2          | 85:8,25       | corporal             | 42:19,22       | 220:21        | 211:4                | 220:17,24     |
| 34:14         | 233:13         | 89:3 99:12    | 221:18               | 43:10 47:4     | 221:4,9       | <b>couple</b> 109:16 | 223:3         |
| conclusion    | consideration  | 167:10        | <b>correct</b> 30:14 | 52:12 53:23    | 222:15        | 125:20               | 226:12        |
| 157:21        | 35:3           | 181:6         | 38:18 78:22          | 56:15,20       | 223:11,15     | 160:20               | courtroom     |
| 158:15,23     | considered     | continuing    | 109:3                | 61:14 63:17    | 224:4,7,10    | 176:13               | 33:25 63:3    |
| 168:19        | 25:15,25       | 200:19        | 156:20               | 64:14 65:8     | 224:22        | 191:14               | 73:24         |
| 231:16        | 99:8 194:17    | continuous    | 157:24               | 66:14 68:3     | 237:22        | 201:19               | 103:20        |
| conclusions   | 222:13         | 39:7          | 187:5                | 68:8 75:16     | 238:2,6       | 203:15               | 147:20        |
| 228:10        | considering    | continuum     | 206:25               | 81:14,18,25    | 241:8         | 209:25               | 156:12        |
| condition     | 25:11,23       | 201:22        | 252:8                | 82:2,8,25      | Councilpeo    | courage              | courtrooms    |
| 28:6 114:14   | 175:24         | contribute    | correcting           | 83:2,6,12      | 109:12        | 203:5                | 33:7 147:16   |
| 180:15        | consistency    | 85:25 158:6   | 135:18               | 84:16 87:19    | counsel 19:11 | course 33:3          | 245:10        |
| 193:2         | 220:9          | control 84:3  | correctional         | 87:25 89:6     | 31:24 32:10   | 37:7 68:15           | courts 33:11  |
| conditions    | consists 176:3 | 252:23        | 189:16               | 89:7 90:9      | 59:10 78:8    | 89:10 94:12          | 88:10         |
| 29:19 70:9    | constantly     | controlled    | Corrections          | 90:20,23       | 112:14,16     | 122:24               | 180:25        |
| 73:10 113:7   | 150:18         | 21:3          | 197:21               | 91:7 92:20     | 112:20,21     | 140:22               | 192:25        |
| 114:2,7,10    | 188:13         | controversial | correctly 36:8       | 92:23 93:10    | 112:23        | 141:18               | 231:6         |
| conduct 1:21  | constituted    | 134:19        | 66:5                 | 101:21         | 113:18        | 162:7,9              | 245:23        |
| 2:14 113:17   | 158:21         | conventional  | correlates           | 107:5          | 114:15        | 196:5 206:4          | cousins 25:17 |
|               |                |               |                      |                |               |                      |               |
|               |                |               |                      |                |               |                      |               |

|                             |                            |                            |                      |                 |                                 |                          | Page /               |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
|                             | 1                          | l                          | 1                    | 1               | 1                               | 1                        | l                    |
| 212:20                      | 43:2,5                     | 221:20                     | <b>DA's</b> 35:12    | 156:11          | dealing 87:6                    | dedicated 3:4            | 103:4                |
| coverage                    | 44:21 52:6                 | crucible                   | 105:23               | 166:8           | 212:23                          | 52:11 53:18              | defense 19:10        |
| 249:5,14                    | 58:7 74:2                  | 246:6                      | daily 57:4,17        | 173:20          | 218:21                          | 203:18,20                | 59:10                |
| covering                    | 95:2 96:2                  | <b>crude</b> 149:24        | 175:18               | 174:23,25       | 220:22                          | deemed 5:18              | <b>define</b> 214:16 |
| 209:22                      | 105:5 109:6                | crux 238:22                | 177:19               | 175:7,13,15     | 227:13                          | 232:16                   | defined              |
| covers 93:23                | 110:7 112:6                | crystal 161:9              | 196:8 197:3          | 175:20,21       | 228:13,15                       | deep 226:7,11            | 115:15               |
| <b>CPC</b> 189:15           | 113:17                     | 161:9                      | 210:6                | 175:25          | 229:24,25                       | 237:21,21                | definitely           |
| <b>CPC's</b> 190:3          | 121:12                     | <b>cudoba</b> 242:6        | damage               | 176:10,15       | 230:9                           | defendant 9:2            | 26:18                |
| 190:13                      | 141:17,18                  | cultural                   | 135:9,9              | 176:23          | dealt 220:16                    | 9:14,21                  | definition           |
| cracked                     | 141:24,25                  | 152:8 169:7                | danger 23:25         | 184:5,5         | 220:16                          | 12:11 43:25              | 214:22               |
| 145:23                      | 145:6,10                   | culturally                 | 27:21 37:24          | 187:17,21       | 226:13                          | 44:3,18,23               | definitive           |
| create 90:4                 | 166:21,24                  | 152:3                      | 67:14                | 195:14,15       | <b>DEAN</b> 1:16                | 45:15 46:13              | 9:10                 |
| 109:18                      | 167:8                      | culture 67:10              | 217:18               | 195:17          | decide 132:6                    | 46:17,23                 | degrade              |
| 110:14                      | 168:15,20                  | 145:21                     | 230:8                | 196:16,18       | 142:20                          | 47:17 48:2               | 160:12               |
| 163:17                      | 169:2,6,13                 | curious                    | <b>data</b> 8:24 9:7 | 197:20          | 144:21,23                       | 49:21 57:12              | degree 50:10         |
| 239:18                      | 176:20                     | 149:15                     | 11:6,7 12:3          | 198:11          | 151:8 153:6                     | 60:7,11                  | 51:4 180:25          |
| 240:15                      | 178:6,7                    | current 1:22               | 14:16 38:14          | 199:11          | 153:19                          | 62:25 64:6               | 204:2 227:7          |
| created                     | 182:9,12,17                | 2:17 42:12                 | 89:23,25             | 201:2,4,12      | 165:15                          | 79:9,18,19               | 235:7                |
| 238:25                      | 183:6,7,24                 | 45:8 61:17                 | 92:7 115:4           | 202:18          | 215:6,7                         | defendant's              | 244:20               |
| 239:14                      | 184:13,15                  | 92:4 101:15                | 136:4                | 205:24          | decided 84:6                    | 64:4 168:25              | degrees              |
| 243:13                      | 186:4                      | 128:5 138:9                | 138:12               | 206:7,10        | deciding                        | defendants               | 244:15,16            |
| creating                    | 193:20                     | 149:24                     | 140:6                | 209:11,12       | 131:4                           | 7:7 12:20                | deliberations        |
| 135:20                      | 195:2 208:3                | 150:7 162:2                | 141:23               | 209:21          | decision                        | 13:25 15:2               | 93:12                |
| creative 5:14               | 208:15                     | 162:16                     | 142:6,25             | 211:11          | 136:24                          | 15:22 17:14              | deliver 97:3         |
| 107:17                      | 213:7                      | 207:25                     | 143:23               | 212:4,12        | 139:5 146:7                     | 18:10,18,22              | delivered            |
| 110:18                      | 215:16                     | currently                  | 160:8 199:5          | 217:11          | 146:17                          | 19:5,16,20               | 116:23               |
| creatively                  | 216:8,13                   | 31:7 44:13                 | 199:9,10             | 218:22          | 153:21,22                       | 22:17 33:11              | demographic          |
| 11:6                        | 219:11                     | 45:18 48:15                | data-gather          | 230:25,25       | 154:2,2                         | 33:19 34:7               | 44:21                |
| credit 25:20                | 220:22                     | 49:15 54:6                 | 3:5                  | 231:22          | 157:2,8                         | 36:16,20                 | department           |
| 39:6 40:9                   | 222:3                      | 91:20                      | databases            | 251:4           | decision-ma                     | 40:22 45:5               | 1:13 44:16           |
| 148:4                       | 232:22,23                  | 107:13                     | 27:2 92:5            | days 45:23      | 147:9                           | 47:6,12                  | 92:16 96:4           |
| crime 22:15                 | 234:4                      | 129:13                     | datasets 8:14        | 49:23 50:2      | decision-ma                     | 48:17 49:18              | 114:17               |
| 95:15 104:5                 | 235:17                     | 136:6 147:5                | 8:16 130:5           | 63:23 73:18     | 171:6                           | 50:5 52:23               | 121:10,11            |
| 131:15,16                   | 251:15                     | 155:8                      | date 49:23           | 79:24 96:10     | decision-ma                     | 55:20 74:6               | 197:21               |
| 135:4                       | criminality                | 162:19,22                  | 59:8 75:4            | 97:6,11,13      | 115:5                           | 74:25                    | departments          |
| 145:18                      | 234:16,24                  | 197:19                     | 79:8,11,13           | 115:24          | 124:11 decisionma               | 113:20                   | 198:15               |
| 163:7,8                     | criminogenic<br>15:4 176:6 | 235:13                     | 79:14                | 117:6 160:8     | 170:20                          | 114:4,9                  | depend               |
| 198:25                      |                            | 249:3                      | 145:17<br>190:13     | 160:20<br>195:9 |                                 | defender                 | 195:20               |
| <b>crimes</b> 97:2<br>104:5 | 177:25<br>182:8            | CURTIS 1:9<br>custody 53:7 | day 43:13            | 195:9           | <b>decisions</b> 7:5 12:5 18:20 | 32:24 33:9<br>41:23 45:3 | dependence<br>187:18 |
| 104:5                       | 212:21                     | 72:7 74:9                  | 50:16,21             | 196:23          | 12:5 18:20<br>19:4,15           | 55:2 76:13               | dependent            |
| 126:11,20                   | criminologi                | 74:15                      | 51:17 54:5           | DC 17:19,24     | 96:7 115:11                     | 93:7 99:9                | 206:3                |
| 131:21                      | 20:9                       | customizable               | 54:10 57:2           | 23:16 61:15     | 143:22                          | 101:6                    | depending            |
| criminal 1:3                | Criminology                | 195:22                     | 57:13 80:9           | 61:18 66:25     | 143:22                          | 111:23                   | 56:24 97:12          |
| 1:17,19,21                  | 121:11                     | customizati                | 81:12 85:15          | 77:18 88:17     | 170:22                          | 111:25                   | 195:24               |
| 1:17,19,21                  | crisis 242:11              | 213:24                     | 87:9 102:15          | DCA 45:25       | 170:22                          | 118:4                    | 206:6 209:3          |
| 2:16 6:12                   | criteria 215:2             | cut 94:19                  | 102:20               | deal 78:15      | 171.7                           | 156:6 170:5              | 200.0 209.3          |
| 7:2 8:17 9:6                | 215:12                     | 162:14                     | 102.20               | 102:11          | 211:16,17                       | 251:2                    | depends              |
| 9:17 11:4,6                 | critical 24:5              | 163:7,8                    | 103.24               | 148:5           | 226:20                          | Defender's               | 161:11               |
| 11:12 12:2                  | 32:3,11                    | 230:3 248:3                | 116:25               | 203:12          | 236:15,16                       | 80:22 96:4               | 207:20               |
| 15:12,17,24                 | 52:5,9                     | 230.3 240.3                | 118:24               | 211:22          | decrease 3:17                   | Defenders                | 210:25               |
| 20:8 26:15                  | 55:17,19                   | D                          | 147:5,6,22           | 228:21,22       | decreases                       | 1:10 74:3                | depressed            |
| 27:3 30:9                   | cross 107:9                | <b>DA</b> 1:18             | 148:6                | dealer 185:16   | 55:17                           | 97:9 100:11              | 146:5 229:3          |
| 27.550.5                    | 21000 10117                | 97:17                      | 110.0                | 200101 100.10   | 55.17                           | 77.7100.11               | 110.5 227.5          |
|                             | <u> </u>                   | 1 *****                    | <u> </u>             | <u> </u>        |                                 | <u> </u>                 |                      |
|                             |                            |                            |                      |                 |                                 |                          |                      |

## Special Committee on Criminal Justice Reform September 12, 2016

|                 |               |                     |                |                      |                  |                     | Page 8                  |
|-----------------|---------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| I I             |               |                     | l              | l                    | 1                | 1                   | 1                       |
| 229:4           | 127:13        | 58:24 212:6         | 55:9,10,10     | 175:16               | 166:11           | 133:18              | 186:12,22               |
|                 | detainer 92:2 | developed           | 92:5 96:8,9    | dimensions           | 168:4            | diversion           | 191:16                  |
| 228:16          | 101:15        | 24:25 60:17         | 96:25 123:6    | 8:11                 | discussions      | 94:3 241:6          | 193:23                  |
| 229:10          | 102:9         | 80:16 155:8         | 123:22         | direct 47:25         | 124:6 128:5      | diversionary        | 194:18                  |
|                 | detainers     | 158:7               | 124:4,7,18     | 48:16,21             | 150:14           | 171:13              | 195:6                   |
| 1:18,18         | 98:3,8        | 189:21              | 126:22         | 58:16,17,18          | 203:3            | divide 39:8         | 198:19                  |
| 42:25 43:15     | 101:11,13     | developing          | 128:10         | 75:2,6,12            | dishes 125:7     | division 43:3       | 200:2                   |
| 93:3,17         | 101:20,22     | 26:20 144:8         | 129:17         | 107:12               | dismantle        | 43:5 44:5           | 201:23                  |
| 132:10          | 105:13        | development         | 131:17         | 252:23               | 238:24           | 56:2,9              | 205:20,21               |
| 133:5,15,23     | detaining     | 61:10 158:2         | 132:3          | directed             | disparate        | 67:20 93:4          | 208:12                  |
| 221:2,7         | 14:21         | 247:3               | 133:21         | 10:15                | 231:9            | 93:20,22            | 214:6 223:6             |
| 248:2,12,15     | detention     | device 57:10        | 141:20         | 184:23               | disparately      | 211:23              | 234:5,6,10              |
| 251:6,9         | 6:19,22       | <b>DHS</b> 88:7     | 142:2          | direction            | 231:18           | divvied             | 239:10                  |
| describe        | 7:13,22       | diagnose            | 147:16         | 51:14                | disparities      | 210:15              | 246:15                  |
| 36:15 174:9     | 9:12 10:2,5   | 122:22              | 149:2          | directions           | 26:6             | <b>Dobbie</b> 14:15 | 249:2,10                |
| 205:23          | 10:13,15,19   | 137:20              | 151:12         | 72:25                | disparity        | <b>Doc</b> 199:20   | <b>dollar</b> 57:15     |
| 212:14          | 11:11 12:9    | diagnoses           | 152:4          | directly 26:8        | 104:22           | 248:3               | 132:14                  |
| describes       | 12:22 13:3    | 229:7               | 154:10         | Director 1:16        | dispensing       | doctor 136:23       | dollars 85:23           |
| 11:21           | 13:10,21      | diagnosis           | 175:18         | 6:7 43:15            | 204:21           | 137:4               | 111:13                  |
| description     | 14:13,19      | 137:15              | 176:10         | 52:11 75:3           | display          | 144:24              | 195:9                   |
| 218:21          | 15:3,7,10     | diagnostic          | 180:7          | 93:6 111:22          | 238:16           | 160:21,23           | domestic                |
| descriptions    | 15:14,20      | 104:22              | 181:17         | Director's           | dispositive      | 251:12              | 80:17                   |
| 66:21           | 16:15,23      | 105:3 228:9         | 182:5,8        | 1:14 43:7            | 157:22           | doctors 3:19        | 128:17                  |
| design 25:2     | 20:12 22:10   | 229:5               | 185:4          | 96:3                 | disruption       | 124:12              | 161:15                  |
| 112:20          | 22:14 34:16   | diagram             | 187:15         | directors            | 167:22           | 137:2               | 165:18                  |
| 152:14          | 37:4 38:6     | 219:2               | 188:2          | 202:22               | disruptions      | 202:21              | donate                  |
| designed        | 84:22 102:5   | <b>dial</b> 166:19  | 189:23         | disagree             | 15:15            | 240:14              | 240:12                  |
|                 | deter 246:11  | 224:11              | 192:21         | 166:5                | disseminate      | documented          | door 70:15              |
|                 | determinati   | dialogue            | 195:21         | disagreement         | 172:24           | 51:4                | 99:5 181:13             |
| 152:13          | 44:25         | 126:3               | 197:11,15      | 167:25               | distinction      | doing 33:16         | 181:16                  |
| 223:5           | 169:18,19     | dials 165:15        | 211:8,8        | disagreeme           | 9:7 10:16        | 44:13 45:14         | 209:16,17               |
| designing       | 180:12        | 166:17,18           | 215:3,3,23     | 40:18                | 138:3            | 47:9 59:5,6         | 213:17                  |
| 154:16          | 215:6         | dichotomies         | 217:23         | discretion           | distinguished    | 60:10 72:2          | doors 198:12            |
|                 | determinati   | 112:7               | 222:22,25      | 149:9                | 6:5              | 74:11 82:20         | 245:8 247:9             |
| 113:17          | 169:23        | DiCicco             | 227:18         | 166:20               | distort          | 85:3 90:4,6         | 247:12                  |
|                 | determine     | 129:2,6             | 240:6 241:4    | discriminat          | 153:15           | 103:12              | dosage                  |
| desperate       | 23:23 37:20   | dictate             | 249:24         | 226:15               | distributing     | 104:21              | 191:18,20               |
| 86:5            | 40:5 53:6     | 215:11              | differentiates | 231:8                | 246:24           | 105:18              | doubling                |
| despite 40:25   | 154:20        | <b>Diego</b> 197:19 | 8:9 35:16      | 232:14               | district 45:2    | 106:15              | 16:21                   |
| 227:25          | 171:16        | diem 195:15         | differently    | discriminat          | 51:15 54:25      | 110:22              | Dougherty               |
| detail 198:23   | 183:12        | 195:23              | 131:22         | 4:18                 | 76:2,4           | 118:10              | 83:17                   |
| details 21:18   | 206:23        | 196:8 210:6         | 228:23         | discuss 8:13         | 80:20 81:9       | 120:6               | dozens                  |
|                 | determined    | diems 196:12        | difficult 10:6 | 44:12 64:10          | 83:5 93:3,5      | 121:12              | 210:16,16               |
| 242:19          | 89:2          | difference 5:2      | 32:9 81:21     | 68:12                | 93:17,18,23      | 122:25              | 210:16                  |
|                 | determiner    | 9:24 10:7           | 105:24         | 173:20               | 96:10            | 123:7               | Dr 112:17               |
| 9:21 10:20      | 170:25        | 36:7 64:21          | 127:5          | discussed            | 109:11           | 129:23              | 120:25                  |
|                 | determines    | 153:3               | 143:14         | 44:9 45:13           | 131:12           | 144:2               | 121:7                   |
| detained 6:23   | 201:23        | differences         | 150:23         | 49:6 54:3            | 132:21           | 150:21              | 128:24                  |
|                 | determining   | 16:8 61:16          | 155:19         | 94:22                | 174:18           | 160:18              | 129:19                  |
| 12:17 13:13     | 34:17 169:3   | different 7:17      | 191:2          | 181:14               | 220:3            | 169:12              | 130:17,20               |
|                 |               | 16:12 25:19         | difficulty     | discussion           | districts        | 178:18              | 131:13                  |
| 1 13:15 15:22 1 | detriment     |                     |                |                      |                  | 1,0.10              | 151.15                  |
|                 | detriment     |                     |                | 54:4 72:16           | 202:9            | 184:5               | 133:3 7 22              |
| 34:18 37:21     | 136:2         | 26:3 31:10          | 16:6 101:19    | 54:4 72:16<br>150:13 | 202:9<br>diverse | 184:5<br>185:12     | 133:3,7,22<br>134:2,4,5 |
| 34:18 37:21     |               |                     |                | 54:4 72:16<br>150:13 | 202:9<br>diverse | 184:5<br>185:12     | 133:3,7,22<br>134:2,4,5 |

|                   |                  |                    |                    |                    |                      |                       | Page 9        |
|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------|
|                   | 1                | 1                  | 1                  | 1                  | I                    | 1                     |               |
| 134:11,15         | 35:21,25         | 169:24             | 11:11 12:7         | eligible           | encompass            | 145:9                 | 73:22 89:11   |
| 134:18            | 36:4,5,9         | 170:6              | 14:13 16:15        | 201:24             | 40:20                | equating              | 96:15         |
| 136:4,19          | 80:17            | <b>earn</b> 16:6   | 22:17 36:23        | 247:25             | encourage            | 108:10                | 102:13        |
| 137:9,23          | 104:11           | earnings 9:19      | efficacy           | 249:9              | 216:4                | equipment             | 127:10        |
| 138:2             | 106:11           | 15:21 34:8         | 188:17             | eliminate          | encouraging          | 52:3 57:7             | 135:14        |
| 139:17            | 116:12           | easier 104:14      | 190:20             | 53:20              | 18:12                | 57:11                 | 143:24        |
| 140:3,13,21       | 177:3            | easiest 97:5       | 198:17             | eliminated         | ends 195:10          | era 112:5             | 146:17        |
| 140:25            | 182:23           | easily 146:10      | efficiency         | 25:21 53:5         | enemy 148:17         | err 171:11            | 159:13        |
| 141:10            | 204:21           | 160:6              | 235:24             | eloquent           | enforcement          | erring 135:25         | 174:11        |
| 142:5 144:6       | 206:10,16        | East 5:4           | efficient          | 168:11             | 20:21 30:5           | error 159:14          | 246:17,25     |
| 144:15            | 207:25           | easy 126:25        | 63:18 99:2         | eloquently         | 218:3                | 161:4                 | 248:22        |
| 145:13            | 209:12,19        | 160:19             | efficiently        | 81:14              | <b>engage</b> 90:5,7 | errors 6:13           | evidence 84:7 |
| 146:7 147:2       | 212:16           | 230:17,18          | 247:23             | else's 228:20      | engagement           | escape 233:7          | 126:15        |
| 148:9             | 214:5            | echoed 83:20       | 250:11,16          | <b>EM</b> 43:16    | 232:22               | escaped               | 252:4         |
| 149:20            | 245:22           | economic           | <b>effort</b> 3:16 | 50:15,24           | engaging             | 233:2                 | evidence-ba   |
| 151:4             | <b>DSM</b> 228:9 | 11:13 22:18        | 66:4 202:17        | 51:6 57:7          | 212:11               | especially            | 176:5         |
| 152:16,18         | 229:6            | 81:11              | efforts 85:22      | 57:14 59:14        | English              | 169:24                | 200:20        |
| 152:10,10         | due 24:23        | 133:21             | eight 118:24       | 59:17,21,24        | 236:22               | 227:11                | 217:13        |
| 154:3 155:7       | 28:23 46:20      | economy 16:3       | 179:11             | emerged            | enhancing            | 232:17                | 241:16        |
| 157:12,25         | 48:9,14          | edge 219:18        | 180:4              | 242:8              | 183:15               | 233:17                | evolution     |
| 157:12,23         | 51:13 54:8       | editorial          | either 21:7        | empathetic         | enlighten            | <b>ESQ</b> 1:10,16    | 129:21        |
| 160:4             | 102:25           | 154:6,9            | 23:3 39:14         | 219:20             | 154:23               | 1:17,18               | 176:18        |
| 161:11            | DUI 181:2        | educated           | 66:3 152:5         | empathy            | enlightening         |                       | evolutionary  |
| 164:16,24         | dumb 171:4       | 24:3 139:8         | 171:2 182:6        | 220:9              | 141:11               | <b>essence</b> 175:10 | 149:23        |
| 165:4             | dump 55:14       |                    | 190:4              | emphasize          |                      | essential             | evolved       |
| 167:24            | <b>DV</b> 162:3  | education<br>60:25 |                    | 4:16 99:25         | ensure 43:20         | 151:20                | 176:24        |
|                   |                  |                    | 206:13,14          |                    | ensuring             |                       |               |
| 172:18            | dynamic          | 142:10             | 207:17             | emphasized         | 43:24 53:21          | essentially           | evolves       |
| 173:9,12          | 182:15           | 177:13             | 217:18             | 236:23,24          | enter 193:4          | 19:19 38:25           | 242:25        |
| 224:18,23         | 207:24           | 182:6 208:8        | 218:2              | employed           | 216:8                | establish             | ex-offender   |
| 225:4,25          | 222:7            | 212:17             | El 246:23          | 16:7 38:23         | enterprises          | 233:19                | 175:19        |
| 230:13            |                  | 243:21             | El-Shabazz         | 48:6 86:21         | 248:24               | establishing          | 183:12        |
| 232:3             |                  | effect 10:2        | 1:17 68:6          | 183:7              | entire 154:7         | 187:22                | exact 85:16   |
| 235:10            | e-mail 46:5      | 35:25 37:4         | 75:21 78:23        | employee           | 229:7,19             | <b>estate</b> 203:18  | 223:4         |
| 238:4,9           | ear 82:13        | 95:18              | 80:8 81:3,7        | 40:7               | entirely             | 203:21                | 227:13,15     |
| 248:10,14         | earlier 28:21    | 198:25             | 82:5,24            | employers          | 243:18               | 210:18                | 228:2,2       |
| 248:19            | 49:4 82:13       | 202:10             | 83:4 84:12         | 39:23              | entities 25:10       | estimates             | 229:2         |
| 250:23            | 100:24           | 240:2              | 86:23 87:23        | employment         | environment          | 155:16                | exactly 38:24 |
| 251:5,14          | 102:2            | effective          | 89:5 90:11         | 9:18 15:21         | 132:4                | estimation            | 40:19         |
| dramatic          | 154:15           | 29:24 99:3         | 90:21 91:3         | 132:23             | 234:23               | 89:16 90:22           | 135:19        |
| 130:9             | 171:18           | 156:18             | 105:16             | 142:12             | 236:24               | et 34:8 120:9         | 137:23        |
| <b>draw</b> 87:16 | 180:18           | 179:11             | 110:25             | 177:13             | environments         | 169:8                 | 138:2 155:2   |
| 184:6,11          | 195:12           | 180:4 187:7        | elaborate          | 178:18             | 131:17               | 240:14,14             | 155:17        |
| drawing           | earliest 20:23   | 189:14,25          | 134:13             | 182:24             | 132:3                | 244:11                | 175:14        |
| 210:10,21         | early 20:20      | 190:5,5,16         | 223:15             | 191:9              | envisions            | ethical 132:7         | 181:11        |
| drill 152:10      | 58:14 72:2       | 190:16             | electronic         | 194:23             | 132:2                | ethnic 104:22         | 205:20,21     |
| <b>drive</b> 40:7 | 94:6,21          | 194:8              | 18:16 42:13        | 208:9              | <b>equal</b> 127:19  | 105:4                 | 226:5         |
| driving 90:7      | 96:19,20         | 204:10             | 49:7 50:10         | 212:16             | equally              | ethnicity             | 229:12        |
| 178:3,8           | 99:7,17          | 210:20             | 78:19              | empowered          | 125:24               | 142:9,14              | 235:18        |
| 183:21            | 100:17           | 212:10             | 102:14             | 82:16              | 126:6,13             | eventually            | 236:5,19      |
| drop 244:23       | 101:19,23        | effectiveness      | 211:25             | <b>empty</b> 202:8 | 127:10,17            | 25:21                 | 237:6 239:9   |
| dropped           | 103:12           | 189:18             | 212:2              | <b>EMU</b> 50:10   | 127:20               | everybody             | examination   |
| 189:8             | 105:10           | effects 9:11       | elements           | 51:2               | 135:13,14            | 2:25 41:19            | 105:3         |
| drug 29:20        | 116:15           | 10:14,19           | 176:3              | enact 13:20        | 143:14               | 67:14 73:19           | examine 9:5   |
| 9                 | 118:18           | , -                | -                  |                    |                      |                       |               |
|                   | l                | l                  |                    |                    | l                    |                       | l             |
|                   |                  |                    |                    |                    |                      |                       |               |

|                     |                      |                          |               |                      |                      |                       | Page 10            |
|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
|                     | I                    | I                        |               | I                    | I                    | I                     | 1                  |
| examining           | expensive            | 154:20                   | 194:15        | 128:10               | 211:3                | 102:12                | 156:2              |
| 1:22 2:15           | 196:9                | 156:13                   | 204:24        | 154:18               | federally            | 104:16                | 179:10             |
| example             | experience           | extract 68:16            | 208:17        | 155:3,4,13           | 52:21                | 173:11                | 180:9              |
| 10:20 11:4          | 17:19 18:6           | extradition              | factored      | 166:22               | <b>feed</b> 37:8     | 220:7                 | 203:16             |
| 12:13 18:17         | 18:7,23              | 64:16,23                 | 146:24        | 170:18               | feedback             | financed 76:3         | 204:12             |
| 29:3 76:10          | 25:6 41:11           | 65:4                     | factors 26:5  | 235:25               | 188:5,14             | 80:22 81:4            | 211:18             |
| 102:22              | 58:6 65:2            | extraneous               | 27:10 36:10   | <b>fairy</b> 109:6   | feel 41:18           | 81:4,6                | 225:23             |
| 103:16              | 122:9,10,16          | 41:14                    | 37:5,7 39:3   | <b>fall</b> 102:18   | 51:22 72:22          | financial             | 233:24             |
| 125:22              | 123:12               | extreme                  | 60:9 125:2    | 107:16               | 106:24               | 85:19                 | 241:9              |
| 128:16              | 125:6                | 37:24 215:9              | 125:11        | 171:20               | 113:21               | <b>find</b> 6:11 12:8 | 242:15             |
| 138:19              | 135:10               | extremely                | 139:13,24     | 187:20               | feeling 146:4        | 29:8 67:7,8           | 244:4              |
| 151:13              | 152:5,6              | 182:21                   | 140:7         | 192:12               | 172:12               | 85:2 86:25            | <b>fit</b> 171:19  |
| 208:5 230:2         | 158:16               | 250:12                   | 141:16        | 241:23               | feelings 179:5       | 87:3 98:7             | fits 96:22         |
| 244:11              | experiences          | <b>eye</b> 195:6         | 145:15        | false 112:7          | <b>feels</b> 101:3   | 100:5 112:2           | five 82:4          |
| examples            | 123:4                | eyes 98:18               | 146:10,12     | familiar             | 124:7                | 116:9                 | 97:11              |
| 128:15              | 124:15               | 177:21                   | 147:19        | 20:12                | 217:20,21            | 151:15                | 197:18             |
| 136:21              | 138:17               | 233:24                   | 166:7 169:8   | 207:12               | <b>felony</b> 16:22  | 184:11                | 201:4 237:3        |
| 196:14              | 147:5,6              | 238:11                   | 171:23        | 216:22               | 18:2 38:6            | 188:18,20             | five-day           |
| 226:24              | experiential         |                          | 182:8         | 220:14               | 76:12 79:9           | 203:14                | 72:10              |
| exceed 48:18        | 125:10               | F                        | 207:24        | families 4:13        | 96:25                | 213:13                | 115:20,22          |
| excellent           | experiment           | face 106:17              | 209:25        | 247:9,10,10          | <b>felt</b> 74:13    | 214:9                 | five-year          |
| 35:14               | 12:6 36:3,8          | 155:20                   | 229:11        | 247:11,13            | females              | 227:16                | 241:2              |
| exception           | 36:13                | 173:11                   | facts 115:25  | family 57:22         | 126:14               | 234:16                | <b>fix</b> 66:16   |
| 64:15               | 112:19               | face-to-face             | 203:6         | 57:25,25             | fewer 27:4           | 235:3                 | 105:8              |
| exceptionally       | experimental         | 74:17                    | fail 50:7     | 58:5,8,13            | fidelity 200:6       | 236:19                | <b>fixed</b> 196:7 |
| 204:8               | 36:22                | faced 17:21              | 76:19 106:9   | 58:20 59:4           | 200:18               | 245:24                | fixing 101:7,8     |
| excited 21:22       | experiments          | facial 237:13            | 126:9,11,15   | 59:15,23             | field 226:4          | finding 98:2          | flexibility        |
| 110:4               | 10:11                | facility 202:2           | 134:23        | 60:7,24              | 230:2                | 98:10                 | 48:4               |
| 238:20              | expert 38:20         | 202:11,23                | failure 18:3  | 100:23               | fields 232:9         | findings              | flight 17:7        |
| 250:24              | expert 38.20         | facing 101:13            | 19:25 21:7    | 116:8 135:9          | 232:10               | 11:19 14:18           | <b>fly</b> 41:9    |
| exciting            | 198:5                | 225:11                   | 29:2,10       | 182:23               | fight 28:9,9         | 33:23 173:2           | focus 56:7         |
| 239:2               | experts 21:24        | fact 8:3 12:3            | 214:17,22     | 247:8                | 28:12 96:15          | finds 12:9            | 112:10             |
| exclusive           | 24:25 122:2          | 29:11 40:2               | 214:17,22     |                      |                      | fine 136:12           | 115:25             |
| 112:12              |                      | 49:13 50:22              | fair 6:8 25:2 | family's             | 98:16,24<br>109:17   | 137:17                | 183:4              |
| · ·                 | explained            |                          | 25:7 133:16   | 59:16                | 208:6 233:3          |                       |                    |
| exclusively         | 146:8                | 52:6 59:18<br>84:10 98:8 |               | famous               |                      | fire 239:6            | 208:19             |
| 179:17              | explains<br>46:16    | 124:13                   | 133:17        | 229:17               | 233:10               | firearms              | focused 6:10       |
| exist 107:13        |                      |                          | 134:9         | far 152:9            | figuratively         | 131:19                | 7:15 10:18         |
| existence           | explanation          | 142:6                    | 135:15        | 177:19               | 144:11               | firm 221:16           | 26:14 43:23        |
| 103:9               | 49:20                | 164:12                   | 143:13        | 191:21               | <b>figure</b> 66:23  | first 1:18 5:23       | 56:2 182:6         |
| exonerating         | exploit 36:15        | 170:21                   | 152:12        | farther 144:7        | 85:7 157:17          | 8:12 9:7              | focuses 11:24      |
| 130:12              | <b>explore</b> 21:16 | 172:10                   | 161:20        | fascist 229:22       | 220:4                | 17:13 24:9            | 141:21             |
| <b>expand</b> 33:16 | 23:5 54:15           | 197:17                   | 166:19,25     | <b>fast</b> 74:15,16 | figuring             | 31:19 35:11           | focusing 8:20      |
| 51:6,21             | 78:12                | 202:12                   | 167:6         | <b>father</b> 62:14  | 111:11               | 37:18 51:14           | 14:16 16:11        |
| 249:5 250:9         | explored 54:7        | 205:7                    | 168:15,16     | 220:4                | file 45:7            | 56:21 60:19           | 16:18              |
| expanded            | 54:17                | 223:16                   | 171:15        | favorable            | 64:10                | 69:10 76:17           | 206:13             |
| 49:3                | exploring 3:6        | 226:15                   | fairly 26:21  | 113:10               | filed 49:22          | 84:21 97:19           | folk 203:8         |
| expansion           | 3:14                 | 230:10                   | 37:2 72:23    | February             | fill 214:8           | 99:19                 | folks 18:23        |
| 42:13               | express 73:12        | 233:18                   | 113:23        | 94:9                 | <b>filled</b> 116:25 | 103:21                | 24:24 29:5         |
| expect 151:8        | expressions          | fact-finding             | fairness 6:13 | <b>fed</b> 39:3      | 191:5,11             | 106:14                | 112:19             |
| expenditures        | 237:13               | 3:5                      | 113:6,11,14   | federal 20:7         | <b>final</b> 10:16   | 125:21                | 115:10             |
| 22:12               | extended             | factor 73:7              | 125:17,22     | 61:19                | 25:24                | 129:4,9,14            | 117:18             |
| expenses            | 171:15               | 163:19                   | 126:19,23     | 197:22               | 224:16               | 138:5                 | 123:25             |
| 211:8               | extent 30:3          | 166:7 182:7              | 127:6         | 210:23               | <b>finally</b> 19:17 | 145:17                | 149:22             |
|                     |                      | 183:14                   |               |                      |                      |                       |                    |
| L                   | -                    | -                        | -             | -                    | -                    | -                     | •                  |

|                       |                      |                     |                   |                     |                     |                      | Page II     |
|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------|
|                       | l                    | 1                   | 1                 | 1                   | l                   | l                    |             |
| 207:15                | 97:23                | 205:16              | 237:18            | 10:23               | 155:17              | 176:23               | 57:8,12,14  |
| 242:3,20,24           | <b>forth</b> 201:16  | <b>full</b> 177:8   | future 9:16       | gentleman           | 165:15              | 182:9                | 57:16 58:5  |
| 243:16,18             | Forty 191:10         | 202:10              | 11:3 14:14        | 68:4                | 169:25              | 187:25               | 58:14,15,20 |
| 245:3,19              | <b>forum</b> 166:11  | full-service        | 15:17 23:17       | gentlemen           | 177:14              | 188:19               | 59:6 60:12  |
| 246:3,6,7             | forward 9:5          | 175:21              | 44:11,14          | 173:21              | 189:24              | 191:13               | 61:3,12     |
| 246:20                | 9:15,22              | <b>fully</b> 54:17  | 47:10 48:24       | <b>GEO</b> 173:19   | 220:13              | 198:23               | 63:5,8 65:3 |
| 248:23                | 89:20                | 169:21              | 56:11 60:3        | 174:16,19           | 226:23              | 201:15               | 66:6,11,12  |
| 249:6,12,13           | 179:15               | 198:12              | 95:17             | 174:24              | 240:19              | 202:23               | 67:15 70:15 |
| <b>follow</b> 70:8,17 | 224:14               | 252:5               | 113:16,19         | 179:15              | given 12:23         | 203:24               | 72:11 74:18 |
| 71:9 72:25            | 250:20               | function            | 124:17            | 203:20              | 28:13 31:12         | 206:13               | 77:3 82:22  |
| 114:3,7,10            | <b>fought</b> 109:13 | 86:12               | 153:18            | 210:11              | 32:5 33:22          | 211:7 213:8          | 85:23 86:7  |
| 200:20                | 109:15               | functional          | 188:3             | 211:24              | 38:15 63:19         | 214:19               | 88:14,22,25 |
| 217:19                | <b>found</b> 4:12    | 98:7                |                   | George 1:18         | 72:10 73:11         | 216:6,19             | 89:10 90:10 |
| follow-thro           | 34:25 62:17          | functioning         | G                 | 35:10 221:8         | 73:11 79:13         | 227:21,23            | 91:6 95:14  |
| 61:25                 | 62:19 69:8           | 53:10               | <b>gain</b> 86:14 | 221:9               | 114:7 117:6         | 228:7,19             | 96:15 99:12 |
| follow-up             | 69:25 103:9          | <b>fund</b> 48:14   | <b>gains</b> 56:8 | Germantown          | 126:19              | 229:14,16            | 99:20,20    |
| 28:16 38:12           | 112:22               | 49:14               | 109:19            | 131:10              | gives 111:12        | 232:15               | 100:9,15    |
| 43:17 61:25           | 164:6 190:4          | fundament           | game 185:2        | 132:13              | <b>giving</b> 76:21 | 237:8                | 101:4,14    |
| 134:17                | 191:21               | 134:9               | gap 108:22        | getting 51:25       | 86:3 188:11         | 239:20,20            | 105:10      |
| 173:3                 | 194:22               | 166:25              | gaps 214:8        | 72:14 74:14         | glad 81:19          | 241:24               | 106:17      |
| followed              | foundation           | 167:2,6             | gate 99:19        | 75:8 103:25         | 126:25              | 242:19               | 107:2,22    |
| 236:11                | 158:21               | <b>funded</b> 52:21 | gather 3:24       | 119:23              | 130:20              | 244:8,12,17          | 109:7       |
| following             | foundations          | 54:17               | 44:21             | 149:12              | 205:8               | 244:18               | 115:10      |
| 48:19 73:14           | 179:16               | 248:23              | gathered          | 166:17              | glimmers            | 249:8 250:8          | 120:6,25    |
| 148:21                | four 97:11           | funding             | 45:10             | 181:25              | 119:8               | goal 31:18           | 122:11,13   |
| 217:17                | 125:2                | 48:13,24            | Gaudenzia         | 184:22              | <b>go</b> 10:11     | 84:23 86:15          | 124:2 125:4 |
| <b>food</b> 157:4,9   | 145:16               | 51:24,25            | 250:4             | 187:13              | 11:16 14:9          | 135:23               | 125:12      |
| foolish 140:8         | 155:13               | 52:11 54:9          | gauge 152:8       | 188:13              | 15:3 16:4           | 179:8                | 126:9,11    |
| 141:6                 | 182:12,20            | 76:4,15             | gear 184:18       | 191:17              | 23:15 27:22         | 250:17               | 127:2,6     |
| <b>forces</b> 147:3   | 185:15               | 78:3 81:13          | 186:11            | 202:18              | 28:3 29:8           | <b>goals</b> 84:19   | 130:15      |
| forecast              | 186:17               | 83:4,10             | geared            | 219:6 220:8         | 56:20 58:16         | 84:20 99:14          | 131:6,8,14  |
| 122:12                | 187:3,10             | 97:16               | 178:23            | 227:7               | 58:17 59:9          | 212:7                | 131:15,24   |
| 123:11,12             | 190:2,9,14           | 105:19              | 249:22            | 234:10              | 62:3,3,11           | 243:11               | 134:21,23   |
| 165:14                | four-to-one          | 106:2               | geeked            | <b>Ghose</b> 224:18 | 63:22 67:3          | goes 9:16            | 134:25      |
| forecasting           | 186:15,24            | 115:21              | 128:22            | 224:23              | 67:17,21            | 30:9 34:17           | 135:2,11,12 |
| 160:11                | four/one             | 119:15,20           | gender 142:7      | 225:4,6             | 68:18 70:22         | 50:9 77:5            | 135:23      |
| foregoing             | 221:21               | 239:15,15           | 142:13,18         | 238:4,9             | 71:2,9,18           | 77:12 92:6           | 136:22      |
| 252:7,20              | framework            | 244:7               | 144:19            | 248:10,14           | 71:24 76:21         | 113:3                | 137:16      |
| foremost              | 223:9                | 247:16,17           | 164:7,12,21       | 248:19              | 89:12               | 141:23               | 142:13,14   |
| 225:23                | Franklin             | 248:20              | 165:10,19         | 250:23              | 103:14              | 226:25               | 143:3,10,10 |
| forfeit 89:19         | 199:22               | 249:19              | 168:22            | 251:5,14            | 108:4,5             | 229:21,21            | 144:25      |
| forgiveness           | <b>fraud</b> 99:6    | 250:15,19           | general 7:25      | <b>giant</b> 66:18  | 109:16              | <b>going</b> 4:6 5:6 | 147:14      |
| 225:3                 | free 185:2           | <b>funds</b> 77:22  | 123:8             | <b>give</b> 35:19   | 122:2,20            | 7:14 12:23           | 148:11      |
| <b>form</b> 49:17     | 247:18               | 88:12               | generalize        | 36:3 38:8           | 124:13,25           | 15:25 22:3           | 150:3,7     |
| 69:17 148:8           | frequently           | 210:24              | 16:13             | 39:16 65:17         | 128:19              | 22:19 23:9           | 151:20      |
| 175:7                 | 48:12                | funny 99:25         | generalized       | 69:6 85:21          | 132:12,21           | 26:5,23              | 152:8,10    |
| 211:12                | 145:22               | furnishing          | 189:6             | 96:6 100:9          | 132:24              | 27:22 29:3           | 153:17      |
| formal                | Friday 63:5          | 41:5                | generally         | 109:7               | 133:18,19           | 29:19 33:12          | 154:19,24   |
| 201:10                | <b>friend</b> 185:14 | further 10:25       | 49:17             | 125:22              | 144:7 150:2         | 35:22 39:11          | 155:4,15,16 |
| format                | front 13:22          | 13:24 35:7          | 207:18            | 128:14              | 152:4,24            | 41:6 51:17           | 155:20      |
| 246:16                | 34:4 61:23           | 68:21               | generated         | 130:22              | 154:14              | 51:18,23             | 157:19      |
| formerly 1:11         | 180:24               | 111:16              | 71:18             | 141:12              | 157:10,12           | 52:5 55:14           | 158:3       |
| forming               | 197:8                | 230:15              | 172:21            | 148:16              | 169:8 176:8         | 55:15,18             | 165:14      |
|                       |                      |                     | generation        |                     |                     |                      |             |
|                       | I                    | I                   | I                 | I                   | I                   |                      |             |

|               |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     | Page 12              |
|---------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
|               | 1                   | 1                   | 1                   | 1                   | I                   | 1                   | 1                    |
| 167:2,10,22   | 122:14              | grassroots          | 153:21              | hamster             | 43:16 139:6         | 141:12              | 187:25               |
| 169:17        | 124:16              | 146:4               | group-based         | 110:13              | 185:10              | 149:14              | 200:10               |
| 170:2,3,9     | 125:4,12            | gravitate           | 138:24              | hand 26:21          | 233:4               | 170:7               | 212:7                |
| 170:14        | 134:3,5             | 220:10              | groups              | 100:7               | <b>headed</b> 51:15 | 181:14              | helpful 35:22        |
| 171:14        | 139:11,15           | gravity 181:3       | 125:24              | 221:16              | heads 145:23        | 224:17              | 41:7 188:25          |
| 173:5 175:5   | 140:10              | 181:4               | 137:3               | handcuffed          | health 35:24        | 225:17              | helping 86:21        |
| 178:15        | 148:17              | great 5:18 6:4      | 175:22              | 73:22               | 86:10               | 251:10              | 159:15               |
| 179:6,10,14   | 157:9 161:9         | 22:8 35:4           | 206:18              | <b>handed</b> 79:10 | 102:24              | hearings 1:22       | 169:17               |
| 180:3,6       | 161:20              | 41:11 72:13         | 231:16              | <b>handle</b> 32:21 | 103:8,10,14         | 2:15 3:10           | 171:24               |
| 181:6,10      | 163:10              | 85:14               | 235:15,16           | 75:14               | 104:6,9,13          | 14:25 19:11         | <b>helps</b> 6:20    |
| 183:13        | 171:20              | 100:14              | 241:19              | handled             | 106:11              | 19:24 32:21         | hemisphere           |
| 184:12,19     | 173:10              | 119:21              | 245:20,21           | 28:11               | 110:19              | 39:20 73:25         | 218:23               |
| 185:10,17     | 179:11              | 166:9               | grown 145:22        | hands 107:7         | 116:13              | 100:10              | <b>heroes</b> 148:14 |
| 185:17,18     | 184:4               | 168:11              | 146:21              | <b>happen</b> 30:22 | 208:9 249:4         | 112:21              | hey 19:22            |
| 185:19        | 186:12,22           | 185:12              | 200:9               | 74:21 79:21         | healthy             | 117:2 129:2         | 29:20 39:9           |
| 186:6         | 187:21              | 194:24              | guess 24:3          | 80:15 81:22         | 103:10              | heart 40:6          | 188:22               |
| 188:10        | 188:15              | 198:23              | 31:10 63:12         | 132:2               | hear 3:23           | 244:24              | 202:17               |
| 193:21        | 189:24              | 200:7,22            | 72:24 86:7          | 147:23              | 21:22 39:20         | <b>Heaton</b> 6:3,6 | 242:6 248:3          |
| 198:22        | 200:12              | 201:7               | 123:11              | 203:9               | 68:4 77:9           | 24:12 26:2          | <b>high</b> 47:13    |
| 203:22        | 205:4,7             | 238:10              | 129:17              | happened            | 129:5 135:7         | 28:18 30:16         | 49:19 59:2           |
| 204:2,5,9     | 210:20              | greater 33:18       | 134:7               | 70:2 129:20         | 138:7               | 31:9 35:13          | 65:3 103:5           |
| 205:10        | 214:2,6             | 124:24,24           | 140:15              | 129:24              | 143:18              | 38:2,19             | 138:22               |
| 206:8,8,12    | 216:10              | 135:24              | 235:15              | happening           | 154:7               | 40:12 42:5          | 180:21               |
| 206:13,19     | 217:20              | 180:25              | guide 163:21        | 97:22,24            | 162:10              | 65:22               | 192:5,7,7            |
| 207:22,22     | 221:24              | 199:19,21           | 186:24              | 98:2,9              | 163:15              | 112:17              | 193:12               |
| 207:23        | 247:23              | 199:23              | 188:12              | 99:16 148:7         | 176:15              | 151:13              | 200:6 204:2          |
| 208:25        | goodness            | 210:5               | guided 125:3        | 195:10              | 250:24              | heavily             | 207:5,19             |
| 212:20        | 128:24              | <b>ground</b> 204:5 | guidelines          | happens 32:6        | <b>heard</b> 56:16  | 170:17              | 225:15               |
| 215:22        | Google 217:4        | groundbrea          | 123:20              | 32:8 38:25          | 74:22 75:24         | heavy 114:14        | 229:8 230:4          |
| 216:7,10      | <b>gotten</b> 130:7 | 222:14              | guiding             | 44:11 45:15         | 84:21 87:5          | heightened          | 233:5 235:2          |
| 219:16        | government          | grounds             | 177:24              | 50:17 71:8          | 95:19 112:3         | 29:4                | 235:14               |
| 221:19        | 25:10 61:19         | 84:10               | 221:16              | 76:24               | 124:10              | Heisenberg          | 250:13               |
| 223:25        | 81:21               | 153:13              | <b>guilty</b> 10:22 | 147:21              | 129:9 135:8         | 235:4,5             | high-quality         |
| 224:3 225:4   | <b>GPS</b> 51:12,22 | groundwork          | 12:15,23            | 213:17              | 141:2 151:9         | held 62:25          | 8:5 24:14            |
| 225:5,17,18   | 52:3 83:19          | 44:15               | 13:7 16:5           | <b>happy</b> 201:11 | 159:21              | 64:17 65:7          | 31:16                |
| 225:20        | 133:8               | group 6:5           | 34:25               | 214:3               | 180:17              | 72:7 74:8           | high-risk            |
| 228:17        | grab 152:24         | 97:4 114:25         | <b>gun</b> 129:11   | 218:17              | 195:11              | 92:8,8              | 39:11 191:4          |
| 237:10        | <b>grade</b> 132:9  | 115:3 122:8         | guns 129:3          | hard 98:15          | 197:10              | 101:24              | 235:16               |
| 242:7 248:7   | 144:23              | 124:9 138:6         | guy 96:12           | 100:12              | 225:24              | 242:3               | higher 20:17         |
| 249:21        | gradual             | 138:14              | 240:19              | 102:10              | 230:6               | help 5:6 7:16       | 48:24 145:8          |
| 251:4         | 129:20              | 139:11              | guys 109:13         | 103:18,18           | 241:20              | 8:25 19:19          | 194:3,3,6            |
| Goldkamp      | graduated           | 154:2               | 148:5               | 104:2,18            | 250:25              | 25:2 58:15          | 209:4                |
| 20:10 28:20   | 138:22              | 173:19              | 201:17              | 128:4 132:9         | hearing 2:3         | 61:16 84:25         | highest 49:5         |
| 45:23 65:24   | 241:11              | 174:5,16            | 214:16              | 142:5               | 3:19 30:25          | 100:14,19           | 57:3,4               |
| good 1:24 2:2 | graduation          | 203:20              | 241:10              | 178:17              | 31:24 32:6          | 100:19              | 132:22               |
| 2:20 15:5     | 241:10              | 206:13,16           |                     | 202:4 226:2         | 33:25 63:25         | 101:2,17            | 190:19               |
| 21:4 33:5     | grander             | 206:17,17           | H                   | harder              | 72:10,22            | 113:18              | 245:9                |
| 40:7,13       | 198:10              | 230:17,21           | <b>habit</b> 103:24 | 219:18              | 74:12,16            | 116:21              | highlight            |
| 42:21 70:15   | <b>grant</b> 51:9   | 230:22              | Haiti 239:11        | harm 193:23         | 76:11 83:20         | 135:23              | 21:10 31:21          |
| 73:16 75:12   | 104:21              | 242:17,21           | <b>half</b> 3:11    | harness 247:2       | 97:11               | 153:17              | 208:7                |
| 103:10,16     | grants 52:8         | 242:21              | 53:14               | <b>Harris</b> 16:18 | 100:17              | 171:5               | <b>highly</b> 190:5  |
| 118:21        | 88:13               | 245:24              | 132:14              | He'll 42:11         | 108:4               | 178:18              | 190:15               |
| 119:2 122:7   | grapple 29:16       | group's             | <b>Hall</b> 1:6     | head 38:8           | 111:24              | 179:3               | hire 81:11           |
|               |                     |                     | halls 83:21         |                     |                     |                     |                      |
|               |                     | !                   |                     | I                   | l                   |                     | l                    |

| Pril   | -           |               |              |               |                                       |              |               | Page 13                               |
|--|-------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|
|  | 07.17       | 210.21        | 27.2.47.0    | l             | l. "                                  | . " 162.7    | l <b>.</b>    | 04.22.05.0                            |
| Hispanies   Lord   Lo |             |               |              |               |                                       |              |               |                                       |
| 147:3   161:18   17:18   17:18   17:18   17:18   161:18   16:18   16:18   17:18   16 |             | ·             |              |               | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |              |               |                                       |
| bistorical   171:15   220:11   burts 95:16   23:19 46:2   28:19 29:13   74.8 96:17   13:10 14:19   141:25;25   101:12   229:2   23:05   bistory 26:15   101:12   229:2   23:05   bistory 26:15   101:12   229:2   23:05   bistory 26:15   101:12   229:2   23:19 46:2   28:19 29:13   74.8 96:17   13:10 14:19   12:17   13:10 14:19   12:17   13:10 14:19   12:17   13:10 14:19   12:17   13:10 14:19   12:17   13:10 14:19   12:17   13:10 14:19   12:17   13:10 14:19   12:17   13:10 14:19   12:17   13:10 14:19   12:17   13:10 14:19   13:10 1 |             |               |              | ,             |                                       |              |               |                                       |
| historical   17:15   20:11   hormonal   95:16   23:19-462   23:19-213   73:19-313   16:13   16:21   16:13   16:21    |             |               |              |               |                                       | _            |               |                                       |
| 141-73   |             |               |              |               |                                       |              |               |                                       |
| histories   holistically   228:18   hypothesis   533:198:5   31:20-33:19   120:7   13:10-14:19   13:11   12:82:3   13:12   23:12   13:13   1 |             |               |              |               |                                       |              |               |                                       |
| 141:17.53.5   101:12   |             |               |              |               |                                       |              |               |                                       |
|  |             |               |              |               |                                       |              |               |                                       |
| 273.4422   |             |               |              |               |                                       |              |               |                                       |
| 6815   Hollway 1:16  |             | -             |              |               |                                       |              |               |                                       |
| 141:17.18  |             |               |              |               |                                       |              |               |                                       |
| 143:16   |             |               |              | V -           |                                       |              |               |                                       |
| 170-11-12  |             |               |              | 78:21         |                                       |              |               |                                       |
| 182:12   |             |               |              |               |                                       |              |               |                                       |
| 208:15   |             |               |              |               |                                       |              |               |                                       |
| 229:19,20  |             |               |              |               |                                       |              |               |                                       |
| 232:22   216:20  |             |               |              |               |                                       |              |               |                                       |
| 238:14,15   bit 98:5 214:9   home 50:8   hour 243:6   hit 98:5 214:9   home 50:8   hit 98:5 214:9   home 50:8   hour 243:6   hour 54:8   hit 19:10   roll 222:1   hit 98:6   homeless 73:3   roll 23:7   roll 23:8   roll 23 |             |               |              |               |                                       |              |               |                                       |
| hit 98:5 214:9 lither 229:16 Hitler 229:16 Hitler 229:16 Hitler 229:16 hours 64:8 hours 64:15 hours 64:16 hours 64:16 hours 64:15 hou                      |             |               |              |               |                                       |              |               |                                       |
| Hitler 229:16 hits 98:6         132:14,16 homeless 73:3         74:9,11 hunders 74:9         144:6 hunders 71:2 hunders 72:3         197:9 204:3 hunders 13:5 hunders 73:3 hunders 73:3 hunders 73:7         189:19 hunders 71:2 hunders 72:2 hunders 73:3 hunders 73:3 hunders 73:3 hunders 73:3 hunders 73:3 hunders 73:1 hunders 73:   |             |               |              |               |                                       |              |               |                                       |
| hits 98:6 hitting         homeless 73:3         74:9,11         144:6         119:10         204:8         13:5         190:3           hitting         76:23 77:6         123:7         218:20         impacts 7:12         226:14         include 22:22         199:11,14           192:11         79:12         191:14         idealist 167:5         7:18 15:23         230:21         96:25         200:15           Hogan         213:13         192:3,8         167:7         15:25 21:4         235:22,23         133:13         223:3           173:18,25         homelessness         209:2         idealist 167:5         idealist 167:5         237:2         133:420,24         239:14           174:14,22         homes 4:13         house 50:4,6         13:14 131:4         119:5         importantly         140:16         13:23:12           183:15         108:13         houses 51:19         identifierd         213:18         13:16,25         importantly         included         233:12           187:5,11         180:25         housing         identifiers         111:11         197:5 198:4         impossible         149:15         90:16           187:5,19         164:22         107:21         identifyers         198:10         64:5         22  |             |               |              |               |                                       |              |               |                                       |
| hitting         76:23 77:6         123:7         218:20         impacts 7:12         226:14         include 22:22         199:11,14           Hogan         213:13         192:3,8         167:7         15:25 21:4         230:21         96:25         200:15           173:18,25         homelessness         209:2         ideally 141:4         24:5 32:7         237:2         134:20,24         239:14           174:14,22         homes 4:13         homes 50:4,6         13:14 131:4         inpernantly         140:16         India 232:25           183:15         108:13         homese 50:4,6         13:14 131:4         inpernantly         included         233:12           185:23         homicide         205:2         20:13         221:25 23:6         24:25 23:6         23:42:4         60:13         indicate           187:5,11         180:25         homest 164:19         15:15         149:11         197:5 198:4         164:22         122:25         90:16           195:19         honest 196:9         honest 196:9         177:14         178:25         178:25         178:25         179:14         178:25         179:14         178:15         181:9 22:23         181:9 22:28         181:9 22:28         181:9 22:28         181:9 22:28         182:11   |             |               |              |               |                                       |              |               |                                       |
| 192:11   | hits 98:6   |               |              |               | 119:10                                |              |               |                                       |
| Hogan   213:13   192:3,8   167:7   15:25 21:4   235:22,23   133:13   223:3   239:14   245:32:7   237:2   134:20,24   239:14   245:32:5   225:13   240:12   240:12   19:5   162:11   233:12   174:14,22   186:13   186:15   108:13   108:13   108:13   108:23   188:4 190:8   188:4 190:8   188:4 190:8   188:4 190:8   188:4 190:8   188:4 190:8   188:4 190:8   188:23   192:15   164:22   107:21   164:11   178:25   192:15   164:22   177:14   178:25   179:21   180:25   177:14   178:25   179:21   180:25   177:14   178:25   179:21   180:25   179:24   20:22   240:16   189:20:11   189:10   189:24   199:13   189:24   199:13   189:24   199:13   189:10 | hitting     | 76:23 77:6    | 123:7        | 218:20        | impacts 7:12                          | 226:14       | include 22:22 | 199:11,14                             |
| 173:18,25  | 192:11      |               | 191:14       |               | 7:18 15:23                            | 230:21       | 96:25         |                                       |
| 174:4,8,13   225:13   240:12   identical   119:5   importantly   140:16   India 232:25   13:14:14:131:4   importantly   140:16   India 232:25   13:14:14:131:4   importantly   140:16   162:11   233:12   importantly   140:16   162:11   233:12   importantly   140:16   162:11   233:12   importantly   140:16   162:11   162:11   162:11   162:11   162:11   162:11   162:11   162:11   160:13   indicate   18:9:20:13   234:24   60:13   indicate   18:523   homicide   205:2   20:13   29:16:32:15   impossible   149:15   90:16   indicates   13:9   149:11   197:5:198:4   imporesive   16:14:20:15   indicates   13:9   19:15   164:22   107:21   identify 19:4   198:10   64:5   22:10:24:13   189:24   199:19   honestly 96:9   119:24   20:22   240:16   implementa   6:13.25   136:11   4:21.22   107:21   178:25   implementa   6:13.25   136:11   4:21.22   107:21   181:9:222:8   21:11.19   15:6:19:7   income 145:4   8:19:95:14   20:22   22:8   23:8:28:22   22:18:52:9   incomplete   95:15.23   18:11   138:24   96:7,21   18:11   18:24   96:7,21   18:11   18:24   96:7,21   18:11   18:24   19:15   18:11   18:24   14:25   18:211   18:0red   66:2:15:125   16:19   10:6          | Hogan       | 213:13        | 192:3,8      |               | 15:25 21:4                            | 235:22,23    | 133:13        | 223:3                                 |
| 174:14,22  | 173:18,25   | homelessness  |              | ideally 141:4 | 24:5 32:7                             | 237:2        | 134:20,24     |                                       |
| 176:13   | 174:4,8,13  | 225:13        | 240:12       | identical     | 119:5                                 | importantly  | 140:16        | India 232:25                          |
| 183:15   | 174:14,22   | homes 4:13    | house 50:4,6 | 13:14 131:4   | implement                             | 247:15       | 162:11        |                                       |
| 185:23   | 176:13      | homework      | 213:18       | 131:16,25     | 18:9 20:11                            |              | included      | 239:10                                |
| 187:5,11   | 183:15      | 108:13        | houses 51:19 | identified    | 21:25 23:6                            | 234:24       | 60:13         | indicate                              |
| 188:4   190:8   164:19   15:15   149:11   197:5   198:4   198:10   164:22   107:21   198:10   198:10   199:15   199:15   199:15   199:15   199:15   199:15   199:15   199:15   199:15   199:15   199:15   199:15   199:16   199:15   199:16   199:15   199:16   199:16   199:15   199:16 | 185:23      | homicide      | 205:2        |               | 29:16 32:15                           | impossible   | 149:15        |                                       |
| 192:15   | 187:5,11    | 180:25        | housing      | identifiers   | 111:11                                | 227:25       | including     | indicates 13:9                        |
| 195:19   | 188:4 190:8 | honest 164:19 | 15:15        | 149:11        | 197:5 198:4                           | impressive   | 16:14 20:15   | indicator                             |
| 197:23   | 192:15      | 164:22        | 107:21       | identify 19:4 | 198:10                                | 64:5         | 22:10 24:13   | 189:24                                |
| 197:23   | 195:19      | honestly 96:9 | 119:24       | 20:22         | 240:16                                | improve 6:11 | 34:22 97:2    | individual                            |
| 208:24         Hook 216:22         Houston         222:8         23:8 28:22         22:18 52:9         incomplete         95:15,23           209:23         216:23,25         hope 28:10         HR 39:24         19:15 20:17         66:9         improved         140:5         100:6           211:10         44:11 51:5         huge 26:23         182:11         implemented         19:18         incorporate         103:22           212:9         53:17 56:6         human 41:13         ignore 168:21         21:2,13         improvement         177:3,7         112:9           214:18         70:16         148:3,4         ignored         66:2 151:25         161:24         Incorporated         116:17           216:23         100:21         210:18         163:22         196:16         improving         211:24         123:24           217:2,6,12         109:25         229:13         II 46:12         250:16         22:9 101:8         incorporated         116:17           218:4,11         111:8 141:4         236:25         47:13         implicate         in-depth         91:20         141:17           222:20         148:12         87:7         231:19         implication         in-jail 195:17         10:21 15:9         153:13,24   | 197:23      |               | 177:14       | 178:25        | implementa                            |              | 136:11        | 4:21,22                               |
| 209:23         216:23,25         16:19         identifying         45:24 65:23         118:11         138:24         96:7,7,21           210:25         hope 28:10         HR 39:24         19:15 20:17         66:9         improved         140:5         100:6           211:10         44:11 51:5         huge 26:23         182:11         implemented         19:18         incorporate         103:22           212:9         53:17 56:6         human 41:13         ignore 168:21         21:2,13         improvement         177:3,7         112:9           214:18         70:16         148:3,4         ignored         66:2 151:25         161:24         Incorporated         116:17           216:23         100:21         210:18         163:22         196:16         improving         211:24         123:24           217:2,6,12         109:25         229:13         II 46:12         250:16         22:9 101:8         incorrect         124:3,11           218:4,11         111:8 141:4         236:25         47:13         implicate         in-depth         91:20         141:17           221:25         148:12         87:7         231:19         implication         in-jail 195:17         10:21 15:9         153:13,24           224:   | 203:15      | 108:6,7       | 213:9,10,11  | 181:9 222:8   | 21:11,19                              | 15:6 19:7    | income 145:4  | 8:19 95:14                            |
| 209:23         216:23,25         16:19         identifying         45:24 65:23         118:11         138:24         96:7,7,21           210:25         hope 28:10         HR 39:24         19:15 20:17         66:9         improved         140:5         100:6           211:10         44:11 51:5         huge 26:23         182:11         implemented         19:18         incorporate         103:22           212:9         53:17 56:6         human 41:13         ignore 168:21         21:2,13         improvement         177:3,7         112:9           214:18         70:16         148:3,4         ignored         66:2 151:25         161:24         Incorporated         116:17           216:23         100:21         210:18         163:22         196:16         improving         211:24         123:24           217:2,6,12         109:25         229:13         II 46:12         250:16         22:9 101:8         incorporated         124:3,11           218:4,11         111:8 141:4         236:25         47:13         implicate         in-depth         91:20         141:17           221:25         148:12         87:7         231:19         implication         in-jail 195:17         10:21 15:9         153:13,24           2   | 208:24      | Hook 216:22   | Houston      | 222:8         | 23:8 28:22                            | 22:18 52:9   | incomplete    | 95:15,23                              |
| 210:25         hope 28:10         HR 39:24         19:15 20:17         66:9         improved         140:5         100:6           211:10         44:11 51:5         huge 26:23         182:11         implemented         19:18         incorporate         103:22           212:9         53:17 56:6         human 41:13         ignore 168:21         21:2,13         improvement         177:3,7         112:9           214:18         70:16         148:3,4         ignore 168:21         66:2 151:25         161:24         Incorporated         116:17           216:23         100:21         210:18         163:22         196:16         improving         211:24         123:24           217:2,6,12         109:25         229:13         II 46:12         250:16         22:9 101:8         incorrect         124:3,11           218:4,11         111:8 141:4         236:25         47:13         implicate         in-depth         91:20         141:17           221:25         146:17         humanistic         87:7         231:19         implication         in-jail 195:17         10:21 15:9         153:13,24           223:14,16         150:10         humanizing         232:16         39:22         inaccurate         16:20,22         156:8 169:9   |             |               |              | identifying   |                                       |              |               |                                       |
| 211:10         44:11 51:5         huge 26:23         182:11         implemented         19:18         incorporate         103:22           212:9         53:17 56:6         human 41:13         ignore 168:21         21:2,13         improvement         177:3,7         112:9           214:18         70:16         148:3,4         ignored         66:2 151:25         161:24         Incorporated         116:17           216:23         100:21         210:18         163:22         196:16         improving         211:24         123:24           217:2,6,12         109:25         229:13         II 46:12         250:16         22:9 101:8         incorporated         124:3,11           218:4,11         111:8 141:4         236:25         47:13         implicate         in-depth         91:20         141:17           221:25         146:17         humanistic         illegal 231:8         29:17         72:15         increase         146:19           223:14,16         150:10         humanizing         232:16         39:22         inaccurate         16:20,22         153:25           224:9,14         219:25         31:5 73:8         illustrative         implications         102:6         48:20 49:12         156:8 169:9  |             |               | HR 39:24     |               |                                       |              |               |                                       |
| 212:9         53:17 56:6         human 41:13         ignore 168:21         21:2,13         improvement         177:3,7         112:9           214:18         70:16         148:3,4         ignored         66:2 151:25         161:24         Incorporated         116:17           216:23         100:21         210:18         163:22         196:16         improving         211:24         123:24           217:2,6,12         109:25         229:13         II 46:12         250:16         22:9 101:8         incorporated         124:3,11           218:4,11         111:8 141:4         236:25         47:13         implicate         in-depth         91:20         141:17           221:25         146:17         humanistic         illegal 231:8         29:17         72:15         increase         146:19           222:20         148:12         87:7         231:19         implication         in-jail 195:17         10:21 15:9         153:13,24           223:14,16         150:10         humanizing         232:16         39:22         inaccurate         16:20,22         153:25           224:9,14         219:25         31:5 73:8         illustrative         implications         102:6         48:20 49:12         156:8 169:9   |             |               |              |               |                                       |              |               |                                       |
| 214:18       70:16       148:3,4       ignored       66:2 151:25       161:24       Incorporated       116:17         216:23       100:21       210:18       163:22       196:16       improving       211:24       123:24         217:2,6,12       109:25       229:13       II 46:12       250:16       22:9 101:8       incorpect       124:3,11         218:4,11       111:8 141:4       236:25       47:13       implicate       in-depth       91:20       141:17         221:25       146:17       humanistic       illegal 231:8       29:17       72:15       increase       146:19         222:20       148:12       87:7       231:19       implication       in-jail 195:17       10:21 15:9       153:13,24         223:14,16       150:10       humanizing       232:16       39:22       inaccurate       16:20,22       153:25         224:9,14       219:25       31:5 73:8       illustrative       implications       102:6       48:20 49:12       156:8 169:9         holding 43:25       hopefully       129:24       imagine 9:13       226:8       14:23       53:21 84:20       171:6   |             |               | -            |               | _                                     |              |               |                                       |
| 216:23         100:21         210:18         163:22         196:16         improving         211:24         123:24           217:2,6,12         109:25         229:13         II 46:12         250:16         22:9 101:8         incorrect         124:3,11           218:4,11         111:8 141:4         236:25         47:13         implicate         in-depth         91:20         141:17           221:25         146:17         humanistic         illegal 231:8         29:17         72:15         increase         146:19           222:20         148:12         87:7         231:19         implication         in-jail 195:17         10:21 15:9         153:13,24           223:14,16         150:10         humanizing         232:16         39:22         inaccurate         16:20,22         153:25           224:9,14         219:25         31:5 73:8         illustrative         implications         102:6         48:20 49:12         156:8 169:9           holding 43:25         hoped 48:22         hundred         17:20         6:21 31:20         incapacitate         51:24 52:10         169:13           64:15         hopefully         129:24         imagine 9:13         226:8         14:23         53:21 84:20         171:6  |             |               |              |               | · ·                                   |              | · ·           |                                       |
| 217:2,6,12         109:25         229:13         II 46:12         250:16         22:9 101:8         incorrect         124:3,11           218:4,11         111:8 141:4         236:25         47:13         implicate         in-depth         91:20         141:17           221:25         146:17         humanistic         illegal 231:8         29:17         72:15         increase         146:19           222:20         148:12         87:7         231:19         implication         in-jail 195:17         10:21 15:9         153:13,24           223:14,16         150:10         humanizing         232:16         39:22         inaccurate         16:20,22         153:25           224:9,14         219:25         31:5 73:8         illustrative         implications         102:6         48:20 49:12         156:8 169:9           holding 43:25         hoped 48:22         hundred         17:20         6:21 31:20         incapacitate         51:24 52:10         169:13           64:15         hopefully         129:24         imagine 9:13         226:8         14:23         53:21 84:20         171:6   |             |               |              |               |                                       |              |               |                                       |
| 218:4,11       111:8 141:4       236:25       47:13       implicate       in-depth       91:20       141:17         221:25       146:17       humanistic       illegal 231:8       29:17       72:15       increase       146:19         222:20       148:12       87:7       231:19       implication       in-jail 195:17       10:21 15:9       153:13,24         223:14,16       150:10       humanizing       232:16       39:22       inaccurate       16:20,22       153:25         224:9,14       219:25       31:5 73:8       illustrative       implications       102:6       48:20 49:12       156:8 169:9         holding 43:25       hopefully       129:24       imagine 9:13       226:8       14:23       53:21 84:20       171:6   |             |               |              |               |                                       |              |               |                                       |
| 221:25         146:17         humanistic         illegal 231:8         29:17         72:15         increase         146:19           222:20         148:12         87:7         231:19         implication         in-jail 195:17         10:21 15:9         153:13,24           223:14,16         150:10         humanizing         232:16         39:22         inaccurate         16:20,22         153:25           224:9,14         219:25         31:5 73:8         illustrative         implications         102:6         48:20 49:12         156:8 169:9           holding 43:25         hopefully         129:24         imagine 9:13         226:8         14:23         53:21 84:20         171:6   |             |               |              |               |                                       |              |               |                                       |
| 222:20       148:12       87:7       231:19       implication       in-jail 195:17       10:21 15:9       153:13,24         223:14,16       150:10       humanizing       232:16       39:22       inaccurate       16:20,22       153:25         224:9,14       219:25       31:5 73:8       illustrative       implications       102:6       48:20 49:12       156:8 169:9         holding 43:25       hopefully       129:24       imagine 9:13       226:8       14:23       53:21 84:20       171:6  |             |               |              |               |                                       |              |               |                                       |
| 223:14,16       150:10       humanizing       232:16       39:22       inaccurate       16:20,22       153:25         224:9,14       219:25       31:5 73:8       illustrative       implications       102:6       48:20 49:12       156:8 169:9         holding 43:25       hopefully       129:24       imagine 9:13       226:8       14:23       53:21 84:20       171:6  |             |               |              |               |                                       |              |               |                                       |
| 224:9,14       219:25       31:5 73:8       illustrative implications       102:6       48:20 49:12       156:8 169:9         holding 43:25       hoped 48:22       hundred 129:24       17:20       6:21 31:20       incapacitate 14:23       51:24 52:10       169:13         17:6       17:6       17:6   |             |               |              |               |                                       |              |               | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| holding 43:25         hoped 48:22         hundred 64:15         17:20         6:21 31:20         incapacitate 14:23         51:24 52:10         169:13           17:20         imagine 9:13         226:8         14:23         53:21 84:20         171:6  | · ·         |               |              |               |                                       |              |               |                                       |
| 64:15 <b>hopefully</b> 129:24 <b>imagine</b> 9:13 226:8 14:23 53:21 84:20 171:6  |             |               |              |               | _                                     |              |               |                                       |
|  | _           |               |              |               |                                       | _            |               |                                       |
|  | 1           | -FJ           |              | _             |                                       |              |               |                                       |
|  |             | <u> </u>      | <u> </u>     | <u> </u>      | <u> </u>                              | <u> </u>     | <u> </u>      | l                                     |

|              |                   |               |               |                |                      |             | Page 14           |
|--------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------------|
|              | 1                 | l             | 1             | 1              | l <u></u>            |             |                   |
| 181:16       | 44:25 45:6        | 172:19        | 211:15        | intrinsically  | 157:9                | 37:14 38:11 | 220:21            |
| 184:17       | 45:10 47:18       | inquiry 41:2  | interference  | 184:12         | item 49:10           | 39:18 41:16 | 221:4,9           |
| 206:20       | 59:22 60:20       | inroads       | 204:16,18     | introduce      | items 26:24          | 41:24 42:3  | 222:15            |
| 226:18       | 61:6 62:23        | 110:15        | interim 28:15 | 5:25           | 188:2                | 42:6,14,19  | 223:11,15         |
| 230:23,24    | 71:18 74:18       | inside 228:17 | intermediate  | introduced     |                      | 43:10 52:12 | 224:4,7,10        |
| 233:9        | 90:13,15          | insight 65:9  | 30:4 189:12   | 18:24          | J                    | 53:23 56:15 | 224:22            |
| 242:10       | 100:9,18          | 65:16 125:3   | internal      | inventing      | <b>jail</b> 12:12,24 | 56:20 61:14 | 237:22            |
| individual's | 114:22,22         | insights 22:4 | 90:10 93:11   | 217:14         | 14:4 16:21           | 63:17 64:14 | 238:2,6           |
| 58:3         | 115:2,6,8         | insistent     | internalize   | investigated   | 17:22 20:5           | 65:8 66:14  | 241:8             |
| individualiz | 116:5,7,22        | 40:24         | 219:15        | 50:9 51:5      | 22:12 55:17          | 68:3,8      | <b>JR</b> 1:9     |
| 27:6 40:16   | 117:7             | instance      | internally    | investment     | 96:13 113:2          | 81:18 82:2  | <b>judge</b> 1:15 |
| 78:7 114:20  | 123:10            | 128:16        | 90:24         | 83:18          | 119:20               | 82:8 83:2,6 | 38:16 41:17       |
| 115:8        | 136:17            | instructed    | interpreting  | investments    | 184:14               | 83:12 84:16 | 41:20,23          |
| 136:18,20    | 138:20,21         | 46:23         | 143:18        | 34:4           | 186:5 195:9          | 87:19,25    | 74:24 83:17       |
| 136:24       | 139:2 140:9       | instrument    | 149:6         | inviting 174:5 | 196:17,24            | 89:7 90:9   | 101:14,25         |
| 138:4        | 141:6 143:8       | 126:6,12      | intersecting  | involve        | 197:3                | 90:20,23    | 103:2,2,11        |
| 139:18,24    | 143:9             | 138:10,23     | 225:14        | 222:16         | <b>jails</b> 196:11  | 91:7 92:20  | 103:12            |
| 168:16       | 146:15,16         | 138:24        | intervene     | involved       | January              | 92:23 93:10 | 117:3 120:4       |
| 169:19       | 152:25            | 143:12        | 151:9,21      | 16:17 58:13    | 82:18                | 101:21      | 123:20            |
| individualiz | 158:9,19,20       | 158:3,3,7     | intervention  | 64:3 105:20    | <b>Jersey</b> 23:18  | 107:5       | 142:20            |
| 31:5         | 161:21            | 159:5         | 123:14        | 149:5 186:3    | 65:10,16             | 110:17      | 147:17            |
| individually | 172:22            | 160:10,17     | 179:12        | 192:4          | 66:6 201:3           | 111:15      | 154:3             |
| 214:19       | 189:10            | 161:16        | 180:5 181:5   | 205:18         | 201:5                | 118:15,20   | 155:21            |
| 233:10,18    | inherently        | 162:24        | 183:5         | 208:12         | <b>job</b> 15:14     | 118:25      | 156:23            |
| individuals  | 37:23 72:9        | 169:16        | 193:19        | 218:2          | 21:4 35:18           | 119:17      | 164:14            |
| 3:11,17      | 141:24            | instruments   | 212:11        | involves       | 66:21                | 120:13,16   | 168:10,24         |
| 5:20 15:16   | initial 46:14     | 125:23        | interventions | 155:3          | 104:13               | 120:20      | 169:2             |
| 16:4 24:6    | 79:13 80:7        | insurance     | 151:11,17     | irrespective   | 125:13               | 121:5       | 170:12            |
| 37:21 40:11  | 93:24             | 130:3         | 177:9 182:2   | 202:11,14      | 131:2 139:8          | 128:21,25   | judged            |
| 78:16,18     | initially 60:19   | 247:21        | 222:11        | ism 130:15     | 151:7 183:6          | 129:7       | 167:17            |
| 80:15 95:23  | initiated         | intake 58:22  | interview     | issue 3:7 6:18 | 218:20,20            | 130:11,18   | judges 18:21      |
| 98:3 103:5   | 109:8             | intelligently | 40:4 45:4     | 7:15 27:14     | 243:3,5,5            | 131:11      | 19:14 33:11       |
| 105:12,13    | initiative 40:6   | 151:21        | 58:22 72:15   | 40:15          | 247:23               | 147:12      | 41:5 123:16       |
| 105:13       | initiatives       | intense 52:25 | 114:5         | 102:10         | <b>jobs</b> 4:12     | 155:24      | 124:11            |
| 112:23       | 48:23 52:7        | intensive     | 115:23        | 134:20         | 86:2                 | 156:8,22    | 136:25            |
| 113:25       | 52:8              | 20:18         | 185:12        | 136:7 185:9    | Joe-Joe              | 157:14      | 148:24            |
| 115:4 120:7  | injustice         | intent 97:2   | interviewed   | 225:12         | 107:22               | 163:13      | 156:11            |
| 127:13,15    | 148:12            | interact      | 40:2          | 226:7,11,14    | John 1:16            | 165:25      | 164:10,11         |
| 130:23       | inmate            | 185:19        | interviewing  | 237:4          | 20:9 173:18          | 166:6,14    | 245:11            |
| 135:3,6      | 209:21            | interacting   | 44:17 45:11   | 238:19         | 174:14               | 171:8 173:6 | judgment          |
| 140:6        | inmates           | 245:25        | 184:10        | 248:6,21       | 176:11               | 173:10,15   | 41:15 122:8       |
| 145:24       | 196:13            | interactions  | 241:19        | issued 51:9    | 187:24               | 174:6,10,20 | 153:10,10         |
| 151:25       | inner 209:20      | 58:2          | interviews    | issues 59:23   | 213:22               | 187:3,9     | 153:11            |
| 212:8        | <b>Innes</b> 99:9 | interconnec   | 44:17 113:8   | 69:7 70:11     | Johnson              | 197:22      | 154:25            |
| ineffective  | innocence         | 202:3         | 178:19        | 76:9 78:12     | 132:18               | 201:13,19   | 156:14            |
| 219:7        | 13:2,5            | interest 1:23 | intoxication  | 103:7,9        | joined 52:12         | 205:23      | 172:2             |
| influence    | innocent 4:12     | 2:19 195:13   | 28:2          | 105:11         | 81:20                | 206:22      | 236:15,16         |
| 194:4        | 16:5              | interested    | intriguing    | 116:13,17      | joining 3:2          | 207:14      | judgments         |
| information  | innovations       | 10:3          | 201:21        | 141:2,3        | Jones 1:9 2:2        | 214:13      | 41:10             |
| 3:24 18:22   | 21:11             | interesting   | 221:23        | 188:2 208:3    | 2:21 5:11            | 216:21,24   | 124:16            |
| 19:3,13      | input 27:5        | 39:19 66:7    | intrinsic     | 225:11         | 6:2 23:13            | 217:3,7,24  | 147:23            |
| 26:25 39:13  | Inquirer          | 102:21        | 183:16,19     | 237:6,21       | 25:4 27:16           | 218:5,15    | judicial 51:14    |
| 41:6 44:22   | 154:6             | interfere     | 183:25        | Italian 157:4  | 30:11 34:10          | 219:23      | 169:17,22         |
|              |                   |               |               |                | 35:8 37:10           |             |                   |
| L            |                   |               |               |                |                      |             |                   |

|                      |                              |                       |                     |                            |                   |                               | Page 15              |
|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| <b>TELEVIS</b> 1 1 4 | 2262                         | 151 10                | 75 10 77 10         | 142.24                     | 121 10            | 240.20                        | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1    |
| JULIE 1:14           | 236:2                        | 151:12                | 75:19 77:10         | 143:24                     | 121:19            | 248:20                        | limited 18:21        |
| July 96:20           | 251:16                       | 168:22                | 77:14 79:14         | 197:16                     | 144:23            | 250:8,9                       | 47:14 48:9           |
| <b>jump</b> 64:9     | juveniles                    | 176:17                | 79:25 80:23         | 237:23                     | 147:14            | letting 41:8                  | 49:15 54:10          |
| 112:15               | 220:23                       | 177:14                | 81:17 82:2          | knows 87:8                 | 175:20            | 75:4 103:7                    | 54:11 73:25          |
| jumped 25:12         | K                            | 179:7 180:7           | 84:5 85:13          |                            | leaves 71:8       | 106:25                        | 76:6,7               |
| juris 31:25          |                              | 181:13                | 85:16 87:8          |                            | 148:17            | 171:12                        | linchpin             |
| 40:14                | keep 2:24                    | 183:10                | 89:7 90:5           | L 252:14                   | led 231:6         | level 6:22                    | 23:19                |
| jurisdiction         | 14:20 57:23                  | 186:6                 | 92:2,7              | label 150:22               | left 107:6,7      | 46:10 47:24                   | line 13:25           |
| 55:4,6               | 96:12 108:3                  | 188:11,19             | 93:21,22            | labor 88:20                | legal 119:21      | 47:25 48:5                    | 49:10 70:15          |
| 214:21               | 112:14                       | 189:12                | 94:13 96:11         | laborious                  | 119:22,25         | 48:10,24                      | 117:16               |
| 215:11,25            | 139:5                        | 191:22                | 97:7 102:12         | 92:3                       | 210:18            | 49:4,5,19                     | 221:21               |
| jurisdictions        | 166:16                       | 197:14,14             | 104:9               | labs 241:17                | 226:19,19         | 119:3                         | lined 202:23         |
| 8:21 19:18           | 209:23                       | 199:3 202:2           | 106:16,19           | lack 172:21                | legislation       | 136:16                        | liner 75:17          |
| 31:22 39:7           | 213:22                       | 202:4                 | 107:5               | lady 28:10                 | 65:9              | 155:4,5                       | 80:12,13             |
| 45:20 47:22          | 235:23                       | 204:22                | 108:10              | landed 239:9               | legitimate        | 169:23                        | lines 150:9          |
| 50:13 54:24          | 237:13                       | 206:17                | 109:5,24            | landline                   | 125:17            | 181:20                        | link 199:7           |
| 56:12 79:5           | keeping                      | 208:6 209:5           | 110:25              | 51:16                      | 133:11            | 206:4 209:4                   | linked 11:6          |
| 215:3                | 63:23 75:3                   | 221:16                | 118:23              | languages                  | 138:8             | 210:2 235:2                   | 150:16               |
| justice 1:4,14       | 91:21 94:5                   | 226:8                 | 119:11,11           | 237:3                      | leisure           | 244:19                        | links 187:22         |
| 1:17,21,22           | 186:23                       | 230:20                | 123:23              | large 8:14                 | 208:10            | levels 31:10                  | list 46:25           |
| 2:5,14,16            | 195:5                        | 231:24                | 129:22              | 30:18 98:25                | length 35:5       | 45:9 55:21                    | 69:19 70:11          |
| 5:5 6:8,12           | keeps 62:16                  | 232:2,4,6             | 131:5 134:8         | 199:18                     | lengths           | 95:2 128:10                   | 70:12 97:6           |
| 7:2,11 9:6           | 79:2                         | 237:11                | 137:16              | 210:11                     | 196:25            | 149:2 208:9                   | 97:20,23             |
| 9:17 11:4,6          | KEIR 1:10                    | 238:15                | 146:20              | larger 130:4               | lenient 14:2      | liberalization                | 98:6 99:7            |
| 11:12 12:3           | kept 166:17                  | 239:19                | 147:25              | largest                    | LERNER            | 22:24                         | listen 109:10        |
| 15:24 20:8           | <b>KEVIN</b> 1:12            | 240:3                 | 148:6               | 197:12                     | 1:15 41:20        | liberalizing                  | 163:14               |
| 30:9 44:2            | key 11:21                    | kinds 121:14          | 149:22              | Lastly 54:2                | 154:3             | 17:3                          | 165:25               |
| 52:6 53:9            | 23:9 118:25                  | 125:21                | 157:8               | late 201:6                 | 155:21            | liberty                       | 166:2,2              |
| 58:7 74:2            | 119:8                        | 126:22                | 158:20              | latitude 133:8             | 164:14            | 117:16                        | 174:10               |
| 94:11,25             | 143:19                       | 135:2                 | 161:3 162:2         | laundry                    | 168:10            | <b>life</b> 9:16,23           | 218:17               |
| 95:2 96:2,7          | 191:12<br>200:11             | 151:19                | 162:13              | 143:2                      | Lerner's          | 15:15 64:4                    | listening            |
| 100:6                |                              | 221:12                | 164:5,11,22         | law 171:24,25              | 41:17             | 109:2                         | 57:18                |
| 104:20               | 212:10                       | 232:13                | 170:5               | 218:3                      | let's 9:13        | 115:17                        | literal 144:14       |
| 105:5 109:6          | kicked 40:3                  | kitchen               | 171:11,20           | lawyer 232:4               | 35:20 55:13       | 116:3 142:6                   | literally            |
| 110:7 112:6          | 213:18<br><b>kid</b> 233:7   | 152:22                | 173:21              | lawyers 32:3<br>232:5      | 110:17            | 142:9                         | 70:11                |
| 113:15               |                              | KMA 246:22            | 175:10              | 240:14                     | 122:21            | 150:22                        | 144:10,11            |
| 114:18               | <b>kids</b> 108:10<br>108:11 | knew 51:16            | 181:19,20           |                            | 123:23            | 201:16                        | 161:18               |
| 121:13               | kind 15:16                   | knob 155:12           | 187:25              | laying 177:21<br>lead 13:6 | 125:25<br>126:3,9 | 205:24                        | 165:19               |
| 145:6,10             |                              | knobs 144:11          | 191:15              |                            | ,                 | 220:6                         | 243:9 245:7          |
| 166:22,24<br>167:8   | 24:2,18                      | 144:14,17             | 194:14              | 15:14 26:6                 | 134:18,22         | 243:11                        | little 32:2          |
|                      | 27:11 31:14                  | 155:11<br>knock 75:18 | 197:7 200:3         | 178:21                     | 135:13            | 246:10,12<br><b>light</b> 8:6 | 36:19 72:12<br>126:3 |
| 168:15,20            | 31:15 32:18                  | knock 75:18           | 207:17<br>228:2     | learn 24:17                | 136:20            | O                             | 126:3<br>128:22      |
| 176:20               | 33:8 38:5                    | know 5:15             |                     | 59:3 216:12                | 144:18            | 19:13 91:4                    |                      |
| 183:24               | 39:4,5,8,14                  | 19:22 21:24           | 236:10              | 216:14                     | 158:5             | likelihood                    | 134:7 142:4          |
| 184:14               | 40:24 41:8<br>54:24 61:11    | 30:18,23              | 237:12,20           | 239:21                     | 160:12            | 10:22 181:6                   | 154:11               |
| 186:4 195:3          |                              | 33:4,16               | 241:2               | learned 3:9<br>54:22 59:2  | 165:17            | 182:25                        | 157:15               |
| 208:3 213:7          | 62:5 65:4                    | 35:22,24              | 246:22              | 54:22 59:2<br>237:14       | 171:11            | likening                      | 174:23               |
| 216:9 217:8          | 69:6 74:21                   | 40:4 41:12            | <b>knowing</b> 61:6 |                            | 213:19,20         | 161:7,8                       | 175:4                |
| 219:11               | 86:18                        | 41:19 54:3            | 157:3               | 240:16                     | 234:17,18         | likes 157:4                   | 192:16               |
| 220:22               | 117:25                       | 54:8 63:17            | knowledge           | 242:15                     | 239:7,8,10        | limit 48:8                    | 198:21               |
| 222:3                | 126:2 138:5                  | 64:25 71:7            | 89:25               | learning                   | 239:12            | limitations                   | 225:19               |
| 232:23               | 142:25                       | 71:10,16,25           | 120:11              | 178:16                     | 242:6 246:9       | 28:23 40:25                   | 232:7 237:8          |
| 235:17               | 146:3                        | 73:2 75:4             | known               | 220:13                     | 246:10            | 153:25                        | 240:6                |
| 1                    | 149:12,24                    |                       |                     | leave 77:2                 |                   |                               |                      |
| L                    |                              |                       |                     |                            |                   |                               |                      |

|                 |              |                |                |              |              |               | Page 16      |
|-----------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
|                 | İ            | İ              | İ              | İ            | İ            | İ             | 1            |
| live 25:17      | 137:19       | Los 197:18     | 212:13         | magistrate   | 242:11       | 237:17        | 155:14       |
| 145:3 150:2     | 147:25       | lose 79:23     | 218:25         | 12:4 44:24   | Manager      | mathematic    | 170:18       |
| 172:23          | 149:4        | 86:9 127:7     | 225:16         | 61:5 170:8   | 174:15,18    | 152:20        | 175:17       |
| lived 60:23     | 150:18       | losing 86:2,6  | 226:23         | magistrates  | managers     | 236:9         | 178:11       |
| lives 145:7     | 151:2 170:3  | loss 15:14,15  | 238:11         | 19:3 36:12   | 62:8         | mathematics   | 195:21       |
| 188:11          | 170:9        | lost 4:12      | 241:16         | 36:17,18     | Managing     | 231:5         | 196:2        |
| living 71:20    | 171:15       | 233:3          | 243:16         | 41:12        | 1:14,15      | matter 28:25  | 200:11       |
| 71:22,22        | 193:2        | 236:25         | 246:19,20      | magnitude    | 96:3         | 32:14 94:2    | 210:9 217:4  |
| 106:19          | 196:10       | 237:5          | lots 33:8      | 16:8         | mandate      | 124:14        | 218:15,19    |
| local 107:23    | 208:21       | lot 21:24 22:3 | 121:21         | main 22:5    | 226:19,19    | 130:19        | 222:4,12     |
| 200:7           | 217:9        | 23:7 24:4      | 122:8          | maintain     | mandated     | 147:20,21     | 223:16       |
| locally 198:14  | 223:17       | 24:22 25:6     | 123:12         | 188:17       | 114:3,11     | 166:9 252:7   | 225:16       |
| 200:10          | 224:14       | 26:10 34:17    | 124:14,15      | 205:17       | manna 109:7  | matters 23:22 | 232:21       |
| located 16:19   | 228:18       | 39:23 44:8     | 131:23         | 210:14,20    | manner       | 132:4         | meaning      |
| locating        | 229:12       | 47:22 49:6     | 136:4          | maintained   | 204:11       | maximize      | 27:20        |
| 81:13           | 232:19,20    | 50:14,22       | 139:10         | 194:21,22    | mans 97:15   | 44:7 67:23    | 137:12       |
| location 74:7   | 236:19       | 51:25 57:24    | 162:7          | major 78:11  | manual 228:9 | maximizing    | meaningful   |
| 204:14          | 242:14       | 62:15 64:23    | 172:18,20      | 88:14        | 229:6,8      | 43:23         | 119:4        |
| locations       | 250:15       | 65:25 66:8     | Louis 239:12   | majority     | marching     | maximum       | means 13:19  |
| 200:8           | looked 30:24 | 68:14 71:12    | love 64:5 68:3 | 18:10 52:7   | 167:15       | 48:18         | 26:22 40:19  |
| lockup 60:22    | 53:16        | 71:23 74:18    | 102:15         | making 3:25  | margin 161:5 | Mayor 83:23   | 55:21 96:11  |
| logistical 33:8 | 128:17       | 74:24 75:12    | 230:11,14      | 5:19 7:4     | marginalized | Mayson        | 96:14 190:7  |
| logistics       | 137:14       | 75:15 79:4     | 233:16         | 10:4 19:3    | 146:23       | 16:10         | 236:10,10    |
| 71:24           | 208:18       | 80:3 92:6      | loving 62:9    | 44:24 46:4   | margins      | McDonald's    | 240:6        |
| long 7:24       | 233:20       | 94:13 96:8     | 62:10          | 62:10 86:5   | 210:15       | 243:3,4       | 252:22       |
| 13:16 41:21     | looking 5:17 | 98:3 99:4      | low 19:5       | 95:10,13,22  | marital      | McSORLEY      | meant 28:7   |
| 53:16 60:22     | 14:11 23:17  | 100:2,9        | 180:22         | 103:6 118:3  | 138:20       | 1:18 42:10    | measurable   |
| 143:25          | 47:9 65:10   | 102:2,7        | 192:2          | 118:4 122:8  | 142:11       | 42:21,24      | 21:7 22:19   |
| 200:3           | 68:12,17     | 103:4          | 193:16,17      | 122:12       | mark 19:9    | 43:12 57:6    | measure 10:7 |
| long-run 7:18   | 77:17,18     | 104:13         | 195:23         | 135:22       | 93:6 100:2   | 58:4 60:15    | 10:14 12:6   |
| long-term       | 80:10 81:24  | 106:4          | 235:14         | 143:22       | 111:21       | 62:18 63:20   | 14:12 36:23  |
| 95:21 96:5      | 86:13 88:11  | 119:20         | low-risk       | 150:5,22     | 117:23       | 64:20 65:12   | 70:20,23     |
| 109:18          | 91:14        | 123:25         | 22:25          | 154:24       | 149:21       | 67:2 68:25    | 146:11,13    |
| 215:18          | 101:11       | 124:10         | lower 18:5     | 157:2        | 150:3        | 69:10 70:5    | 163:9 165:8  |
| longer 248:9    | 129:3        | 125:18         | 112:25         | 169:23       | 192:11       | 72:4,19       | 189:22       |
| longitude       | 135:17,21    | 126:18         | 171:10         | 177:22       | married 43:8 | 73:15 78:22   | measured     |
| 133:8           | 137:4,20     | 135:8 136:5    | 194:4          | 180:12       | 131:2        | 78:24 81:23   | 66:12        |
| look 8:21 9:4   | 164:20       | 136:10         | 195:16         | 211:17       | mass 119:3   | 83:9 84:18    | 241:23       |
| 9:15,19,22      | 167:14       | 142:24         | 210:6          | malady       | Master's     | 89:24 91:5    | measurement  |
| 11:2 13:11      | 175:15       | 145:14         | lowers 22:15   | 228:11       | 244:19       | 91:13 92:14   | 188:4,13     |
| 14:24 17:24     | 176:14       | 147:3          | 126:2          | male 145:3,7 | match 150:19 | 101:25        | measures     |
| 24:8 26:10      | 180:16       | 149:13         | lowest 132:22  | 145:11       | math 152:16  | meal 122:14   | 11:13        |
| 28:19 31:3      | 189:11       | 154:10         | LSIR 207:11    | males 126:14 | 152:21       | mean 9:12     | 149:10       |
| 32:23 38:3      | 190:10       | 164:3          | luck 70:16     | 130:23       | 163:15,15    | 26:2 31:9     | 162:16       |
| 54:24 55:12     | 192:3,8      | 179:13         |                | Malvestuto   | 163:15,25    | 38:19 40:12   | 189:17       |
| 61:22 68:14     | 213:24       | 180:6 182:5    | M              | 43:15        | 164:3        | 50:25 51:24   | measuring    |
| 78:13 85:20     | 219:13,19    | 182:9 184:3    | MacArthur      | man 62:13    | 226:22       | 69:16 78:11   | 10:12 21:4   |
| 86:15 95:10     | 222:5        | 185:8,8        | 48:23 51:8     | 94:10        | 236:21       | 78:13 88:12   | 110:22       |
| 95:12 96:11     | 227:18       | 186:7 189:9    | 51:13 52:7     | management   | mathematic   | 94:19 96:8    | 160:24       |
| 105:21          | 235:4        | 192:11         | 94:11          | 28:14 29:21  | 140:4        | 132:20,24     | 189:4        |
| 108:15          | looks 10:24  | 193:21         | 104:20         | 88:21        | 226:21       | 133:16,18     | 241:25       |
| 122:3 125:5     | 10:25 123:2  | 206:14         | 105:19,22      | 186:20       | mathematic   | 139:18        | Medicaid     |
| 125:8 137:8     | 218:12       | 207:11         | machine        | 212:17       | 231:2        | 146:2         | 249:14,21    |
|                 | ==           |                | 153:4          | ,            |              |               | = ·          |
|                 | <u> </u>     | <u> </u>       | l              | <u> </u>     | <u> </u>     | <u> </u>      | <u> </u>     |
|                 |              |                |                |              |              |               |              |

|                     |               |                     |             |              |              |                       | Page 17      |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------|
|                     | 100.10        | 120.5               | 1 170 10    | 62.6         | 50 10 00 5   | 211.4                 |              |
| medical             | 108:19        | 139:5               | mock 178:19 | 63:6         | 59:19 99:5   | 211:4                 | necessary    |
| 85:17 213:5         | 112:4         | 147:15              | 185:12      | money 61:23  | Mosee 1:18   | murky 227:4           | 51:23 73:2   |
| 217:23              | 114:17        | 166:16              | modality    | 75:23,25     | 34:12 35:8   | 231:5                 | 140:24       |
| medically           | 160:16        | 209:23              | 188:20      | 80:19 81:15  | 35:10,11     | MURPHY                | need 21:17   |
| 212:24              | 171:18        | 213:22              | model 32:20 | 81:17 82:3   | 37:19        | 252:14                | 23:7 29:16   |
| medication          | 172:19        | 223:4               | 33:21,21    | 82:7 84:9    | 157:14,15    | mutually              | 29:20,21     |
| 86:10               | 177:19        | 235:23,24           | 53:15 54:25 | 84:14 85:2   | 158:12       | 112:11                | 31:8 40:16   |
| medicine            | 180:17        | 249:13              | 55:5,12     | 86:14,25     | 159:15       | myriad 37:7           | 43:25 48:9   |
| 137:6               | 187:24        | mindset             | 56:11 80:11 | 87:2,20,21   | 160:21       |                       | 48:13 52:9   |
| medium              | 200:2         | 67:13               | 85:21       | 92:10        | 220:19       | N                     | 60:12 62:15  |
| 235:14              | 213:23        | minimally           | 111:12      | 102:17,19    | mother 220:4 | <b>name</b> 6:6       | 63:9,14      |
| meet 54:18          | menu 122:3    | 187:11              | 140:11,15   | 102:20       | motivate     | 35:9,10               | 67:12 70:23  |
| 101:9               | merely        | 209:14              | 193:7       | 103:24       | 184:8 186:6  | 42:8,24               | 71:3,4       |
| meeting             | 175:19        | minimum             | 200:21      | 106:20       | motivating   | 43:7 93:14            | 78:18 84:9   |
| 64:10 105:2         | message       | 186:15,16           | 211:13      | 107:10,11    | 188:23       | 93:16                 | 84:13,14     |
| meetings 3:10       | 21:15 107:8   | 186:24              | 216:25      | 109:5,13     | 194:15       | 111:18,21             | 86:17 90:24  |
| 100:3               | messages      | 209:13              | 217:23      | 110:3,20,23  | 222:11       | 121:8                 | 100:6        |
| meets 99:14         | 34:3          | minute              | 222:24      | 111:2,6      | motivation   | 148:18                | 105:14       |
| Megan 11:24         | met 65:15     | 230:22              | 242:18,24   | 122:13       | 183:14,16    | 173:11                | 106:13       |
| 12:3 16:11          | 100:25        | minutes             | 245:15      | 168:4 189:9  | 231:14       | 174:14,17             | 108:9        |
| 38:5                | 105:14        | 121:23              | modeling    | 240:9,10,20  | motivational | 225:6                 | 110:25       |
| <b>Megan's</b> 13:9 | 150:21        | 248:10,11           | 226:9       | 240:25       | 184:10       | named                 | 114:21       |
| member              | 151:16        | misconcepti         | models 5:14 | monitor      | 241:19       | 239:24                | 115:8,9      |
| 42:10 178:4         | 241:9         | 204:19              | 5:16 32:16  | 50:13,20     | motivations  | names 120:22          | 117:9        |
| 201:21              | metaphors     | misdemeanor         | 61:22 84:25 | 62:15        | 184:2        | 250:2                 | 147:11       |
| members             | 219:24        | 16:17 17:4          | 217:9       | 160:10       | motivators   | <b>nation</b> 3:16    | 148:3        |
| 1:21 2:7,12         | methadone     | 17:25 37:22         | moderate    | monitoring   | 183:20       | 5:17                  | 150:20       |
| 3:3 25:5,9          | 209:20        | 38:6 79:6,9         | 180:21      | 18:16 42:13  | Mount 5:4    | national              | 158:6        |
| 37:11 52:13         | methodolog    | 106:14              | 182:22      | 49:8 50:11   | 131:7        | 48:19 56:7            | 178:15       |
| men 125:25          | 10:9          | misdemean           | 191:24      | 57:9,11      | 132:16       | 65:13,14              | 181:8,11     |
| 126:4,4,7,8         | methods       | 96:24               | 193:12      | 78:19 108:3  | move 31:15   | 198:7                 | 188:3        |
| 126:13              | 19:19,25      | misinforma          | 194:5       | 211:25       | 32:23 79:13  | 210:10,21             | 189:10       |
| 120:13              | mic 34:11     | 50:14               | modern 8:12 | 212:2        | 79:15,22     | nationally            | 192:2,5,7    |
| 135:13              | Michael       | missing             | 142:22      | 244:22       | 89:3 167:20  | 175:2                 | 192.2,3,7    |
| 231:17              | 20:10 43:7    | 191:13              | 227:11      | monitors     | 181:22       | 192:20                | 213:14       |
| 247:24              | 93:3,16       | 220:5               | modernize   | 49:16        | 250:20       | 209:8                 | 213.14 214:3 |
| mental 86:9         | MICHELE       | mission 43:21       | 23:23       | 102:15       | Movement     | 223:18                | 214.5 219:17 |
|                     |               |                     |             |              |              |                       |              |
| 102:24              | 252:14        | 44:4 56:3           | modify      | Montgomery   | 226:13       | <b>Nations</b> 238:18 | 222:5 223:6  |
| 103:8,10,14         | micro 119:3   | 67:5,21             | 225:18,20   | 150:9        | movies       |                       | 223:9 225:2  |
| 104:6,9,12          | mid 17:20     | mistakes            | modifying   | month 46:5   | 243:10       | nationwide            | 229:22       |
| 106:11              | 20:4          | 127:12,14           | 241:21      | 72:12 91:21  | moving 18:14 | 6:17 43:22            | 237:15,20    |
| 110:19              | midnight      | 134:25              | 242:8       | 92:7,7       | 75:5,15      | natural 10:11         | 248:8        |
| 116:13              | 41:21         | 135:2,12,18         | modulate    | 97:19        | 112:5        | 12:6 112:18           | 249:18       |
| 208:9               | miles 131:10  | 135:22              | 239:19      | 221:11       | MRT 222:21   | 112:20                | needed 72:14 |
| mention             | military 61:2 | 143:11              | moment 95:3 | monthly      | MSW 244:19   | nature 86:11          | 107:10,12    |
| 31:21 66:22         | million 61:21 | 216:8,10            | 128:14      | 91:21        | multi-pron   | navigate              | 109:9,24     |
| 179:13,14           | 132:14        | misunderst          | 233:17      | months 3:3   | 6:25         | 88:23                 | 195:4        |
| 197:9,10            | millionaires  | 121:18              | moments     | 8:4 98:13    | multiple     | nearly 53:12          | 220:10       |
| 250:2               | 132:24        | 172:21              | 146:8       | 98:20        | 105:12       | 57:16                 | 233:10       |
| mentioned           | mind 7:19     | mix 219:10          | 155:11      | 138:11       | 192:5        | neat 108:16           | needing      |
| 27:14 82:3          | 14:20 40:20   | 219:19              | momentum    | moral 206:14 | municipal    | necessarily           | 49:18        |
| 99:23               | 103:17        | 245:20              | 56:8        | 222:21       | 54:21 65:2   | 26:23 41:18           | needs 28:16  |
| 100:23              | 128:23        | <b>mixing</b> 194:2 | Monday 1:7  | morning      | 73:21 74:23  | 86:3 97:4             | 41:3 47:7    |
|                     |               |                     |             |              |              | 102:5 138:6           |              |
|                     | l             |                     | I           | l            | I            |                       |              |

|                       |                            |                    |                               |                              |                     |                           | Page 18                |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
|                       | l                          | l                  | l                             |                              | l                   | l                         | l                      |
| 54:16,18              | 199:2                      | non-confide        | 74:22 77:4                    | 183:6,7                      | 237:15              | 202:18                    | 22:22 68:21            |
| 55:10 64:2            | network                    | 228:24             | 77:5,7                        | offender-fu                  | Ohio 55:8,9         | 203:18,24                 | 192:16,22              |
| 64:11 68:17           | 116:11                     | non-monet          | 90:15,22                      | 212:2                        | okay 27:16          | 204:25                    | 199:12                 |
| 69:25 73:12           | 198:7                      | 64:7               | 119:11                        | offenders                    | 41:24 61:13         | 213:25                    | ORAD                   |
| 73:13 82:12           | never 24:3                 | non-profit         | 124:8,18,19                   | 17:25 18:3                   | 78:23               | 247:8,12                  | 207:13                 |
| 85:17 96:16           | 87:4 96:6                  | 243:14             | 203:6,7                       | 20:17 23:2                   | 115:22              | opened                    | oranges                |
| 100:25                | 139:20                     | non-residen        | 234:23                        | 29:5 162:4                   | 124:17              | 204:16                    | 52:18                  |
| 105:14                | 172:2                      | 204:20             | numerical                     | offending                    | 134:24              | 245:8,8                   | order 2:4              |
| 106:22                | 201:15                     | norm 208:5         | 130:2 139:3                   | 16:22 18:4                   | 174:3               | opening                   | 13:3 21:19             |
| 110:9                 | 204:5 232:2                | Norma 97:15        | 139:14                        | offense 34:24                | 180:13              | 166:15                    | 28:8,13                |
| 114:22                | 237:14                     | normal             | nurse 32:19                   | 181:3                        | 208:5 211:5         | 198:11                    | 30:8 33:4              |
| 118:7                 | 241:22                     | 206:18             |                               | 193:20                       | 223:6               | 205:3                     | 44:20 47:17            |
| 137:21                | nevertheless               | normally           | 0                             | offenses 9:3                 | old 24:8            | operate 50:24             | 49:11 67:12            |
| 140:16                | 53:15 66:24                |                    | <b>Obama</b> 83:22            | 26:16 72:8                   | 45:22 73:18         | 144:4 175:2               | 71:21 72:17            |
| 146:13                | 137:3 139:5                | north 133:19       | 244:6                         | 72:9 96:23                   | 112:5 129:6         | 197:15,17                 | 122:4                  |
| 150:15,20             | new 5:13 8:5               | 133:20             | objections                    | 162:21                       | 130:24              | operates                  | 146:23                 |
| 150:24                | 8:6 10:8,17                | note 20:4          | 252:4                         | 171:10                       | 155:9 235:6         | 50:11                     | 168:20                 |
| 151:15                | 10:23 20:16                | 45:21 99:16        | objective                     | 215:17                       | 244:10              | 174:25                    | 184:17                 |
| 162:17                | 21:12 22:7                 | <b>noted</b> 28:22 | 157:10                        | offer 1:23                   | 249:2,2,9           | operating                 | 186:13                 |
| 176:6                 | 23:18 35:21                | notes 252:6        | observation                   | 2:17                         | 250:4,5             | 190:18                    | 217:16                 |
| 177:13                | 51:9 61:8                  | notice 22:22       | 62:16 64:18                   | offered 49:3                 | once 86:8           | 197:19                    | 223:3                  |
| 181:10,17             | 65:10 66:6                 | noticed 88:16      | observation                   | 69:13                        | 158:7 160:7         | 204:20                    | orders 59:21           |
| 181:21                | 70:22                      | notification       | 13:14                         | offers 94:7                  | 160:17              | 205:3                     | organization           |
| 191:5,10,25           | 108:22                     | 20:19              | observe                       | office 1:13,15               | 181:9               | operation                 | 198:3                  |
| 212:21,22             | 110:14                     | notions 4:21       | 186:11                        | 35:12 76:3                   | 183:10              | 209:8,9                   | organizatio            |
| 213:5                 | 155:7                      | notwithstan        | 235:10                        | 80:21,22                     | 190:22              | 214:6                     | 19:21                  |
| 214:12                | 160:13,14                  | 37:5               | observed                      | 81:10 93:5                   | 191:14              | operations                | organizations          |
| 222:9,10              | 178:16,25                  | nuance 39:17       | 28:15                         | 93:19,23                     | 201:17,18           | 209:10                    | 32:24                  |
| 223:2                 | 201:3,5                    | number 3:17        | observing                     | 95:24 96:3                   | 204:13              | opinion 52:4              | 107:19                 |
| 238:16                | 215:16                     | 4:4,5,7 7:24       | 177:22                        | 96:4 97:5,9                  | one's 220:6         | 68:4                      | 213:15                 |
| 250:13                | 216:25                     | 8:4,15             | 235:12                        | 103:3                        | one-on-one          | opportunities             | organized              |
| negative              | 239:11                     | 19:17 20:7         | obvious                       | 105:18,23                    | 47:5,16             | 68:22 73:13               | 202:17                 |
| 34:15 35:6            | 249:6,21                   | 20:13 22:21        | 116:20                        | 109:11                       | one-stop            | 167:17                    | orientation            |
| 187:4,8               | 250:9,17                   | 26:24 33:19        | 239:25                        | 120:5                        | 212:14              | opportunity               | 46:14                  |
| Neifield 74:24        | news 15:5                  | 38:9 39:7          | obviously 7:3                 | office's 94:10               | one-third           | 7:4 23:15                 | original               |
| 103:2,11              | next-genera                | 39:16 48:20        | 26:4,8                        | 94:25                        | 88:9                | 69:7,24                   | 170:8                  |
| neighborho            | 11:20                      | 49:16 61:18        | 28:12 58:4                    | <b>officer</b> 46:16         | one-time            | 73:12                     | originally             |
| 4:25 108:7            | NIC 179:12                 | 67:9 85:16         | 168:14                        | 48:3,8,16                    | 57:10               | 104:25                    | 129:12                 |
| 131:7                 | Nicely 224:4               | 95:12 96:23        | occasions<br>223:19           | 62:7 107:25                  | one-to-one          | 118:12                    | ought 17:12            |
| 145:21<br>165:20      | <b>night</b> 50:16         | 107:14,15          |                               | 169:18<br>218:7              | 74:17<br>ones 37:23 | 154:7                     | 18:13                  |
|                       | 63:5 247:8<br><b>NIMBY</b> | 112:4              | occupancy                     | officers 47:11               | 99:19               | 157:23<br>175:12          | 179:24<br>217:17       |
| neighborho            | 202:9                      | 116:14<br>150:14   | 132:23                        | 169:22                       | 182:21              |                           |                        |
| 131:18,19             |                            |                    | Occupy                        |                              |                     | opposed                   | outcome                |
| 131:22                | 203:13                     | 176:9 182:7        | 240:22                        | 234:12<br>official 153:4     | 190:18              | 70:19 89:21               | 85:20<br>152:15        |
| 205:5                 | 204:24<br>240:2            | 196:23<br>210:3    | occur 126:20<br>occurred 8:17 | official 153:4<br>oftentimes | 204:19              | 145:25<br>216:2           | 153:15<br>160:25       |
| neighboring<br>196:21 | nine 84:8                  | 210:3              | ocean 66:18                   | 204:25                       | ongoing<br>203:2    |                           | outcomes 9:4           |
| Neither               | 174:25                     | 230:9              | 75:17 80:12                   | 204:25                       | 232:11              | <b>opposite</b><br>179:21 | 9:5 10:7,13            |
| 131:11                | ninth 201:14               | 230:9              | 80:13 88:23                   | oh 62:5                      | online 158:8        | oppressive                | 10:25 14:14            |
| 232:3                 | ninth 201:14<br>nominal    | 238:17             | offend 15:3                   | 128:24                       | onus 62:5           | oppressive<br>167:11      | 34:7,15                |
| nervous               | 49:24                      | 243:20             | 181:7                         | 128:24                       | 157:17              | option 54:15              | 34:7,13<br>35:7 36:6,7 |
| 204:23                | non-cash                   | 245:20             | 219:25                        | 137:13                       | open 64:9           | 194:12                    | 66:11 70:24            |
| net 106:10            | 56:8                       | numbers 38:4       | offender                      | 137.13                       | 105:12              | options 3:6               | 111:13                 |
| 100.10                | 30.0                       | 101110015 50.4     | 123:17                        | 137.1                        | 105.12              | options J.O               | 111.13                 |
|                       | <u> </u>                   | <u> </u>           | 1                             |                              | <u> </u>            | <u> </u>                  |                        |
|                       |                            |                    |                               |                              |                     |                           |                        |

|               |                                |              |                     |                      |                      |                       | Page 19             |
|---------------|--------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
|               | 1                              | 1            | 1                   | 1                    | l <u></u>            | 1                     | l                   |
| 113:4 119:5   | overwhelmi                     | parole/prob  | particulars         | 225:10,23            | 96:9 97:8            | 204:23,25             | 219:19              |
| 162:20        | 223:22                         | 24:19        | 137:25              | 232:20               | 97:20,24             | 205:5,11,19           | performance         |
| 189:12        | owned 243:18                   | part 25:9,15 | parties 93:21       | 243:15,16            | 99:23                | 211:7                 | 160:10              |
| 231:10        | ownership                      | 27:12,13     | 97:8 98:23          | 243:17               | 101:10               | 212:25                | 162:16              |
| outfit 243:17 | 178:5                          | 41:3 43:5    | 106:6,24            | Pennsylvania         | 102:4,25             | 213:6                 | 174:9               |
| outlook 4:25  | -                              | 45:6 51:12   | 168:17              | 1:6 6:9              | 103:3,22             | 216:12                | period 13:3         |
| outpatient    | P                              | 94:9 98:25   | partner 201:8       | 50:25 55:8           | 104:2,15             | 218:21                | 28:4 149:18         |
| 176:2         | <b>P</b> 202:7                 | 104:20       | partners 53:9       | 65:15 121:2          | 106:23               | 219:10                | 162:6               |
| output 57:4   | <b>p.m</b> 1:7                 | 146:15,16    | 54:12 96:2          | 121:10               | 107:21               | 223:12,22             | 183:19              |
| Outreach      | 251:16                         | 158:25       | 202:6 205:4         | 148:20               | 108:9,17             | 228:15                | 221:20              |
| 1:14          | <b>PA</b> 1:12                 | 169:7,14     | partnership         | 163:3,5              | 110:22               | 232:17                | periodically        |
| outright      | package                        | 172:5        | 107:18              | 174:16,24            | 113:9                | 233:11,20             | 158:8               |
| 17:18         | 151:2,5                        | 183:14       | parts 29:18         | 190:8,17             | 115:12               | 235:14                | <b>perked</b> 164:6 |
| outset 112:2  | page 33:13                     | 187:21       | 30:7 75:15          | 194:9                | 116:10               | 236:19                | person 1:11         |
| outside 9:6   | 108:13                         | 203:16       | 220:4               | 196:15               | 118:16               | 240:2,10              | 5:23 9:15           |
| 17:9 185:22   | 190:24                         | 223:10       | <b>passed</b> 23:18 | 199:22               | 119:20,24            | 241:22                | 9:22 19:12          |
| 188:11        | paid 98:16,19                  | 237:10       | 65:10               | 209:7                | 120:2                | 242:12                | 25:3 34:25          |
| 208:4         | 154:8                          | participant  | 160:13              | 224:19               | 122:17               | 246:23                | 39:12 48:3          |
| 234:18,22     | 247:20                         | 188:14,22    | path 15:17          | 225:8 234:8          | 123:21               | people's 4:24         | 50:18 58:16         |
| 239:18        | pain 122:22                    | 191:4,24     | 62:17 67:3          | <b>people</b> 4:9,11 | 124:19               | 11:3 15:11            | 58:17,18            |
| 249:19        | painful 141:3                  | 195:16       | pathway             | 4:19,25              | 131:17,21            | 35:24 41:2            | 59:12,17,24         |
| outsider      | <b>pair</b> 161:3              | 205:25       | 178:6               | 5:20 10:18           | 131:25               | 137:19                | 61:3 69:11          |
| 224:2         | palatable                      | 211:19       | patience            | 13:14 14:8           | 136:12               | percent 12:15         | 70:9 71:8           |
| outstanding   | 159:24                         | participants | 93:11               | 14:21,24             | 137:4,10,13          | 16:22 18:2            | 73:24 74:14         |
| 71:11         | panel 37:12                    | 118:17       | 224:23              | 15:8 17:18           | 137:14               | 18:5 52:22            | 90:4 92:25          |
| outweighed    | 42:22 43:21                    | 177:5 186:2  | Patiently           | 20:22 29:19          | 138:17               | 77:11,13              | 96:11,16            |
| 74:17         | 44:12 52:13                    | 188:8,24     | 214:13              | 29:25 31:5           | 139:10,18            | 91:18,22              | 101:3,12,22         |
| over-super    | 84:6 87:5                      | 192:6        | patients            | 33:7 36:4,5          | 141:22               | 97:19 114:8           | 104:3,6             |
| 70:25         | 120:14,22                      | 194:13       | 123:4,8             | 39:9,24,25           | 142:2                | 161:3,19,22           | 106:9 116:2         |
| overall       | 173:16,18                      | 196:5 204:7  | Paul 6:6            | 40:23 41:8           | 145:23               | 162:5 163:8           | 117:6               |
| 113:11        | 205:24                         | 208:25       | 151:13              | 50:15 51:18          | 149:11               | 163:9,22              | 138:21              |
| 208:20        | 221:3,5                        | 210:4,5      | pay 23:7 41:2       | 55:9 57:14           | 150:14               | 171:18                | 139:7,8             |
| overcome      | 241:4 251:7                    | 213:3        | 98:19               | 59:21 60:21          | 152:3,13             | 191:4,10              | 156:3 157:3         |
| 71:7          | panelists                      | 219:17       | 106:22              | 62:16 64:12          | 156:5                | 199:19,21             | 164:21              |
| overcrowding  | 21:23                          | 224:2        | 114:20              | 64:15,22             | 159:25               | 199:19,21             | 177:15,22           |
| 17:22 20:6    | pants 41:9,17                  | participate  | 122:12,13           | 67:10,24,25          | 161:21               | 230:2,7               | 177.13,22           |
| 196:17        | pants 41.9,17<br>paper 38:4    | 171:12       | 127:8 132:9         | 68:20,25             | 167:6,12,15          | 236:7,13              | 180:14,21           |
| overlapping   | 117:7                          | 194:15       | 144:23              | 69:23 70:17          | 171:19               | percentage            | 180:14,21           |
| 219:5         | 161:12,13                      | participated | 170:3               | 70:18,19             | 171.19               | 12:14 89:18           | 180.24              |
| overlooked    | paramount                      | 194:23       | 210:18              | 71:2,13,19           | 183:20,22            | 90:17 92:10           | 181:2,12,12         |
| 213:6         | 56:3 58:5                      | particular   | 210:18              | 71:2,13,19 72:14,22  | 183:20,22            | 98:11 161:7           | 181:13              |
| overly 167:3  | 59:15                          | 6:19 36:17   | paying 83:21        | 72:14,22 73:3,7,10   | 184:4 186:3<br>186:8 | 190:19                | 183:17,18           |
|               | 105:15                         | 76:5,16      |                     |                      |                      |                       | 183:23<br>184:8,11  |
| overnight     | parenting                      | · ·          | 97:25<br>108:17     | 76:19,21,22          | 192:20,22            | percentages<br>190:11 |                     |
| 216:13,14     | 212:18                         | 78:13 81:10  |                     | 76:25 77:4           | 193:3 194:3          |                       | 185:15              |
| overriding    |                                | 122:18       | 196:22              | 77:6,12,13           | 194:4,6              | perception            | 187:17              |
| 237:11        | <b>parole</b> 43:4 54:13 91:11 | 123:9,17,24  | pays 102:16         | 78:8 79:22           | 196:21               | 5:3                   | 193:11,22           |
| oversee 47:11 | 92:13                          | 137:15,22    | 243:6               | 80:3 81:9            | 197:2                | perceptions           | 194:20              |
| oversight     |                                | 152:9 212:8  | pee 234:13          | 85:24 86:5           | 198:16               | 113:14                | 207:4,18            |
| 20:7 33:2     | 129:22                         | 231:17       | peer 131:20         | 86:9,21              | 200:10,13            | perceptive            | 212:15,22           |
| 47:14 48:10   | 134:23                         | 246:12       | peers 245:12        | 89:18,21             | 201:2                | 35:14                 | 215:19              |
| 48:14 50:3    | 160:7 163:2                    | 250:12,18    | pending             | 91:9,11,18           | 202:12,16            | perfect 24:4          | 228:11              |
| overwhelmi    | 163:6 193:3                    | particularly | 59:20 245:7         | 91:24,25             | 202:24               | 82:14                 | 236:7,11,20         |
| 240:18        | 193:4                          | 16:16 41:7   | <b>Penn</b> 144:8   | 92:11 94:5           | 203:7                | 148:16                | 245:5,25            |
|               | 234:12                         |              |                     |                      |                      |                       |                     |
| L             | -                              | -            | -                   | -                    | •                    | -                     | •                   |

|                 |                   |                      |                    |                       |               |               | Page 20       |
|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| 1.61.7          | 225.12            | 1 1.100 2            | 1225122            | 10151000              |               | 140 16 10     | l             |
| person's 61:7   | 235:13            | piloted 198:2        | 43:2 54:22         | 10:15 13:20           | 221:24        | 140:16,18     | presentations |
| personal        | 238:21,22         | <b>pilots</b> 197:25 | 55:25              | 167:12                | possession    | 140:18        | 213:2         |
| 44:22 58:6      | 239:13            | piqued               | pleasant           | policing              | 97:2          | 176:5 189:4   | presented     |
| 106:2 152:5     | 241:7             | 195:12               | 131:9              | 145:21                | possibility   | practitioner  | 226:9         |
| 217:25          | 243:24            | pitchforks           | please 2:9         | policy 7:11           | 22:11 59:9    | 32:19 71:17   | presenter     |
| 232:19          | 246:21            | 202:24               | 14:10 34:10        | 10:5 18:9             | 135:21        | practitioners | 45:13         |
| 245:11          | 247:22            | Pittsburgh           | 42:7,15            | 93:6 111:22           | possible 18:8 | 195:5         | preserve      |
| personality     | 250:7             | 55:7                 | 120:21             | 139:15                | 65:21 74:15   | 217:19        | 14:22         |
| 218:16          | Philadelphi       | place 19:23          | 174:21             | 154:2 225:9           | 74:16         | pre-determi   | preserving    |
| personnel       | 30:14,21          | 46:3 77:25           | 237:15             | policymakers          | 168:16,17     | 4:24          | 18:11         |
| 28:23           | 45:8 54:19        | 78:5,10,19           | pleasure 6:4       | 128:3                 | 185:5         | pre-incarce   | President     |
| 203:17,19       | 67:4              | 79:23 81:15          | 173:9,13           | 143:21                | 214:12        | 249:23        | 74:24 82:16   |
| perspective     | Philly 243:25     | 106:15               | plug 38:14         | 154:20,24             | post 3:13     | preconceived  | 83:22,25      |
| 12:10 37:2      | <b>phone</b> 46:6 | 108:12               | 247:6              | 157:17                | 192:18        | 4:21          | 89:11         |
| 58:12           | 46:15,22          | 122:10               | plus 193:25        | 158:5                 | 233:17        | predate       | press 83:7    |
| 193:10          | 59:22 116:4       | 144:5                | point 26:9         | 162:20                | post-trial    | 232:15        | 91:18         |
| 216:17          | 116:4             | 156:12               | 52:15 73:16        | 168:8                 | 245:21        | predict       | pressure      |
| petitions       | phones 51:19      | 175:24               | 76:14 78:25        | political             | posture 9:3   | 143:10        | 57:25 75:20   |
| 120:9           | phrasing          | 176:19               | 88:14 91:16        | 153:21                | pot 234:9     | 153:7         | 131:20        |
| <b>ph</b> 97:15 | 129:18            | 183:11               | 91:22 94:10        | 203:5                 | potential     | 227:25        | presumably    |
| 153:11          | physical          | 213:20               | 104:16             | 241:15                | 13:22 21:16   | 228:5         | 173:3         |
| 242:6           | 227:15            | 223:21               | 106:17             | Politically           | 35:4 178:7    | 229:18        | pretend       |
| phenomena       | 229:17            | placed 102:3         | 132:7 138:5        | 202:7                 | potentially   | 230:24        | 238:4         |
| 52:21           | physician         | 233:11               | 148:10             | <b>pool</b> 110:20    | 13:7 14:5     | predictive    | pretrial 3:7  |
| Philadelphia    | 122:20,22         | placement            | 155:23             | pools 7:7             | 17:8 116:19   | 26:18 132:6   | 6:19,22       |
| 1:2,6,12,22     | 122:25            | 215:13               | 164:23             | populated             | poverty       | 143:9 230:8   | 7:13,22       |
| 2:15 5:3 7:3    | 123:2,7,9         | places 5:4           | 165:7              | 97:7                  | 132:22        | predictors    | 12:9,21       |
| 8:18 11:25      | physics           | 17:12 32:17          | 179:15             | population            | 145:5         | 136:11        | 13:21,24      |
| 14:17 16:14     | 226:22,25         | 39:5 77:19           | 206:11             | 53:13 54:19           | 167:18        | predomina     | 15:7 17:3     |
| 18:6 24:14      | 227:6,7,8         | 197:11               | 215:2              | 55:17 56:5            | powerful      | 223:19        | 17:23 18:4    |
| 35:12 36:14     | 227:12,24         | 204:18               | 219:25             | 97:10 98:11           | 54:16 60:4    | prejudice     | 20:11,23      |
| 44:5 50:12      | 235:7             | plan 38:13           | 220:6              | 146:6 152:9           | 246:13,14     | 4:20 23:24    | 22:10,14      |
| 50:19,24        | pick 76:8         | 183:11               | 226:13             | 194:2                 | 246:14        | prejudiced    | 24:20 28:6    |
| 52:19 53:13     | picked 27:25      | 206:24               | 232:19             | 249:23,24             | PowerPoint    | 4:18          | 42:12 43:3    |
| 53:14 54:14     | picture           | 207:5                | 240:7              | 250:12,18             | 173:22        | preliminary   | 43:6,22       |
| 55:7 56:9       | 115:17            | plane 234:3          | 245:17             | populations           | practical     | 76:11         | 44:2,5 45:8   |
| 56:25 60:23     | piece 113:5       | planned              | 247:2              | 17:4,5                | 28:25 31:6    | prepared      | 45:25 46:11   |
| 61:4 63:8       | 117:7             | 113:25               | pointed            | 29:23                 | 32:14         | 29:24 136:8   | 46:16 48:3    |
| 65:24 67:20     | 191:12            | plans 57:23          | 154:15             | 146:22                | practicality  | 169:10,11     | 48:8,15,19    |
| 68:13 71:12     | pieces 211:9      | platforms            | points 12:14       | portrait              | 31:11         | presence 2:6  | 51:6,7 52:4   |
| 74:23 78:4      | pier 75:19        | 103:23               | 32:5 104:24        | 11:10                 | practice      | present 1:9   | 52:8,24       |
| 79:3,8          | piers 75:18       | play 27:13           | 125:20             | pose 37:24            | 86:19         | 147:8         | 53:18,21      |
| 83:24 93:5      | piggyback         | 52:5 57:22           | 150:5 199:5        | position 4:3          | 110:10        | 156:18        | 54:11 56:2    |
| 93:7,18         | 213:21            | 182:19               | poker 161:2        | 94:8,10               | 139:20        | 183:9         | 56:5,7,9      |
| 102:23          | piggybacking      | 185:8,20             | <b>police</b> 1:12 | positions             | 162:2 179:3   | 214:11        | 58:12 59:25   |
| 110:5           | 37:18             | 208:15               | 74:9 79:6          | 48:14                 | 184:23        | 231:25        | 60:20 61:17   |
| 111:23          | pilot 20:25       | <b>playing</b> 73:6  | 88:10 96:4         | <b>positive</b> 184:7 | 185:2,4       | presentation  | 61:18,21      |
| 140:17          | 33:15 71:25       | 185:11               | 141:21             | 185:24                | 200:20        | 91:6 154:4    | 64:8 65:14    |
| 154:6 160:7     | 72:6 75:9         | plays 73:7           | 162:12             | 187:4,6               | 217:13        | 154:8         | 66:10 67:5    |
| 161:14          | 76:2 85:7         | plea 245:22          | policed            | 191:6                 | 225:9         | 173:22        | 67:19 69:5    |
| 162:8           | 99:12,18          | plead 10:22          | 131:22             | 198:25                | 241:16        | 175:5         | 69:12,18      |
| 197:10,20       | 118:8,17          | 12:15,22             | policies 1:23      | positively            | practices     | 201:11        | 70:23 73:4    |
| 204:17          | 239:17            | pleas 13:7           | 2:17 6:21          | 186:10                | 21:14 105:4   | 230:7         | 73:5 75:7     |
|                 |                   |                      |                    |                       |               |               |               |
|                 |                   |                      |                    |                       |               |               |               |

|                    |                      |                       |                      |                         |                    |                              | Page 21                   |
|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
|                    | Ì                    |                       | Ī                    | Ī                       | İ                  |                              |                           |
| 91:19 93:4         | 168:25               | 193:22                | 82:11,21             | 78:14 94:21             | 78:17 80:16        | 20:14 21:5                   | 46:25 69:19               |
| 93:20,22           | 169:6,13             | 206:11                | 83:14 98:22          | 99:3,13,18              | 85:7 87:10         | 74:22                        | 73:4 213:11               |
| 94:4 102:4         | priorities           | 238:8                 | 100:19,20            | 101:16                  | 94:3,13            | promotes                     | provides                  |
| 102:6              | 144:5                | probation             | 101:5                | 103:17                  | 99:21 100:4        | 205:22                       | 39:16 69:19               |
| 112:18             | prioritize           | 43:4 54:12            | 113:12               | 105:20                  | 100:13             | promoting                    | 138:25                    |
| 128:18             | 223:17               | 62:7 91:11            | 117:18               | 118:8,17                | 104:10,11          | 44:8                         | 195:4                     |
| 161:14             | priority 67:9        | 92:13,16              | 118:3 120:3          | 176:2                   | 104:12             | pronounced                   | providing                 |
| 180:15             | 83:23,25             | 98:4 101:10           | 149:23               | 177:23                  | 151:10             | 16:16                        | 19:10 52:25               |
| 192:17             | 84:2                 | 101:24                | 169:24               | 178:2,11                | 159:23             | proper                       | 113:18                    |
| 193:6 194:7        | prison 1:12          | 107:25                | 172:5                | 179:15                  | 168:5              | 176:10                       | provocative               |
| 194:11             | 54:14 56:5           | 123:24                | 184:18               | 182:3                   | 171:13             | 181:5                        | 229:15                    |
| 241:6              | 63:2 116:16          | 124:2,3               | 202:5                | 188:21                  | 177:17             | properly 66:3                | <b>proxy</b> 26:11        |
| 245:21,25          | 116:25               | 126:10,15             | 203:17               | 189:5,13,16             | 178:15             | 139:4                        | prudence                  |
| pretty 24:14       | 148:20               | 134:22,24             | 204:13               | 189:18,23               | 179:24             | properties                   | 31:25 40:14               |
| 122:7 139:9        | 218:3 249:6          | 160:6 163:2           | 240:8                | 191:13                  | 180:2 182:5        | 132:25                       | psychiatrists             |
| 149:12             | 249:8                | 163:6                 | 242:18               | 192:10                  | 182:20             | prophecy                     | 202:22                    |
| 161:19             | prisons 88:8         | 192:23                | processed            | 193:24                  | 183:18             | 234:15                       | 240:13                    |
| 193:5              | 88:10,19             | 218:7                 | 51:2 73:7            | 194:16,24               | 184:6 186:2        | proposing                    | psychoeduc                |
| 199:17,18          | 91:19 96:5           | 234:11                | processes            | 195:20,23               | 186:16,18          | 150:2                        | 177:11                    |
| 201:9              | privacy              | 235:15                | 101:7,8              | 195:25                  | 186:21             | proprietary                  | psychology                |
| 215:16             | 217:25               | probation/p           | 228:14,17            | 196:2,6                 | 187:15             | 159:3                        | 41:13 73:6                |
| prevent 6:13       | private              | 148:24                | 228:19               | 197:5                   | 188:6,16           | prosecutor                   | 110:9,11                  |
| previous           | 248:24               | problem               | 229:17               | 198:17                  | 190:2,3            | 103:19                       | 111:9 227:2               |
| 122:6              | pro-criminal         | 17:21 21:12           | 230:17               | 200:6                   | 192:12,19          | protect                      | 228:7                     |
| 249:14             | 178:24               | 104:7 106:7           | 231:15               | 201:24                  | 193:2,4            | 145:24                       | psychosocial              |
| previously         | pro-social           | 122:23                | 232:14               | 202:22                  | 194:7,10           | protected                    | 241:14                    |
| 7:8 99:8           | 178:10               | 123:14                | processing           | 209:20                  | 197:15,17          | 26:7                         | public 1:21               |
| <b>price</b> 61:19 | 183:20,22            | 158:25                | 93:25 94:6           | 210:21                  | 198:10             | protection                   | 1:23,24                   |
| 127:7,8            | 183:25               | 172:23,24             | proclivities         | 211:2                   | 199:3,15           | 109:15                       | 2:14,19,20                |
| 136:10             | 184:7 191:6          | 207:25                | 153:14               | 212:19                  | 200:9              | protocol                     | 4:4,5,7 5:19              |
| 165:17             | 191:8                | 234:20,22             | produce              | 214:5,24                | 203:19             | 235:11                       | 14:22 15:9                |
| principle          | 193:21,25            | 234:23,25             | 119:4                | 215:7,14                | 205:25             | 242:9                        | 17:6 18:11                |
| 180:10,17          | 216:14               | 249:17                | 190:21               | 220:22                  | 210:16             | protocols<br>232:12          | 28:2 41:22                |
| 180:19             | probabilistic        | problematic           | produced             | 241:11,18               | 211:2,3,3          | - '                          | 43:23 45:3                |
| 181:8,10           | 229:8                | 26:8                  | 68:24                | 243:22                  | 223:23             | prototype                    | 53:22,23,23               |
| 185:23             | probabilities        | problems              | product              | 244:13,13               | 241:3,4,5          | 150:11                       | 53:24 56:4                |
| 202:10             | 228:21               | 20:5 45:24            | 25:24 150:7          | 244:19,20               | 244:12,17          | <b>proven</b> 53:6           | 67:23 76:13               |
| 221:15<br>223:4    | 230:3<br>probability | 95:22 105:7<br>119:24 | 233:13               | 245:4,15<br>247:5       | progress           | 101:18<br>200:4              | 80:22 84:20<br>84:24 85:4 |
| 223:4 226:21       | 199:2 227:9          | 231:3                 | productive<br>121:22 |                         | 24:21,23<br>177:23 | 200:4<br><b>provide</b> 19:2 | 84:24 85:4<br>86:4,6,16   |
| 235:5,6,9          | 227:11,16            | procedural            | 121:22<br>178:4      | <b>programmi</b> 180:14 |                    | 39:14 44:6                   | 86:4,6,16<br>86:20 95:9   |
| principles         | 227:11,16            | 9:3 113:14            | professor            | 184:16,19               | progresses<br>9:23 | 47:17 53:2                   | 95:11,13                  |
| 25:2 179:11        | 228:24               | procedures            | 121:9 165:5          | 184:16,19               | progression        | 57:5 67:11                   | 96:3 111:14               |
| 180:4              | 228:24 229:5,9       | 138:9                 | 225:7,24             | 193:14,17               | 159:16             | 68:22 92:11                  | 112:3,8                   |
| 212:10             | 230:4                | proceeding            | 232:21               | 193.14,17               | progressive        | 112:20                       | 135:24                    |
| 217:17             | probably             | 180:13                | profile 207:4        | 210:13,14               | 110:6              | 112:20                       | 155:24                    |
| 221:25             | 17:17 28:21          | proceedings           | 207:18               | 210.13,14               | project            | 205:9 210:2                  | 166:11                    |
| 221.23             | 31:12 38:21          | 252:4                 | program 3:20         | programs                | 126:14             | 213:10                       | 251:2                     |
| prior 7:5 8:10     | 63:5,7,14            | process 4:17          | 23:16 27:18          | 20:25 21:13             | 150:14             | 241:13                       | 252:15                    |
| 26:14 27:3         | 121:21               | 17:23 30:19           | 28:3 52:24           | 22:2 29:10              | 239:18             | provided                     | publicly                  |
| 34:23 44:20        | 159:21               | 31:6 40:4             | 58:25 59:13          | 29:22 33:15             | 247:5              | 112:22                       | 130:5                     |
| 97:23              | 167:7                | 40:16 51:10           | 72:2,6               | 42:12 46:13             | prolong 13:2       | 160:8                        | pull 142:24               |
| 130:24             | 172:15               | 61:24 72:22           | 75:10 76:2           | 58:24 77:24             | promising          | providers                    | 150:25                    |
|                    | 1 = 1 = 2            | · / <b></b>           |                      |                         |                    | <u>.</u>                     |                           |
|                    | l<br>                | <u> </u>              | l<br>                | l<br>                   | <u> </u>           | I                            | I                         |
|                    |                      |                       |                      |                         |                    |                              |                           |

|                      |               |                |               |               |                    |               | Page 22       |
|----------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------|
| W 1100 10            |               | l              | 1 220 21      | 1.50.11       | 1.00.10.10         | 112.10        | 1504          |
| <b>pulled</b> 199:10 | qualifying    | 92:21          | 220:21        | 159:11        | 168:12,19          | 113:19        | 153:4         |
| pulling 85:11        | 96:23         | 111:16         | random        | 242:6         | 168:24             | 176:7         | recruit       |
| punisher             | qualitatively | 120:14         | 36:16,22      | readable      | 178:12             | 177:16        | 198:14,14     |
| 186:6                | 155:18        | 121:20         | 206:10        | 153:5         | 183:4,18           | 179:9         | 200:11        |
| punishers            | quality 19:8  | 125:19         | randomized    | ready 51:20   | 184:4 186:9        | 184:20        | red 91:4      |
| 186:23               | 19:10 23:4    | 128:20         | 21:2          | 56:10         | 192:10             | 189:7         | 216:22,23     |
| punishment           | 33:24         | 130:16         | randomly      | 202:18        | 219:9              | 190:21        | 216:25        |
| 221:19               | 198:16        | 134:17         | 12:5 36:4     | reaffirm      | 220:11             | 195:8         | redirect      |
| purpose              | 200:15        | 148:21         | range 171:10  | 94:24 95:19   | 226:24             | 199:20,24     | 117:11        |
| 107:14               | quantify      | 159:16         | 171:14,17     | 105:2         | 227:4 228:8        | 200:5         | redirection   |
| purposes             | 166:9         | 172:7          | ranks 81:20   | real 24:23,25 | 233:4 239:5        | recognition   | 88:6          |
| 72:17                | quantifying   | 201:11         | rap 246:24    | 30:22         | 239:7              | 206:15        | reduce 13:20  |
| 107:10               | 147:23        | 224:5          | rate 68:23    | 152:12        | 243:21             | 222:21        | 19:25 83:19   |
| purse 84:3           | quantitativ   | 225:21         | 69:2,8 70:2   | 158:16        | 247:6,16           | recognizance  | 88:18         |
| <b>push</b> 56:18    | 130:7         | 251:7          | 70:6 145:5    | 168:2 175:6   | 249:18             | 22:25         | 107:19        |
| pushed 65:6          | quantum       | queued         | 177:16        | 203:18,21     | 250:15,23          | recognize 2:6 | 109:3         |
| pushing              | 227:8,10      | 248:17         | rates 14:20   | 210:18        | 251:2,3            | 102:7         | 113:18        |
| 100:5 104:2          | 235:6         | quick 38:12    | 16:20 18:3    | 217:18        | <b>realm</b> 178:9 | 216:11        | 139:25        |
| 146:24               | quarterly     | 49:20 66:16    | 21:8 38:7     | 244:20        | 178:10             | recognizing   | 177:16        |
| put 15:16            | 188:6         | 160:22         | ratings 157:4 | realities     | rearrest           | 192:17        | 179:9         |
| 28:7 46:3            | Quattrone     | 226:24         | ratio 186:15  | 126:19        | 14:20              | recommend     | 184:19        |
| 50:5 59:17           | 1:16 5:24     | quickly 46:4   | 186:16,24     | reality 75:22 | rearrested         | 193:16        | 195:7,8       |
| 59:24 62:5           | 6:7,16        | 51:18 65:20    | 221:21        | 78:15 88:22   | 108:22             | recommend     | 200:5         |
| 66:2 72:21           | 11:23         | 66:9 98:5      | ravioli 122:3 | 96:17         | 109:4              | 28:3          | reduced 69:9  |
| 78:5,10              | question 7:21 | 175:6          | 122:4,5,7     | 133:17        | reason 82:15       | recommend     | 70:3 196:23   |
| 89:20 97:20          | 8:7 27:17     | 189:11         | 122:18        | realize 12:19 | 98:18 223:4        | 3:25          | reduces 15:20 |
| 103:13               | 29:14 34:13   | 198:8          | 125:4,7       | 52:17 58:22   | 248:4              | recommend     | 15:21         |
| 128:3                | 35:15 37:17   | quite 123:22   | 136:22        | realized      | reasonable         | 1:23 2:17     | reducing      |
| 131:17               | 63:13 76:19   | 123:22         | 157:2         | 241:21        | 141:21             | reconcile     | 22:11 86:20   |
| 132:2,13,15          | 77:25 79:15   | 139:3 143:4    | re-appropri   | 242:11        | 144:21             | 167:4         | 88:3 176:7    |
| 132:16               | 85:14 87:15   | quorum 2:7     | 66:20         | reallocating  | reasoning          | reconvening   | 178:6         |
| 137:9 152:6          | 89:14,17      | quote 154:11   | re-explain    | 81:16         | 206:16             | 2:4           | reduction     |
| 155:22               | 90:13,19      | 4              | 117:12        | really 21:17  | reasons 15:13      | record 34:23  | 56:4 120:8    |
| 173:11               | 91:9 92:10    | R              | re-incarcer   | 40:24 47:7    | 71:15              | 35:9 68:9     | 190:21        |
| 175:23               | 92:15,18      | <b>R</b> 93:17 | 245:6         | 62:5 85:16    | 114:16             | 82:14 89:8    | 196:25        |
| 180:14               | 118:22        | race 26:7,11   | re-incarcer   | 85:23 86:6    | 195:3              | 89:9,14       | 198:25        |
| 197:3                | 129:14        | 142:8,15,18    | 245:18        | 93:12         | reassuring         | 93:14 94:24   | 199:19,23     |
| 199:13               | 136:6         | 164:7,12,21    | re-offend     | 105:14        | 112:3              | 107:6         | redundancy    |
| 225:15               | 141:15,22     | 165:7,10,19    | 162:5         | 106:21        | rebuild            | 111:19        | 214:4         |
| 228:4                | 145:2         | 168:22         | re-offending  | 109:22        | 160:16             | 115:21        | reemphasiz    |
| 233:24               | 151:23        | racial 26:6    | 98:12 103:6   | 111:8         | rec 208:10         | 119:14,16     | 102:17        |
| 246:10               | 155:25        | 104:21         | re-offenses   | 120:11        | receive 46:25      | 146:16        | reenforce 5:7 |
| 249:5                | 156:10        | 105:4          | 162:10        | 128:13        | received           | 155:23        | reenforcem    |
| puts 48:21           | 159:17        | 148:12         | reach 135:23  | 134:13,14     | 46:21 49:9         | 169:14        | 185:24        |
| 123:9                | 160:22        | racist 130:14  | reached       | 135:3,17,25   | recess 251:11      | 198:19        | 187:6,8       |
| <b>putting</b> 20:18 | 222:16        | 231:8,12       | 158:22        | 136:17        | recidivate         | 200:24        | reengage      |
| 57:7 87:10           | questionnaire | racking        | reacting      | 141:11        | 58:10              | 200:24        | 223:7         |
| 87:11                | 39:2          | 106:16         | 67:25         | 143:9 146:3   | recidivating       | recorded 51:4 | reenter       |
| 111:24               | questions     | raise 17:13    | read 2:9      | 149:4,14      | 182:25             | records 11:8  | 184:13        |
| 150:21               | 10:10 27:9    | raised 233:12  | 40:13 42:7    | 150:21        | recidivism         | 11:8 137:8    | reentry 94:3  |
| 150.21               | 37:11 41:25   | 239:15         | 65:12         | 163:25        | 11:2 68:23         | 137:10,11     | 95:7 109:14   |
| 137.10               | 43:17 47:18   | Ral 153:10     | 120:21        | 163.23        | 69:2,9 70:4        | 137:10,11     | 173:19        |
| Q                    | 56:14 61:2    | ramped 46:2    | 120.21        | 167:5         | 86:20              | 137.13,10     | 173.19        |
| qualify 32:11        | 30.14 01.2    | ran 36:7       | 144.43        | 107.3         | 00.20              | 137.17        | 174.17,43     |
| quainy 32.11         |               | 1 an 30.7      |               | l             |                    |               |               |
|                      |               |                |               |               |                    |               |               |

|                       |               |                  |                        |                            |                         |               | Page 23       |
|-----------------------|---------------|------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| 15.15                 | l             |                  |                        |                            | l                       | ٠             |               |
| 176:17                | relationships | 38:7 47:20       | 23:4 33:17             | 41:1 42:1                  | 147:1 148:1             | research 6:15 | 210:22        |
| referral              | 205:17        | 83:15,20         | representat            | 43:1 44:1                  | 149:1 150:1             | 7:14 8:10     | resources     |
| 192:16,21             | 213:15        | 119:22           | 1:11                   | 45:1 46:1                  | 151:1 152:1             | 8:12 10:8     | 30:6 33:4     |
| referrals             | 245:11        | 145:14           | represented            | 47:1 48:1                  | 153:1 154:1             | 10:17,24      | 47:15 53:18   |
| 116:14                | relatively    | reminder         | 113:9,10,13            | 49:1 50:1                  | 155:1 156:1             | 13:9 15:19    | 55:22,23      |
| 118:5                 | 162:6         | 19:18 20:16      | 113:20                 | 51:1 52:1                  | 157:1 158:1             | 22:6,7 32:5   | 59:25 66:3    |
| referred              | release 9:14  | 22:23            | 114:5,8                | 53:1 54:1                  | 159:1 160:1             | 34:13,14,21   | 66:19 70:12   |
| 129:11                | 15:8 17:3     | reminders        | 156:5                  | 55:1 56:1                  | 161:1 162:1             | 35:17 37:20   | 70:13,16      |
| referring             | 17:18 18:2    | 45:12            | represents             | 57:1 58:1                  | 163:1 164:1             | 77:3,15       | 75:8 76:7,8   |
| 220:20                | 19:6 22:25    | removal          | 156:6                  | 59:1 60:1                  | 165:1 166:1             | 84:7 85:8     | 77:21 78:6    |
| reform 1:4,21         | 28:5 52:22    | 215:13           | 197:13                 | 61:1 62:1                  | 167:1 168:1             | 112:16,17     | 78:16 85:9    |
| 1:23 2:6,14           | 53:21 56:8    | remove           | reproduction           | 63:1 64:1                  | 169:1 170:1             | 113:15        | 87:12 88:7    |
| 2:18 16:25            | 60:11 64:8    | 148:11           | 252:21                 | 65:1 66:1                  | 171:1 172:1             | 151:12,13     | 88:17         |
| 22:22 112:6           | 64:18 67:10   | 165:11,13        | request 50:2           | 67:1 68:1                  | 173:1 174:1             | 151:19        | 107:13        |
| 239:20                | 67:11 72:17   | 165:16           | requested              | 69:1 70:1                  | 175:1 176:1             | 152:6         | 109:9         |
| 251:16                | 79:6 85:11    | rendition        | 49:9                   | 71:1 72:1                  | 177:1 178:1             | 179:23        | 159:21,23     |
| reformation           | 95:7 100:22   | 220:17           | require 47:19          | 73:1 74:1                  | 179:1 180:1             | 185:25        | 210:12        |
| 52:6 110:8            | 100:24        | repeat 101:21    | 52:10                  | 75:1 76:1                  | 181:1 182:1             | 186:14        | 213:20,25     |
| reformed              | 113:7,16      | 236:18           | 142:16                 | 77:1 78:1                  | 183:1 184:1             | 188:20        | 214:10        |
| 17:23                 | 114:2 131:5   | repetitive       | 158:4                  | 79:1 80:1                  | 185:1 186:1             | 190:24        | 223:24        |
| reforming             | 131:5,6,8     | 119:18           | 165:11,13              | 81:1 82:1                  | 187:1 188:1             | 191:3,21      | 250:10,10     |
| 80:10                 | 135:3         | replace 52:2     | 222:25                 | 83:1 84:1                  | 189:1 190:1             | 194:4         | respect 21:21 |
| reforms 14:6          | 159:25        | report 64:7      | required 48:2          | 85:1 86:1                  | 191:1 192:1             | 217:15,19     | 41:11         |
| 20:11,15              | 161:21        | 73:5 107:23      | 86:11                  | 87:1 88:1                  | 193:1 194:1             | 219:2         | 128:18        |
| 29:16                 | released 7:9  | 148:4            | requirement            | 89:1 90:1                  | 195:1 196:1             | researcher    | respected     |
| refused 59:23         | 18:10 45:15   | reported         | 30:5                   | 91:1 92:1                  | 197:1 198:1             | 36:25         | 102:24        |
| regarding             | 46:11,22      | 162:12,21        | requirements           | 93:1 94:1                  | 199:1 200:1             | researchers   | respectful    |
| 20:20 208:3           | 47:12 49:24   | reporter         | 46:17                  | 95:1 96:1                  | 201:1 202:1             | 3:19 6:17     | 248:5,13      |
| regardless            | 53:7 64:7     | 252:24           | 123:19                 | 97:1 98:1                  | 203:1 204:1             | 9:25 11:5     | respond       |
| 231:13                | 67:16,16      | reporting        | requires 33:4          | 99:1 100:1                 | 205:1 206:1             | 11:23 21:10   | 188:9         |
| regime                | 68:20 69:2    | 54:5 102:15      | 33:5 48:10             | 101:1 102:1                | 207:1 208:1             | 200:17,17     | 225:20        |
| 238:24                | 69:11 70:9    | 102:20           | 123:14                 | 103:1 104:1                | 209:1 210:1             | researching   | response      |
| regimes               | 74:25 86:8    | 107:15           | 222:22                 | 105:1 104:1                | 211:1 212:1             | 97:10         | 37:13 42:2    |
| 229:22                | 97:20         | 173:20           | requiring              | 107:1 108:1                | 213:1 212:1             | reserved      | 92:22         |
| regressive            | 112:24        | 174:24           | 104:7                  | 109:1 110:1                | 215:1 214:1             | 49:18         | 120:15        |
| 164:2                 | 113:2 117:3   | 174.24           | <b>RES</b> 3:1 4:1     | 111:1 112:1                | 217:1 218:1             | residences    | 155:23        |
| regulations           | 127:15        | 175.2,7,13       | 5:1 6:1 7:1            | 113:1 114:1                | 217.1 218.1 219:1 220:1 | 4:13          | 224:6         |
| 160:14                | 135:6 162:3   | 175:15,21        | 8:1 9:1 10:1           | 115:1 114:1                | 221:1 222:1             | residential   | 240:18        |
| rehab 108:6           | 162:4         | 175.25           | 11:1 12:1              | 117:1 118:1                | 223:1 224:1             | 169:8         | 251:8         |
| reinforce             | releasing     | 187:17,21        | 13:1 14:1              | 117.1 118.1                | 225:1 226:1             | resistance    | responses     |
| 221:24                | 103:15        | 195:14,15        | 15:1 14:1              | 121:1 120:1                | 227:1 228:1             | 133:10        | 55:10         |
| reiterate 4:2         | relevant 30:7 | 195.14,15        | 17:1 18:1              | 121.1 122.1 123:1 124:1    | 229:1 230:1             | 204:3         | rest 191:15   |
| 101:25                | 147:6 189:4   | 190.10,19        | 19:1 20:1              | 125:1 124:1                | 231:1 232:1             | resistant     | restaurant    |
| 101:25                | reliable 27:2 | 197:20           | 21:1 22:1              | 127:1 128:1                | 233:1 232:1             | 202:19        | 122:2,6,19    |
| 163:24                |               |                  |                        |                            | 235:1 234:1             | resolution    | 122:2,6,19    |
|                       | rely 26:24    | 201:2,4,12       | 23:1 24:1<br>25:1 26:1 | 129:1 130:1<br>131:1 132:1 |                         |               | restoration   |
| relapses<br>216:15,16 | 139:21        | 202:18<br>211:11 |                        |                            | 237:1 238:1             | 1:20,20       | 222:18        |
| · ·                   | 153:9 164:2   |                  | 27:1 28:1              | 133:1 134:1                | 239:1 240:1             | 2:10,11,12    |               |
| 216:18                | 164:11        | 212:4,12         | 29:1 30:1              | 135:1 136:1                | 241:1 242:1             | 251:10        | restorative   |
| related 142:7         | relying 18:21 | reports          | 31:1 32:1              | 137:1 138:1                | 243:1 244:1             | resource      | 217:8         |
| 142:8,8,13            | remain 53:7   | 113:11           | 33:1 34:1              | 139:1 140:1                | 245:1 246:1             | 54:16 71:4    | result 4:13   |
| 142:14,17             | remains       | represent        | 35:1 36:1              | 141:1 142:1                | 247:1 248:1             | 107:24        | 12:16 16:23   |
| 165:6,9               | 66:10,12      | 17:7 33:10       | 37:1 38:1              | 143:1 144:1                | 249:1 250:1             | 141:3 200:7   | 17:22         |
| 240:23                | remember      | representat      | 39:1 40:1              | 145:1 146:1                | 251:1                   | 210:10,19     | 116:15        |
|                       |               |                  |                        |                            |                         |               |               |
| L                     | -             | -                | - "                    |                            | -                       | -             | -             |

|                        |                    |                     |                                       |                     |                      |                    | Page 24              |
|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| 14 120 0               | 1.1.04             | 222 15 21           | 141.16                                | 25 7 75 11          | 120.12               | 215.0              | 100.10               |
| resulted 28:9          | rich 8:24          | 232:15,21           | 141:16                                | 35:7 75:11          | 138:13               | 215:9              | 198:10               |
| results 5:18           | Richard 1:18       | 233:6               | 145:11                                | 107:21,22           | routinely            | Saint 239:12       | scared 25:13         |
| 11:15,22               | 18:24 24:24        | 234:13,19           | 146:4                                 | robo 45:14          | 152:25               | salary 57:12       | scenarios            |
| 14:14 16:13            | 38:21 42:10        | 238:11              | 147:10                                | 46:3 69:13          | RPR-Notary           | <b>Sam</b> 43:16   | 245:13               |
| 85:6 159:11            | 42:24              | 240:22              | 148:10,22                             | <b>robust</b> 30:22 | 252:15               | 173:15             | schedule 50:6        |
| resume                 | 120:25             | 244:7,21            | 149:4                                 | 101:17              | rug 128:6            | <b>San</b> 197:18  | Schlager             |
| 178:18                 | 121:8              | 247:24              | 150:15,19                             | 102:24              | rule 49:19,20        | <b>Sandy</b> 16:10 | 173:19               |
| resumes                | <b>rid</b> 80:11   | 248:22              | 151:5,7,17                            | 104:12              | 79:17                | Saturday           | 174:17,18            |
| 39:25                  | ride 42:20         | <b>rights</b> 28:11 | 151:21,24                             | 105:3               | rules 5:6            | 43:8               | 175:9                |
| retail 64:25           | <b>right</b> 18:20 | 112:9               | 152:7,12,14                           | rock 128:23         | 66:23 79:4           | saved 88:17        | 181:24               |
| retainers              | 24:7,11            | 226:12,20           | 154:16                                | 147:13,14           | <b>ruling</b> 163:20 | saver 196:14       | 184:21               |
| 98:5                   | 27:9 29:18         | 233:9,19            | 157:18,21                             | 172:7,9             | rulings              | saves 195:9        | 187:12               |
| retired 1:13           | 31:24 32:10        | <b>ripple</b> 22:17 | 158:10,14                             | 174:8,11            | 231:10               | savings 199:4      | 189:3                |
| 1:14                   | 33:6 36:14         | rises 152:11        | 158:17,22                             | 237:25              | run 14:23            | saw 16:19          | 190:22               |
| return 5:20            | 38:24 40:3         | risk 17:6,8         | 159:2,7,19                            | <b>ROJAS</b> 1:13   | 36:3 190:2           | 47:3 53:2          | 201:18               |
| 50:7 69:8              | 42:20,22           | 18:19,25            | 160:4,23,25                           | 57:18 60:13         | 195:23               | 63:4 237:12        | 206:2,25             |
| 70:3 184:14            | 51:25 64:4         | 19:5,8              | 161:5                                 | 61:13 91:8          | 199:5                | saying 62:2        | 207:6,9,20           |
| 184:14                 | 64:9 65:5,6        | 20:17 23:2          | 162:13,18                             | 92:9,19             | 200:10               | 75:11 77:16        | 208:16               |
| returning              | 71:25 72:4         | 23:3,20             | 169:5,25                              | 119:19              | 204:14,15            | 87:4,18            | 213:21               |
| 202:13                 | 72:19 80:16        | 24:15,17            | 170:25                                | 151:23              | 204:17               | 88:24 89:8         | 218:10,25            |
| 203:8                  | 89:10 90:9         | 25:6,11,25          | 180:8,20,21                           | 152:17,19           | 205:10,13            | 89:9 96:16         | 223:13               |
| returns 85:22          | 96:13              | 26:13,20            | 180:22,22                             | 172:8               | 206:14               | 133:12,13          | school 48:6          |
| 111:12                 | 107:16,19          | 27:5,6              | 180:23                                | 209:18              | 221:6 241:2          | 136:9              | 138:22               |
| reveal 159:3           | 112:15             | 30:24,25            | 181:2,18,20                           | 210:23              | 241:18               | 139:20,22          | 225:8                |
| review 72:10           | 114:5              | 38:16,22            | 182:8                                 | 211:5 212:5         | running              | 143:19             | 240:16               |
| 74:20 75:9             | 124:22             | 39:4,10             | 191:24                                | role 52:5           | 36:13 65:20          | 148:14             | schools              |
| 76:15 80:20            | 128:19             | 53:6 60:14          | 192:2,5,7                             | 55:19 57:21         | 94:5 193:9           | 153:16             | 221:13               |
| 94:21 96:19            | 133:8,11,25        | 60:16,18            | 193:12,16                             | 112:14,16           | 195:14               | 157:24             | 222:4                |
| 99:7,18                | 136:3,17,24        | 61:8 68:14          | 193:12,10                             | 114:15              | 200:3,25             | 161:17             | Schwartzm            |
| 101:20,23              | 140:24             | 99:22 100:8         | 194:3,3,4,5                           | 115:5               | 201:6                | 166:4,5            | 1:12 148:18          |
| 103:13                 | 148:8 151:4        | 103:5               | 194:6                                 | 144:19              | 201.0                | 168:2,6,24         | 148:19               |
| 104:22                 | 153:9              | 114:25              | 201:23                                | 185:8               | S                    | 179:17,18          | 150:12               |
| 104.22                 | 155:17             | 115:2               | 206:4 207:5                           | roll 33:18          | safe 25:18           | 180:21             | 211:6                |
| 115:20,22              | 156:9 157:5        | 121:13,17           | 207:10,19                             | 94:17 119:6         | 95:9,11,13           | 186:15             | science 144:9        |
| 116:15                 | 161:17,19          | 121:13,17           | 207:10,19                             | 119:12              | 185:3                | 188:22             | 217:22               |
| 118:2,19               | 161:17,19          | 121.24              | 207.24                                | rolling             | 204:10               | 193:8              | 217.22 227:3         |
| 170:7                  | 166:9              |                     | 208.8,20                              | 114:13              | safer 96:12          |                    |                      |
|                        | 167:14,23          | 122:25<br>123:15    | 209:4 215:10,19                       |                     | 171:11               | 195:11<br>211:17   | 231:24,25<br>244:13  |
| reviews 72:3<br>157:13 | 167:14,23          |                     | 215:10,19                             | room 1:6 76:5       | safety 1:24          | 211:17 215:12      |                      |
|                        |                    | 124:4,8,21          | 215:20 216:5,5                        | 76:13,16<br>96:16   |                      | 215:12 222:7 230:3 | scientific<br>217:21 |
| revised 158:8          | 171:14             | 125:23              | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |                     | 2:19 4:5,5,7         |                    |                      |
| revisit 231:23         | 172:3              | 126:5               | 225:15                                | rooms 97:15         | 5:19 14:22           | 231:13             | Scientifically       |
| 231:24                 | 179:19             | 127:24              | 233:5                                 | roots 61:7          | 15:9 17:6            | 246:9              | 236:4                |
| revisiting             | 180:20             | 128:7 129:4         | 235:14,14                             | <b>ROR</b> 45:16    | 18:11 43:23          | says 69:18         | scientificall        |
| 231:21                 | 182:4              | 129:12,17           | 235:15                                | 68:20 69:2          | 44:7 53:22           | 79:17              | 18:25                |
| 232:13                 | 192:11             | 129:21,23           | 236:7 245:9                           | 69:11,17            | 53:23,24,24          | 163:15             | scientist            |
| rewarding              | 205:6              | 129:25              | risk/needs                            | 113:3               | 56:4 67:23           | 179:23             | 232:9                |
| 222:11                 | 213:12,19          | 130:19              | 180:16,19                             | ROSC 46:12          | 84:20,24             | 214:25             | scientists           |
| rewards                | 214:25             | 134:11              | 193:10                                | 47:12 65:25         | 85:5 86:4            | 217:16             | 226:7,10             |
| 188:21                 | 215:12             | 138:5,9,14          | riskiest 19:15                        | Roundhouse          | 86:16,20             | 230:7 235:9        | score 34:23          |
| <b>RFP</b> 51:9        | 217:21             | 139:11,12           | risks 127:9                           | 73:20               | 94:11                | 236:21             | 39:4,6 40:9          |
| 249:5                  | 226:4              | 139:14,21           | 150:24                                | rounds 62:11        | 104:20               | scale 111:7        | 208:20               |
| rhetorical             | 229:21             | 139:25              | risky 177:25                          | 123:8               | 111:14               | 119:3,9            | 230:20               |
| 156:9                  | 230:16             | 140:4               | road 3:12,18                          | routine             | 112:4,8              | 154:18,21          | screaming            |
|                        |                    |                     |                                       |                     | 135:24               |                    |                      |
|                        | 1                  | 1                   | 1                                     | 1                   |                      | 1                  | 1                    |

|                       |                  |                               |                             |                                |                                |                        | Page 25              |
|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
|                       | l                | <u> </u>                      | l                           | l <u>-</u>                     | l                              | l                      | l                    |
| 103:25                | 137:5            | sentences                     | 119:23                      | 7:18                           | 123:22                         | skills 119:21          | 236:24               |
| screen 73:8           | 139:10           | 12:12 13:15                   | 174:19,25                   | short-term                     | 124:15                         | 178:16,19              | 238:14               |
| 206:10                | 145:23           | 14:2 16:21                    | 203:11,11                   | 109:18                         | 137:13                         | 185:4,7,21             | 240:13               |
| screened              | 192:20,22        | sentencing                    | 210:2,20                    | shorter                        | 141:13                         | skin 167:18            | society 1:12         |
| 209:13                | 193:5            | 123:20                        | 214:4 249:3                 | 196:24,25                      | 147:17                         | sky 102:18             | 23:25 24:6           |
| seat 41:9,17          | 196:15           | 136:22                        | 249:4 250:6                 | shortly 176:9                  | 217:14                         | 109:7                  | 129:16               |
| 121:7                 | 201:15           | 149:3,4                       | session                     | show 19:22                     | simple 26:22                   | sleeping               | 148:20               |
| second 4:15           | select 203:21    | 156:7                         | 206:21                      | 20:22 29:7                     | 125:22                         | 79:22                  | 225:12               |
| 9:8 76:18             | 204:14           | 164:20                        | sessions                    | 58:10,19                       | 227:20                         | slide 7:20             | socioecono           |
| 97:25 98:12           | selected 36:5    | 171:2                         | 184:17                      | 67:6,13                        | <b>simply</b> 89:16            | 11:17,20               | 146:6                |
| 104:3                 | 205:12           | 194:12,17                     | 222:25                      | 70:18 71:13                    | 115:16                         | 14:9 16:7              | softer 219:14        |
| 209:15                | selecting        | separate 91:9                 | 242:10                      | 89:18,22                       | <b>single</b> 34:16            | 197:6                  | sold 165:24          |
| 210:3                 | 51:10            | 91:14                         | set 5:5 64:21               | 111:5 117:5                    | 79:8 105:20                    | slides 198:22          | sole 170:25          |
| secured               | selection        | 191:22                        | 65:3 107:23                 | 117:12                         | 160:17                         | sliding                | solution             |
| 199:22                | 242:2            | SEPTA                         | 131:20                      | 159:12                         | 249:16                         | 154:18                 | 17:17 143:6          |
| 201:25                | self 95:16       | 103:23                        | 137:15                      | 197:6 202:4                    | sink 152:22                    | slightly 15:11         | solutions            |
| see 8:2 9:15          | self-disclose    | September                     | 182:22                      | showing                        | sir 56:19                      | slow 117:12            | 17:11 18:13          |
| 9:22 13:12            | 70:10            | 1:7                           | 249:11,12                   | 69:15                          | 173:8                          | slowly 80:14           | 21:17 23:6           |
| 15:2 16:14            | self-fulfilling  | sequence                      | 249:13                      | 190:20                         | sit 62:22 63:6                 | 117:4                  | 95:22 96:5           |
| 32:16 56:18           | 234:15           | 26:15                         | 250:5                       | 193:11                         | 63:8 106:5                     | small 17:16            | solve 127:22         |
| 57:20,20,21           | self-sufficie    | series 39:2                   | setting 106:8               | shown 29:23                    | 242:13,16                      | 202:7                  | 234:21               |
| 59:5 70:24            | 178:2            | 130:15                        | 133:21                      | 113:15                         | 243:9                          | 243:13                 | somebody             |
| 71:9 73:10            | 187:24           | seriously                     | 185:3                       | 185:25                         | site 203:21                    | smart 7:4              | 34:18 57:7           |
| 86:18,24              | send 29:5        | 239:2                         | seven 12:2                  | shows 16:7                     | 205:12                         | 20:9                   | 57:13,17,23          |
| 101:11                | 45:11 76:10      | seriousness                   | seven-day                   | 49:14                          | <b>sitting</b> 83:15           | smarter                | 58:15,19             |
| 103:20                | 76:12 79:7       | 34:24                         | 209:9                       | 109:24                         | 103:19                         | 22:15                  | 62:2 63:23           |
| 105:7                 | 97:18            | serve 67:22                   | Shabazz                     | shred 152:24                   | 107:2 156:3                    | smoking                | 71:2 95:3            |
| 108:17                | 108:16           | served 12:23                  | 107:6                       | side 45:21                     | 208:11                         | 234:8                  | 95:16 98:16          |
| 110:18                | 245:12           | service 46:25                 | shape 171:24                | 65:7 81:19                     | 246:15                         | snatches               | 103:20               |
| 116:10                | sending 80:4     | 66:22 69:19                   | share 23:10                 | 98:24 100:5                    | <b>situation</b> 9:20          | 50:18                  | 106:7                |
| 118:25                | 80:5 101:2       | 73:4 78:17                    | 90:18                       | 135:25                         | 103:13                         | snippet                | 138:25               |
| 139:7                 | 106:7            | 80:16 81:10                   | sharing 6:14                | 170:17,18                      | 143:12                         | 154:11                 | 150:22               |
| 148:22                | 196:20           | 88:4 104:11                   | <b>Sharon</b> 43:15         | 170:19                         | 185:20                         | SOB 49:24              | 195:11               |
| 158:19                | sense 12:18      | 106:10                        | shed 8:5                    | 171:12                         | 220:7 229:2                    | sober 79:24            | 208:6 234:4          |
| 159:14                | 15:6 25:13       | 118:5                         | sheer 246:25                | 192:2 212:4                    | 233:8 243:8                    | sobriety               | 234:14               |
| 167:3 189:7           | 53:4 82:19       | 176:17                        | sheet 105:21                | 219:3,4,11                     | situations                     | 194:22                 | somebody's           |
| 192:9                 | 122:25           | 205:9 213:3<br>222:17         | shekels<br>109:16           | 219:14,15                      | 205:14                         | social 11:9            | 202:14               |
| 195:22                | 125:13           |                               |                             | 219:18                         | 225:14<br>233:2                | 52:25 55:22            | someone's<br>40:6    |
| 199:16<br>202:8 205:2 | 143:13<br>148:16 | 223:2<br><b>services</b> 11:9 | <b>shift</b> 41:21 66:17,19 | 238:7,7<br><b>sides</b> 156:19 | 233:2<br>six 8:4 74:10         | 62:6,24<br>69:21 77:22 | somewhat             |
| 205:3 206:9           | 148:16           | 20:24 29:25                   | 67:10,21                    | 218:23                         | six 8:4 /4:10<br>six-day 209:7 |                        | 52:17                |
| 205:3 206:9           | sensible         | 42:12 43:3                    | 88:2                        | signed 223:2                   | 209:10                         | 78:8,17<br>80:16       | 154:17               |
| 217:18 219:2 246:7    | 146:18           | 43:22 44:5                    | shifted 61:23               | 247:21                         | Sixth 32:10                    | 104:11                 | soon 187:19          |
| 246:23                | sent 45:2        | 44:6 48:16                    | <b>shifting</b> 67:19       | 247:21 248:25                  | size 53:14                     | 104:11                 | 227:21               |
| seeing 15:10          | 210:4,6          | 49:2 52:10                    | shifts 88:15                | significant                    | 195:25                         | 100:10                 |                      |
| 42:3 112:8            | 233:2,25         | 52:25 53:2                    | shoe 244:23                 | 192:13                         | skeptic                        | 118:5 218:8            | <b>sooner</b> 118:13 |
| 120:16                | 233.2,23         | 54:11 55:23                   | shop 203:25                 | signing                        | 244:24                         | 220:8 225:8            | sorry 37:16          |
| 120.16<br>149:7       | sentence         | 56:2 57:5                     | 212:15                      | 247:23                         | skill 160:11                   | 225:14                 | 81:6 117:24          |
| 167:23                | 13:11 35:6       | 67:12,20                      | short 14:22                 | 247.23                         | 178:17                         | 226:6,10               | 118:15               |
| 196:24                | 37:9 106:18      | 68:22 69:5                    | 91:6 162:6                  | silly 117:15                   | 184:22                         | 227:2                  | 129:8                |
| seen 38:22            | 124:2            | 69:18,21                      | 192:12                      | similar                        | 186:13                         | 229:18,20              | 144:13               |
| 66:10,13              | 142:21           | 73:5 78:9                     | 225:5                       | 112:20                         | 187:2                          | 231:14                 | 165:3                |
| 123:9,21              | 169:3,13         | 108:23                        | short-run                   | 112.20                         | skilled 130:7                  | 231.14                 | 229:15               |
| 123.7,21              | 107.5,15         | 100.23                        | SHOLL-LUII                  | 113.4                          | SMIICU 150./                   | 232.0,7                | 227.13               |
|                       |                  | <u> </u>                      | <u> </u>                    | <u> </u>                       | <u> </u>                       | <u> </u>               | <u> </u>             |
|                       |                  |                               |                             |                                |                                |                        |                      |

|                    |             |             |                      |                      |                   |                       | Page 26              |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| sort 12:5          | 42:1 43:1   | 148:1 149:1 | amanialists          | 239:6                | 201.2 5           | 20.0 12               | 240.21.24            |
|                    |             |             | specialists          |                      | 201:3,5           | 28:8,13               | 240:21,24            |
| 27:10 29:11        | 44:1 45:1   | 150:1 151:1 | 151:18               | standpoint           | 211:3             | 71:21                 | strive 53:17         |
| 30:4 31:16         | 46:1 47:1   | 152:1 153:1 | specialized          | 87:7                 | statement         | stayed 139:9          | 127:5                |
| 32:19 35:21        | 48:1 49:1   | 154:1 155:1 | 151:6                | star 128:23          | 25:8 43:20        | stays 79:20           | strokes 187:4        |
| 40:10 63:24        | 50:1 51:1   | 156:1 157:1 | <b>specific</b> 38:4 | 147:13,14            | 44:4 56:13        | steady 131:2          | strong 176:25        |
| 94:4 99:10         | 52:1 53:1   | 158:1 159:1 | 97:16 113:4          | 172:7,9              | 67:22 69:18       | stenographer          | 183:25               |
| 99:18              | 54:1 55:1   | 160:1 161:1 | 118:22               | 174:11               | 91:16             | 83:7 248:7            | 198:18               |
| 106:18             | 56:1 57:1   | 162:1 163:1 | 148:21               | 237:25               | states 170:23     | 248:17                | 200:24               |
| 123:13             | 58:1 59:1   | 164:1 165:1 | 155:15               | stars 174:8          | 170:23            | stenographic          | 221:15               |
| 146:3              | 60:1 61:1   | 166:1 167:1 | 169:4,18,20          | start 5:23           | static 182:13     | 252:6                 | structure            |
| 249:25             | 62:1 63:1   | 168:1 169:1 | 181:21               | 32:18 59:4           | 208:18            | step 118:16           | 30:23                |
| sorts 21:25        | 64:1 65:1   | 170:1 171:1 | specifically         | 86:9 110:16          | station           | 144:7                 | 114:25               |
| 35:23 41:14        | 66:1 67:1   | 172:1 173:1 | 38:22 94:20          | 110:18,20            | 246:22,23         | 181:22                | 187:20               |
| 135:10             | 68:1 69:1   | 174:1 175:1 | specificity          | 111:4,10             | statistical       | 204:12                | 220:8                |
| 151:15,16          | 70:1,9 71:1 | 176:1 177:1 | 154:25               | 164:13               | 4:23 27:12        | 223:5                 | structured           |
| 162:17             | 72:1 73:1   | 178:1 179:1 | speech               | 175:14               | 129:15            | step-down             | 190:25               |
| sound 20:12        | 74:1 75:1   | 180:1 181:1 | 168:11               | 183:11               | 226:8,15,16       | 58:25 59:12           | 191:11               |
| 102:21             | 76:1 77:1   | 182:1 183:1 | <b>speed</b> 66:18   | 184:21               | 228:9,12          | <b>stepped</b> 60:19  | structures           |
| 217:3,13           | 78:1 79:1   | 184:1 185:1 | 224:11               | 187:12               | 229:6 231:7       | stereotype            | 221:12               |
| source 78:2        | 80:1 81:1   | 186:1 187:1 | <b>spend</b> 14:4,7  | 189:11               | 232:14            | 130:13                | structuring          |
| 199:11             | 82:1 83:1   | 188:1 189:1 | 100:2                | 190:24               | 235:11            | Stevenson             | 182:3                |
| Southwest          | 84:1 85:1   | 190:1 191:1 | 112:25               | 191:17               | statistically     | 11:24                 | struggles            |
| 5:2                | 86:1 87:1   | 192:1 193:1 | 208:25               | 213:19               | 233:21            | stick 134:18          | 71:6                 |
| <b>space</b> 64:12 | 88:1 89:1   | 194:1 195:1 | 209:5                | 214:20               | statistician      | stigmatized           | struggling           |
| speak 38:21        | 90:1 91:1   | 196:1 197:1 | <b>spent</b> 12:20   | 227:3                | 126:23            | 172:13,17             | 65:18,18             |
| 43:6 61:9          | 92:1 93:1   | 198:1 199:1 | 70:13                | 243:15               | 136:13            | <b>stop</b> 86:13     | 93:13                |
| 66:15 94:17        | 94:1 95:1   | 200:1 201:1 | 113:21               | 246:13               | 232:3             | 196:20                | stuck 166:17         |
| 175:12             | 96:1 97:1   | 202:1 203:1 | 123:7                | started 46:8         | statisticians     | store 243:15          | students             |
| 228:25             | 98:1 99:1   | 204:1 205:1 | spillover            | 73:21 75:10          | 236:9             | <b>stories</b> 163:11 | 234:7                |
| 237:15             | 100:1 101:1 | 206:1 207:1 | 15:25                | 96:20                | statistics        | story 194:24          | 244:10               |
| speaking           | 102:1 103:1 | 208:1 209:1 | <b>spoken</b> 105:9  | 110:21,21            | 102:3             | straight              | <b>studied</b> 30:13 |
| 42:11 94:15        | 104:1 105:1 | 210:1 211:1 | 247:4                | 119:25               | 121:12            | 241:17                | studies 7:25         |
| speaks 201:9       | 106:1 107:1 | 212:1 213:1 | <b>spot</b> 116:12   | 176:19,24            | 230:11            | strain 48:21          | 8:5,15,20            |
| special 1:3,21     | 108:1 109:1 | 214:1 215:1 | <b>spread</b> 75:10  | 177:3,7              | 232:6             | strategies            | 8:23 9:9             |
| 2:5,13 3:1,4       | 110:1 111:1 | 216:1 217:1 | <b>staff</b> 54:9    | 181:25               | 238:15            | 1:23 2:18             | 11:20 35:16          |
| 4:1 5:1 6:1        | 112:1 113:1 | 218:1 219:1 | 88:18                | 190:23               | stats 59:18       | strategy 6:25         | 36:15 37:3           |
| 7:1 8:1 9:1        | 114:1 115:1 | 220:1 221:1 | 198:13               | 242:7                | 91:21 190:6       | <b>stream</b> 81:13   | 151:15               |
| 10:1 11:1          | 116:1 117:1 | 222:1 223:1 | staffer 108:2        | starting             | 226:25            | <b>street</b> 106:19  | 199:14               |
| 12:1 13:1          | 118:1 119:1 | 224:1 225:1 | staffing 47:19       | 74:21 150:8          | 227:19            | 240:19,23             | study 11:22          |
| 14:1 15:1          | 120:1 121:1 | 226:1 227:1 | stage 32:3,12        | 164:23               | 231:13            | streets 242:13        | 14:15,18             |
| 16:1 17:1          | 122:1 123:1 | 228:1 229:1 | <b>stake</b> 4:11    | 195:8 246:7          | status 138:20     | 247:2                 | 16:18 28:20          |
| 18:1 19:1          | 124:1 125:1 | 230:1 231:1 | 236:3                | starts 152:18        | 142:11            | stressful             | 31:22 38:13          |
| 20:1 21:1          | 126:1 127:1 | 232:1 233:1 | stakeholders         | 160:12               | 180:15            | 116:22                | 65:24 77:8           |
| 22:1 23:1          | 128:1 129:1 | 234:1 235:1 | 7:11 20:8            | 195:7                | statutes          | 117:15                | 77:10 85:8           |
| 24:1 25:1          | 130:1 131:1 | 236:1 237:1 | 158:6 173:4          | <b>state</b> 3:11,18 | 160:13            | strict 50:6           | 199:6,9              |
| 26:1 27:1          | 132:1 133:1 | 238:1 239:1 | 212:6                | 23:17 35:9           | statutory         | strictly              | studying             |
| 28:1 29:1          | 134:1 135:1 | 240:1 241:1 | stakes 240:9         | 92:11,12             | 123:19            | 149:18                | 104:24               |
| 30:1 31:1          | 136:1 137:1 | 242:1 243:1 | <b>stand</b> 146:14  | 93:13                | <b>stay</b> 46:19 | 164:11                | <b>stuff</b> 79:16   |
| 32:1 33:1          | 138:1 139:1 | 244:1 245:1 | standard             | 106:18               | 86:21 89:3        | striking              | 153:17               |
| 34:1 35:1          | 140:1 141:1 | 246:1 247:1 | 215:22               | 107:21,22            | 96:16 108:6       | 113:24                | 193:25               |
| 36:1 37:1          | 142:1 143:1 | 248:1 249:1 | standards            | 111:18               | 196:25            | stringent             | 218:2                |
| 38:1 39:1          | 144:1 145:1 | 250:1 251:1 | 48:20 236:5          | 197:23               | 235:8             | 50:3                  | 243:17               |
| 40:1 41:1          | 146:1 147:1 | 251:15      | standing             | 199:8,9              | stay-away         | strings 84:3          | stumbling            |
|                    |             |             |                      |                      |                   |                       |                      |
| ı                  | ı .         |             | 1                    | I                    | I                 | I                     | 1                    |

| P                   |                     |                     |               |              |                 |               | Page 27              |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------------|
|                     | I .                 | 1                   | 1             | I            |                 |               |                      |
| 78:11               | successfully        | supervisory         | surveil       | 213:7 216:9  | 147:18          | 227:15        | 199:3                |
| <b>style</b> 195:20 | 214:24              | 46:13 52:24         | 234:14        | 222:4        | 150:6 164:8     | 237:9 241:3   | tangible             |
| subject 39:21       | 232:5               | <b>supply</b> 84:12 | surveillance  | 227:20       | 171:9,15        | 243:10        | 244:20               |
| 115:11              | <b>sudden</b> 75:13 | support 53:8        | 235:2         | 228:6        | 172:2           | 248:20        | targeted             |
| <b>submit</b> 120:9 | suggest 40:15       | 57:22 60:8          | surveilled    | 232:23,23    | 176:24          | talked 49:2   | 20:21                |
| subpoena            | 122:24              | 76:16               | 233:23        | 234:11       | 178:5           | 112:17        | 181:25               |
| 79:10               | 150:10              | 116:11              | survey 188:8  | 235:18       | 201:11          | 150:15        | 183:5                |
| subpoenas           | 250:14              | 187:13              | surveys 188:7 | 236:2 246:3  | 226:7 229:9     | 155:10        | 222:10               |
| 79:24 80:4          | suggested           | 210:19              | 188:24        | 246:4,8      | 230:10,15       | 164:4 180:5   | targeting            |
| 80:6                | 22:2                | 212:12              | suspect 95:4  | 247:12,18    | 230:22          | 212:13        | 176:5 182:4          |
| subsequently        | suggesting          | 241:14,15           | 154:5         | systematic   | takeaway        | 218:7         | 222:10               |
| 162:24              | 40:18               | 247:11              | SWAT 50:17    | 147:10       | 143:16          | 221:22        | 250:11               |
| subsidiary          | suggestion          | supporting          | sweep 128:5   | systemic     | takeaways       | talking 44:10 | Tariq 1:17           |
| 61:18               | 99:9                | 197:4 198:6         | sympathetic   | 167:11       | 22:6            | 52:17,19      | 84:10                |
| 211:23              | suggestions         | supports 58:8       | 150:6         | systemically | taken 35:2      | 60:15 75:23   | 110:25               |
| substance           | 30:20               | Suppose             | sympathy      | 146:23       | 60:9 61:12      | 75:25 78:21   | tasked 55:18         |
| 177:6,20            | 151:10              | 122:20              | 220:9         | systems 6:11 | 118:12          | 80:9 81:12    | tax 11:7             |
| 182:6               | suggests            | supposed            | symptoms      | 19:19 22:23  | 161:18          | 81:24 83:10   | 85:25                |
| 215:18              | 15:20 17:2          | 59:6 136:25         | 123:3         | 106:21       | 187:20          | 87:10,11,14   | 111:13               |
| 216:16              | 18:8                | 137:2               | synthesize    | 179:2        | 217:10          | 100:3         | taxed 75:8           |
| 225:13              | summarize           | 245:14,15           | 220:12        | 182:17       | 226:11          | 114:24        | taxed 73.8           |
| 249:16              | 22:5 33:20          | Supreme             | system 1:22   | 183:3 208:4  | 232:12          | 118:6         | 85:23                |
| substances          | summer 2:23         | 31:25               | 2:16 3:22     | 227:24       | 252:12<br>252:6 | 119:19        | teach 120:2,8        |
| 236:8,14,21         | summer 2.23         | 226:12              | 5:5 6:12 7:2  | 221.24       | takes 59:7      | 124:5         | 178:25               |
| substantial         | 79:3,7              | sure 5:19           | 9:6,18 11:4   | T            | 109:5           | 148:25,25     | teaching             |
| 14:5 16:19          | supervise           | 21:19 30:6          | 11:12 13:6    | T.J 225:6    | 131:25          | 148.25,25     | 178:12,16            |
| 17:21               | 95:6,8              | 35:25 61:11         | 15:7,24       | table 17:12  | 131.23          | 151:14        | teamed 20:8          |
| 161:23              | 176:22              |                     |               | 18:14 42:18  | 139:12          | 151.14        |                      |
| 163:9               |                     | 61:24 62:10         | 20:12,16,16   |              |                 |               | techniques<br>184:10 |
| 196:13              | supervising         | 63:9 66:21          | 20:20 22:9    | 81:20 93:9   | 151:11<br>189:8 | 156:10        |                      |
|                     | 55:19               | 79:19 95:9          | 23:18 30:10   | 108:15       |                 | 157:20        | technology           |
| substantially       | supervision         | 95:14,22            | 30:14,18,21   | 121:4        | talk 7:14 8:7   | 158:2         | 45:18 46:6           |
| 22:12               | 20:19 29:4          | 99:2,14             | 30:23 31:7    | 159:13       | 11:14 47:5      | 168:13        | 51:7,9,11            |
| 162:15              | 44:6 45:9           | 117:18              | 31:14 40:23   | 173:24       | 56:17 62:24     | 169:5,15      | 51:16 74:13          |
| 187:7               | 45:16 46:10         | 129:21              | 44:19 46:3    | 224:21       | 94:21 95:23     | 175:22        | 83:19 92:4           |
| 195:16              | 47:24,25            | 130:17              | 46:20,21,24   | 228:5        | 101:9           | 180:10,11     | 142:23               |
| substituted         | 48:5,11,16          | 134:15              | 54:14,20      | tag 61:19    | 112:13          | 180:22,23     | telephone-b          |
| 156:14              | 48:21,25            | 152:10              | 57:22 58:7    | tailored     | 115:14,19       | 181:4         | 20:15                |
| subtleties          | 49:6,17,19          | 156:25              | 78:20 79:20   | 54:18 55:6   | 116:16          | 182:15        | tell 19:9            |
| 126:18              | 53:19 55:21         | 166:13              | 83:11 85:24   | take 7:7     | 121:16,24       | 184:24        | 44:12 46:18          |
| succeed             | 55:22 57:24         | 170:21              | 95:2 105:5    | 20:21 21:15  | 124:10          | 185:9,14      | 59:14 90:22          |
| 241:25              | 59:2 60:12          | 177:5,22            | 108:5,6,8     | 23:16 40:21  | 125:25          | 190:25        | 92:16,24             |
| success 19:24       | 75:2,7,13           | 182:3 185:6         | 114:15,19     | 47:2 55:13   | 126:3           | 196:11        | 100:22               |
| 23:9 70:6           | 92:12               | 188:17              | 144:3 145:7   | 55:15 60:2   | 136:20          | 197:25        | 121:20               |
| 77:20 119:8         | 175:23              | 198:16              | 145:10        | 60:20 69:20  | 141:13,14       | 198:21        | 143:17               |
| 200:22              | 176:3,25            | 200:19              | 166:24        | 88:14 92:15  | 142:3 148:3     | 205:19        | 145:13               |
| 201:7               | 177:21              | 203:21              | 167:8,16      | 111:6        | 150:18          | 221:18        | 172:9                |
| 244:22              | 194:21              | 206:2               | 168:15,18     | 114:19       | 157:16,23       | 222:3         | 181:10               |
| successes           | 195:4               | 220:19              | 168:20        | 117:17       | 174:23          | 227:17        | 189:14               |
| 72:13               | 203:10,10           | 243:2               | 176:20        | 132:12,15    | 175:6 180:3     | 231:3,4       | 194:25               |
| 136:18              | 204:10              | surprised           | 178:25        | 132:17,18    | 180:6           | 236:5         | 226:23               |
| successful          | 212:17              | 192:9               | 183:24        | 132:20       | 181:23          | 237:20        | 232:18               |
| 21:20 33:17         | 219:4,7,8           | surrounding         | 184:14        | 134:22       | 192:15          | 238:21,23     | 234:20               |
| 77:21               | 252:23              | 45:19               | 186:4 208:4   | 139:4 140:7  | 201:2 217:8     | talks 198:24  | 237:5                |
|                     |                     |                     |               | 146:9        |                 |               |                      |
|                     | I                   | I                   | l             | I            |                 |               | 1                    |

|                               |                           |                             |                           |                       |                          |                                 | Page 28                    |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 240.17                        | 220 24                    | 224.22                      | 1 225 22                  | 170 12                | 01 22 02 6               | 227.10                          | 105.0                      |
| 240:17                        | 228:24                    | 224:23                      | 235:22                    | 178:13                | 81:23 83:6               | 237:19                          | throw 185:2                |
| 242:7                         | test 119:6                | 250:21,22                   | 236:18                    | 179:13                | 83:9 84:5                | 238:9,11,14                     | 225:18                     |
| <b>telling</b> 179:23 180:19  | 159:11                    | 251:12,14                   | 239:6,7<br>240:6          | 182:4<br>183:21       | 84:18 86:13              | 239:2,8                         | 234:17                     |
| 180:19<br>181:9               | testifier 84:22           | thanks 6:3                  | 240:6                     |                       | 88:4 89:5<br>91:22 94:16 | 249:18                          | 245:20,23                  |
|                               | <b>testify</b> 5:13 92:25 | 23:11 35:13<br>117:22       | 242:13                    | 184:4,8               | 95:11 98:21              | 250:25                          | thrown 244:6               |
| <b>tells</b> 13:19 22:7 46:18 |                           | 141:10                      |                           | 188:6,7,18            |                          | thinking<br>111:9               | tie 213:4<br>223:25        |
|                               | 120:23                    |                             | things 3:21               | 193:21                | 99:15,20                 |                                 |                            |
| 172:11<br>181:19              | 130:13 testifying         | theft 64:25<br>theirs 61:23 | 4:24 9:2                  | 197:8 198:8<br>199:25 | 100:13<br>101:17,18      | 114:12                          | ties 61:7<br>time 4:6 7:24 |
| 193:17                        | 5:24 140:15               | 201:8                       | 11:7 17:2                 |                       | 101:17,18                | 139:6 161:2<br>178:20           |                            |
| ten 53:12                     | testimony                 | theme 119:18                | 18:16 25:12<br>25:24 26:9 | 200:4,12,23           | 105:9                    |                                 | 12:21,23                   |
| 74:11 82:4                    | 3:23 42:4                 | then-Presid                 | 26:14 27:3                | 201:20<br>202:2       | 106:20                   | 190:17<br>234:21                | 14:4 19:23                 |
| 96:25                         | 56:23 57:19               | 83:17                       | 27:24 28:24               | 202:2                 |                          | thinks 26:19                    | 20:13 22:16                |
| 225:11                        | 77:8 93:15                |                             | 32:4 34:23                |                       | 109:6,25<br>110:24       |                                 | 23:11 26:17                |
|                               | 109:23                    | theories<br>227:22          |                           | 205:7,11              |                          | 123:3,6,20                      | 27:4 28:23                 |
| tend 194:3<br>204:22          | 109:23                    |                             | 35:2,5,16                 | 206:12<br>208:7       | 111:25<br>112:7,10       | <b>third</b> 4:6,15 98:13 104:4 | 41:22 47:5<br>47:7 48:7,9  |
| 204:22<br>tendencies          | 111:17,19                 | theory 227:8                | 35:23 39:19<br>39:20 40:6 | 208:7<br>212:18       | · ·                      | 98:13 104:4<br>thought          | ,                          |
| 226:16                        | 144:25                    | 227:10,10<br>227:11         |                           | 212:18 215:15,21      | 114:11<br>115:9          | 35:21 91:5                      | 49:22 50:8<br>50:16 51:15  |
| tendency                      | 159:22                    | 235:6                       | 41:14 57:19<br>59:5 61:11 | 215:15,21 219:11      | 115:9<br>117:16          | 91:7 110:3                      | 53:16 64:13                |
| 208:19                        | 174:21                    |                             | 62:21 63:21               | 219:11                | 117:16                   | 120:6                           | 66:4 70:14                 |
|                               | 250:24                    | therapist<br>246:15         | 65:20,25                  | 220:13                | 121:21                   | 120:6                           |                            |
| 219:12,15<br>tends 8:13       | 250:24                    |                             | 65:20,23                  | 244:25                | 121:21                   | 149:16                          | 73:11,23<br>75:15 77:15    |
| 103:12                        | testing 177:4             | <b>therapists</b> 242:14,21 | 75:23 77:20               | 244:23<br>250:8       | 122:4,3                  | 183:16                          | 78:21 82:17                |
| tenet 166:24                  | 177:4,20                  | 242:14,21                   | 77:25 78:5                | 230.8<br>think 5:22   | 125.2                    | 202:5                           | 85:6 90:2                  |
| tenets 235:25                 | 245:16                    | therapy                     | 79:21 80:3                | 6:24 10:4             | 120.18                   | 202.3                           | 92:3,17                    |
| tenets 233.23<br>tens 8:21    | text 46:5                 | 176:4                       | 80:14 86:10               | 13:18 16:12           | 131:13,15                | thousands                       | 98:13 104:4                |
| tense 205:14                  | thank 2:21,22             | 206:15,20                   | 88:16,21                  | 16:24,25              | 131.13,13                | 8:18,22,22                      | 110:5 113:2                |
| tension 95:21                 | 2:25 5:10                 | 222:21                      | 93:25 95:6                | 17:19 19:7            | 138:7,7                  | 46:4 125:9                      | 110.5 113.2                |
| tenure 4:2                    | 5:11 23:13                | 242:17                      | 96:9,18                   | 21:17 22:3            | 139:15,17                | 162:8                           | 116:20,22                  |
| 239:4 250:3                   | 30:12 34:9                | they'd 176:23               | 106:12,13                 | 23:21 24:10           | 142:12                   | threat 186:4                    | 117:15,17                  |
| term 175:15                   | 37:15 38:10               | thing 4:15,15               | 118:6                     | 24:16 25:7            | 143:18                   | 186:5                           | 121:19                     |
| 176:15                        | 42:4,5,14                 | 23:21 31:2                  | 122:17                    | 25:18 26:3            | 147:17                   | threatened                      | 128:9 129:4                |
| termed                        | 42:19 56:22               | 54:23 71:10                 | 131:23                    | 26:12 27:8            | 152:20                   | 108:14                          | 129:9,15,25                |
| 182:22                        | 61:14 90:3                | 74:21 75:12                 | 134:8                     | 27:11 28:18           | 153:2                    | three 21:5                      | 130:8,12                   |
| terms 5:19                    | 92:19 93:10               | 76:17,18                    | 135:11                    | 29:12,14              | 158:24                   | 46:2 79:24                      | 141:2                      |
| 14:6 30:20                    | 109:20                    | 79:2 82:10                  | 140:12                    | 31:11,14,17           | 159:6,7,14               | 83:16 84:2                      | 159:18                     |
| 31:4 68:19                    | 111:16,20                 | 90:6 96:12                  | 147:18                    | 31:19 32:4            | 163:24                   | 97:6 98:14                      | 160:11,16                  |
| 69:9 70:3                     | 111:24                    | 96:13 97:5                  | 149:14                    | 32:15,17,22           | 164:2,4,13               | 98:20 125:2                     | 160:11,10                  |
| 72:6,14,16                    | 120:12,17                 | 97:22,24,25                 | 153:2,7                   | 32:25 33:14           | 167:2,5,7                | 130:24                          | 161:19,23                  |
| 72:16,24                      | 120:12,17                 | 98:7,9                      | 157:23                    | 33:22 34:2            | 167:20                   | 131:10                          | 162:6                      |
| 85:18 86:19                   | 141:8                     | 100:4                       | 159:19                    | 34:5 35:15            | 168:10,12                | 145:16                          | 173:12                     |
| 101:12                        | 144:24                    | 109:22                      | 160:3                     | 35:18,20              | 169:10                   | 155:12,12                       | 175:12                     |
| 110:11                        | 154:3                     | 111:25                      | 163:10                    | 40:13,17              | 170:9,13                 | 182:14                          | 186:8 189:8                |
| 111:10,13                     | 155:21                    | 119:2                       | 164:4 165:6               | 41:12 42:23           | 171:3                    | 191:23                          | 190:25                     |
| 118:7                         | 156:22                    | 123:16                      | 165:20                    | 43:10,19              | 176:16                   | 225:14                          | 191:5,10,15                |
| 135:22                        | 160:21                    | 138:4                       | 166:10,15                 | 50:15,23              | 197:9                    | 226:24                          | 192:13,13                  |
| 154:22                        | 173:6,8,13                | 155:22                      | 166:19,23                 | 52:16 58:21           | 200:23                   | threshold                       | 200:3                      |
| 155:5 161:6                   | 173:0,0,13                | 171:25                      | 168:23                    | 60:3,18               | 201:8 202:9              | 39:10 126:2                     | 208:10,11                  |
| 169:5 190:7                   | 174:4                     | 172:9                       | 171:16                    | 61:20 63:22           | 205:15                   | 215:23                          | 209:4,11,13                |
| 191:20                        | 175:11                    | 188:15                      | 175:18                    | 65:22 66:7            | 217:20                   | thresholds                      | 209:16                     |
| 228:11                        | 214:15                    | 205:6,16                    | 176:13,18                 | 67:3 72:11            | 221:3,10                 | 215:4                           | 218:25                     |
| 240:10                        | 216:20                    | 214:7 216:3                 | 177:3,10,12               | 73:15 80:3            | 234:18                   | threw 242:10                    | 225:22                     |
| terrible                      | 224:7,9,15                | 233:15,17                   | 177:14                    | 80:21 81:14           | 236:8                    | thrift 243:15                   | 226:13                     |
|                               | ,.,                       | , - ,                       | = .                       |                       | - 4.4                    |                                 |                            |
|                               |                           |                             | <u> </u>                  |                       |                          |                                 |                            |

|                 |             |                |                      |                     |                   |                     | Page 29              |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
|                 | l           | l              | l                    | l                   | 1                 | l                   |                      |
| 232:15          | 230:25      | 202:25         | 226:17               | troubling           | tweaked           | 193:15              | 134:14               |
| 239:3           | tool 25:3   | total 209:2    | translates           | 14:17               | 129:12            | 206:3               | 143:20               |
| 240:12          | 38:17 39:3  | 239:17         | 34:6 236:22          | true 14:23          | twice 13:16       | 214:18              | 149:25               |
| 248:6,16        | 39:13 40:10 | totally 133:20 | Translating          | 15:13 43:25         | 98:17,20          | U                   | 164:3                |
| timeline        | 53:6 60:16  | 248:19         | 24:19                | 177:15              | 201:17,18         |                     | 178:13               |
| 65:19 82:18     | 99:22 100:8 | 249:24         | translation          | 230:5 252:7         | 209:14            | ultimate 35:6       | understands          |
| timely 30:2     | 100:8       | touch 46:19    | 237:2,6              | truly 40:16         | two 4:19 9:25     | 37:8 135:23         | 81:21                |
| 63:10           | 139:21      | 54:2 79:20     | transparency         | 176:17              | 14:24 46:8        | 214:23              | understood           |
| times 53:12     | 140:4 146:5 | touches 94:13  | 143:20               | 221:22              | 61:22 97:13       | ultimately          | 117:14               |
| 54:11 57:25     | 146:9       | tough 62:9     | 237:19               | trust 41:16         | 98:14             | 14:2,19             | unduly 4:17          |
| 59:16 62:15     | 147:10,24   | 126:16         | transparent          | <b>truth</b> 37:6   | 100:12            | 15:2 16:2           | unemploym            |
| 82:4 95:20      | 148:11      | tour 23:16     | 124:21               | 166:8               | 107:15            | 22:16 31:17         | 11:8                 |
| 96:14 100:2     | 149:7,12,17 | town 91:2      | 128:2 159:8          | <b>try</b> 3:16 5:6 | 115:24            | 32:22 33:22         | <b>unfair</b> 166:16 |
| 102:2 106:5     | 149:18      | track 20:24    | 205:18               | 20:10,24            | 117:6             | 34:4 104:4          | unfeasible           |
| 109:4 112:4     | 152:7,12    | 29:6 198:19    | transporting         | 29:6 32:23          | 118:23            | 250:10              | 232:17               |
| 158:18          | 154:17      | 200:24         | 74:6                 | 99:13,13            | 130:23            | <b>unable</b> 98:18 | unfortunate          |
| 160:18          | 156:15      | 201:8          | travel 220:2         | 116:6 168:6         | 131:25            | uncertain           | 231:21               |
| 182:10          | 157:8       | trade-off      | treated 72:23        | 168:7 179:9         | 132:3 135:2       | 32:2                | unfortunat           |
| 189:9 190:9     | 160:25      | 26:19 73:17    | 113:23               | 183:4               | 147:16            | uncertainty         | 98:10 127:6          |
| 206:14          | 161:6       | 74:5 127:21    | treatment            | 185:18              | 148:21            | 235:5               | 220:5 250:7          |
| 212:13          | 162:14      | 133:14         | 29:20 80:17          | 214:8,8             | 160:8             | uncomforta          | uniform              |
| 213:23          | 169:16      | 143:7,15       | 106:11,12            | 216:4               | 170:23            | 136:12              | 229:24               |
| 236:12,12       | 170:2,15,16 | 144:22         | 116:12               | 220:12              | 195:3             | unconstitut         | <b>union</b> 66:22   |
| 236:13          | 170:25      | 155:2          | 122:24               | 230:23              | 206:12            | 114:21              | <b>unique</b> 40:21  |
| tipping 25:23   | 171:5,5,9   | trade-offs     | 175:22               | 239:12              | 222:22,24         | 170:24              | 50:12                |
| tired 108:12    | 172:16      | 126:22,24      | 177:8 188:9          | trying 6:10         | 227:21,24         | uncontested         | <b>unit</b> 43:4,16  |
| title 2:10 35:9 | 181:19      | 128:12,16      | 188:10,12            | 7:16 32:18          | 228:3 229:3       | 225:25              | 44:17,17             |
| 237:23          | toolbox     | 136:8          | 191:7 192:3          | 33:15,18            | 230:9             | understand          | 45:11 48:22          |
| today 3:2,14    | 163:16,17   | 142:24         | 192:9 204:9          | 40:21 51:20         | 233:13            | 6:20 7:11           | 50:11 57:14          |
| 5:13,24         | tools 19:2  | 155:18,19      | 206:5,18,23          | 65:19 66:8          | 240:12            | 7:16 8:25           | 73:5 93:24           |
| 6:14 7:15       | 23:4 24:16  | 158:4          | 207:5 209:5          | 69:24 75:18         | 243:20            | 43:21 63:19         | 94:4                 |
| 8:13 10:10      | 26:3 39:23  | tradition      | 211:16               | 80:11               | 244:4,8           | 71:15,17            | United               |
| 11:15 17:15     | 138:19      | 176:14         | 219:3,6,8            | 110:11,12           | 248:10,11         | 79:21 80:2          | 170:23               |
| 17:23 18:6      | 139:3       | train 242:20   | 219:14               | 110:14              | two-atomic        | 84:24 87:14         | 238:18               |
| 19:10 22:2      | 141:16      | 246:17         | treatments           | 189:7 202:3         | 228:6             | 100:20              | units 51:12          |
| 30:15 43:9      | 145:12      | trained 78:16  | 177:10               | 231:25              | two-thirds        | 110:15              | 155:12,13            |
| 121:16          | 149:24      | 80:15          | tree 102:19          | 234:19,21           | 114:4             | 116:21              | universal            |
| 124:6 135:9     | 150:2 151:6 |                | trees 107:17         | 238:23              | <b>type</b> 37:22 | 117:8,18,21         | 215:16               |
| 151:10          | 151:24      | 228:8 233:5    | tremendous           | turn 5:8            | 38:13 45:17       | 125:18              | University           |
| 154:5 174:5     | 152:15      | training 33:2  | 201:7                | 27:17               | 46:12,12          | 133:10              | 6:9 121:2,9          |
| 174:9,23        | 159:8 160:5 | 80:18          | <b>trial</b> 1:19    | 108:13              | 47:3,12,13        | 139:23              | 189:20               |
| 175:12          | 160:23      | 184:22         | 3:12 7:6             | 144:12,18           | 48:11 52:23       | 147:2               | 224:18               |
| 180:6 200:3     | 163:7       | 198:15         | 13:4 28:5            | 155:12              | 74:12 77:7        | 156:16,16           | 225:7 234:8          |
| 227:24          | 166:10      | 210:19         | 36:2 43:2,5          | 175:5               | 85:19             | 156:25              | unprofessio          |
| 230:6           | top 38:8    | 232:8,10       | 49:23 59:8           | turned 21:6         | 206:15            | 158:14              | 158:25               |
| today's         | 152:12      | trains 94:5    | 95:7 148:23          | <b>Turner</b> 43:16 | <b>types</b> 4:20 | 169:21              | 159:7                |
| 224:17          | 182:20      | transcript     | trials 21:3          | 59:19               | 26:15 36:20       | 170:10              | unwanted             |
| tokens 103:23   | 198:24      | 252:8,21       | <b>tried</b> 17:11   | turning 66:18       | 37:23 39:12       | 210:9               | 186:22               |
| told 69:14      | 225:11      | transferred    | <b>trigger</b> 179:6 | 75:16 80:13         | 167:11            | 229:23              | <b>update</b> 51:11  |
| 117:19          | top-ten     | 63:3           | <b>trip</b> 52:16    | turns 36:11         | typical 206:7     | 230:21              | updated              |
| 122:17          | 225:10      | transfers      | 61:15                | 36:17 126:8         | 215:21            | 237:3               | 160:5                |
| 245:12          | topic 8:2   | 223:20         | 217:11               | 149:20              | typically         | understand          | upwards              |
| tomorrow        | torches     | translate      | trouble 139:9        | tweak 188:22        | 10:17             | 8:6 85:19           | 196:12               |
|                 |             |                |                      |                     |                   | 118:7               |                      |
| I               |             |                | 1                    | •                   | •                 |                     |                      |

|                |                       |                     |             |               |                  |             | Page 30     |
|----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|
| 62.6           | 161.5.044.0           | . 1 4 62 12         | 26.21.24    | 240.2.4.12    | 106.20           | 72 2 74 6   | 100 2 6 10  |
| urgency 62:6   | 161:5 244:8           | violate 62:12       | 26:21,24    | 248:3,4,13    | 106:20           | 72:2 74:6   | 180:3,6,10  |
| 82:19          | - V                   | 92:13               | 27:10 29:15 | 251:3         | 109:2,17         | 74:10 75:5  | 180:11,16   |
| use 8:13,15    |                       | 101:14              | 30:4 32:22  | wanted 30:13  | 119:4            | 75:23,25    | 180:22,23   |
| 8:24 18:15     | vacuum                | violated            | 33:22 36:2  | 64:16,22,24   | 126:20           | 77:17,18    | 181:4       |
| 22:23 36:23    | 99:17                 | 235:20              | 40:8 54:2   | 68:19 82:5    | 129:18           | 78:20 80:4  | 184:23      |
| 46:24 51:6     | validated             | violating           | 60:5 62:3   | 94:16         | 140:20           | 80:9 81:4,5 | 185:14      |
| 51:22 53:5     | 159:6                 | 28:11               | 63:15,21,22 | 117:24        | 144:22           | 81:6,12     | 186:23      |
| 54:5 60:3,4    | 181:18                | 217:25              | 67:3 68:11  | 155:25        | 154:12           | 82:22 85:3  | 190:18      |
| 70:16,18       | valuable 7:10         | violations          | 70:25 78:24 | 196:2 198:2   | 161:17           | 87:6,9,14   | 192:8       |
| 79:3 121:22    | 86:6                  | 20:20               | 81:16 82:15 | 204:4 237:9   | 163:5            | 89:8,9 90:3 | 194:18      |
| 124:8          | value 170:20          | violators           | 82:23 83:13 | 239:17        | 165:10           | 90:6 91:21  | 195:5       |
| 129:13         | 199:2 208:2           | 192:23              | 84:4,18     | 240:7         | 167:21           | 93:12 98:2  | 197:19      |
| 130:10         | values 27:13          | violence 28:8       | 87:3 89:9   | wanting       | 176:7,22         | 98:10,25    | 198:7,11,13 |
| 138:14         | 178:23                | 80:18               | 89:19 94:24 | 167:16,16     | 179:20,21        | 99:11,11    | 200:2,5,19  |
| 139:2 140:8    | 182:16                | 128:17              | 108:14      | wants 140:17  | 194:14           | 100:17      | 201:22      |
| 141:5          | 183:3                 | 129:11              | 109:21      | warrant       | 214:11           | 101:2       | 202:3 204:4 |
| 142:10,10      | variable              | 161:15              | 111:25      | 91:24 92:2    | 223:5            | 104:21,24   | 204:5,20    |
| 142:11,17      | 34:16                 | 165:18              | 112:13      | warrants      | 233:23           | 105:20      | 205:2,3,8   |
| 142:19         | variables             | violent 72:9        | 119:10      | 71:11,16      | 236:23           | 106:15,16   | 205:20,21   |
| 143:8          | 27:4 34:22            | 126:11              | 122:22      | 76:20         | 243:25           | 107:2,9     | 207:12      |
| 153:20         | 142:17                | 215:20              | 134:9       | wars 233:3    | 246:14           | 110:12,13   | 210:9,21    |
| 154:22         | variation             | virtually           | 135:20      | Washington    | 249:11           | 110:14      | 211:16      |
| 161:20         | 36:21,22              | 165:21              | 138:2       | 17:19 23:15   | <b>ways</b> 6:11 | 111:8 112:5 | 212:24      |
| 162:23         | variety 15:12         | visits 122:6        | 144:13      | 27:18 47:3    | 95:10            | 112:7       | 213:24      |
| 163:3,18       | 131:3                 | visual 247:5        | 146:2       | 52:14,16,20   | 107:12           | 114:12,24   | 214:11      |
| 164:7          | 175:17                | <b>volume</b> 46:20 | 150:18,19   | 52:20 65:5    | 119:7 131:3      | 118:10      | 217:14      |
| 170:15,24      | various 24:15         | 47:13 49:12         | 153:17,20   | 77:18 199:8   | 155:8,9          | 127:6 131:4 | 219:6,13,19 |
| 171:4          | 55:20                 | 62:20 70:7          | 153:23      | 199:8         | 203:15           | 131:6,8     | 220:13      |
| 182:23         | 151:10                | 196:5 210:3         | 154:21,22   | wasn't 45:24  | we'll 29:8       | 133:12      | 225:11      |
| 184:9,15,16    | 173:3                 |                     | 155:22      | 109:14        | 96:5 115:22      | 135:19,20   | 227:17      |
| 185:18         | vary 39:12            | W                   | 158:12,18   | 177:2 199:5   | 127:17,20        | 135:20,21   | 228:14      |
| 188:25         | 209:24                | Waird 97:15         | 158:19      | 233:4         | 134:9            | 139:25      | 230:9       |
| 189:7 193:6    | vast 18:9             | wait 50:20          | 159:3       | watch 66:7    | 144:15           | 143:2,6,11  | 234:21      |
| 207:11         | vendor 51:11          | 184:25              | 163:14,18   | 234:3         | 155:11           | 143:13      | 235:3       |
| 210:13         | Venn 219:2            | waited 248:5        | 163:19      | watched       | 157:8 160:2      | 144:2,7     | 237:20      |
| 215:13         | <b>verify</b> 116:5,6 | 248:16              | 165:16      | 202:20,24     | 171:10           | 148:14,25   | 238:20,23   |
| 219:23         | versions              | waiting 56:17       | 166:18      | 235:19        | 174:13           | 148:25      | 240:3,4,5   |
| 225:13,22      | 196:3                 | 74:9 214:14         | 167:6,19    | wave 10:8     | 175:3,9          | 149:12      | 242:5       |
| 229:17         | versus 38:6           | 244:23              | 172:2 178:5 | 22:7          | 176:8            | 150:2,7,8   | 243:17      |
| 236:20         | 186:22                | walk 12:24          | 184:13      | way 2:23 19:7 | 184:15           | 151:20      | 244:2,7     |
| 249:16         | 187:4 229:4           | 147:16,19           | 192:15      | 21:13 22:15   | 212:14,25        | 156:25      | 247:4       |
| useful 25:3    | 231:4                 | 209:15,16           | 197:16      | 22:19 30:2    | 214:19           | 163:25      | we've 44:10 |
| 40:10          | viable 22:21          | 246:22              | 204:4       | 36:12 41:18   | we're 14:21      | 167:2,10,23 | 49:2 59:2   |
| uses 10:8      | 205:9                 | walking 99:5        | 211:19      | 43:19 53:10   | 15:10 22:3       | 168:13      | 69:4 72:13  |
| 12:3 185:14    | victim 131:14         | walks 181:13        | 216:19      | 54:25 55:2    | 27:22 29:3       | 169:15      | 85:12 89:4  |
| usually        | victims 135:5         | 181:15              | 221:17      | 55:3 63:18    | 29:8,18          | 170:14      | 91:14       |
| 115:24         | 162:7,9               | 213:17              | 223:21      | 67:18 68:12   | 33:16 36:12      | 171:3,14    | 116:13      |
| 202:15         | video 44:19           | Wall 240:19         | 239:5       | 68:18 70:22   | 37:2 39:11       | 172:3,4,10  | 118:11      |
| 249:15         | 74:3,5,12             | 240:23              | 240:11      | 75:6 85:4     | 46:4 48:19       | 175:24      | 124:5,10    |
| utilization    | 82:6,6,9              | want 2:25           | 242:13      | 86:25 87:3    | 51:20,25         | 176:14      | 128:17      |
| 11:9 194:8     | view 39:17            | 3:25 4:8,16         | 243:12,20   | 88:4 89:12    | 52:18 53:24      | 177:12,22   | 129:23      |
| utilize 139:23 | 41:4 132:8            | 5:12 10:3           | 243:21      | 95:12 96:20   | 58:14 60:10      | 179:10,14   | 130:7 144:3 |
| utilizing      | 232:19                | 11:16 12:25         | 247:16      | 104:8         | 65:3 67:18       | 179:17      | 164:4 190:2 |
|                | <b>viewed</b> 20:14   | 14:21 26:4          |             |               |                  |             | <b> </b>    |
| L              |                       |                     |             |               |                  |             |             |

|              |                     |              |              |                   |                      |   | Page 31     |
|--------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|----------------------|---|-------------|
|              | l                   | Ī            | 1            | 1                 | l                    | i i                                     |             |
| 190:9        | 86:22               | 120:24       | 214:10,19    | 165:11,22         | 227:12               | 1                                       | 59:1 60:1   |
| 192:20,22    | 119:25              | 121:3,3      | 214:20       | 168:2 237:4       | 239:22               | <b>1,300</b> 57:8                       | 61:1 62:1   |
| 193:5 194:9  | 159:9               | 173:23       | 215:8,10     | 238:13,19         | 245:2,4              | <b>1:15</b> 1:7                         | 63:1 64:1   |
| 196:15       | 192:24              | 224:17,20    | 216:18       | worlds 9:25       | 249:15               | <b>10</b> 18:4 77:11                    | 65:1 66:1   |
| 199:15       | 240:11,18           | 224:20       | 217:16       | worry 170:6       | years 12:2           | 125:6 209:2                             | 67:1 68:1   |
| 200:7,8,8    | 242:4,5             | witnesses    | 223:21,22    | worse 127:10      | 14:25 46:2           | 243:6                                   | 69:1 70:1   |
| 200:21,25    | weren't 29:11       | 42:15 90:25  | 227:9 237:4  | 127:20            | 46:9 53:10           | <b>100</b> 192:3                        | 71:1 72:1   |
| 201:5,7      | WERTHEI             | 93:2,8       | 238:18,18    | 134:10            | 54:21 58:7           | 196:11                                  | 73:1 74:1   |
| 203:3        | 1:14                | 120:22       | 241:6        | 135:14,15         | 67:5 83:16           | 236:12,19                               | 75:1 76:1   |
| 204:24       | West 243:25         | 173:16,23    | 242:12       | 143:14            | 94:14                | 110 196:12                              | 77:1 78:1   |
| 212:13       | 244:11              | women        | 244:25       | worst 192:7,8     | 121:13               | 110 190.12<br>118 59:20                 | 79:1 80:1   |
| 217:10       | whatsoever          | 125:25       | 245:13       | worst-case        | 129:6,24             | <b>118</b> 39.20<br><b>12</b> 1:7 12:14 | 81:1 82:1   |
| 239:10,21    | 45:17               | 126:4,4,7    | 246:9,10     | 245:13            | 130:24               |   | 83:1 84:1   |
| weakness     | 167:25              | 126:10,13    | worked 41:20 | worth 12:2        | 148:12               | <b>12-step</b> 28:3                     | 85:1 86:1   |
| 28:21        | wheel 110:13        | 127:17       | 73:18 98:22  | 56:17 132:7       | 156:4                | 222:23,24                               | 87:1 88:1   |
| wealth 61:5  | white 20:10         | 135:13       | 104:17       | wouldn't 36:5     | 175:17               | <b>125</b> 57:2                         | 89:1 90:1   |
| wearing      | 124:22              | 249:16       | 119:22       | 75:11,14          | 191:19               | 85:15                                   | 91:1 92:1   |
| 165:9        | 145:7               | wonderful    | 194:12       | 137:19            | 233:24               | <b>13</b> 59:22                         | 93:1 94:1   |
| weed 34:21   | whites 127:19       | 151:9 246:5  | 209:19       | 150:6             | 238:25               | <b>14</b> 144:20                        | 95:1 96:1   |
| week 48:4    | widely 24:18        | Woods-Ski    | 243:23       | 154:12            | 239:4 244:5          | <b>140</b> 57:2                         | 97:1 98:1   |
| 107:4        | widespread          | 103:2        | worker 62:7  | 154.12            | 244:9 246:3          | <b>1400</b> 132:17                      | 99:1 100:1  |
| 117:11       | 125:16              | word 191:18  | 62:24        | 193:15            | 244.9 240.3          | <b>145</b> 85:15                        | 101:1 102:1 |
| 191:14       | WILFREDO            | 247:4        | 107:25       | 239:16            | Yelp 157:12          | <b>15</b> 53:10                         | 103:1 104:1 |
| 202:13       | 1:13                | words 119:17 | 218:8 220:8  | Wow 163:13        | York 216:25          | 125:6 209:2                             | 105:1 104:1 |
| 209:3,6,12   | Will's 14:18        | work 2:22    | 232:8        | write 82:25       | 239:11               | 150,000                                 | 107:1 108:1 |
|              | 15:19               | 3:24 8:10    | workers      | 83:3              |                      | 83:18                                   | 109:1 110:1 |
| 209:13,14    |                     |              |              |                   | young 28:10          | <b>160101</b> 1:20                      |             |
| 240:12       | <b>WILLIAM</b> 1:11 | 16:9 24:21   | 77:23        | writing<br>178:17 | 233:6                | 2:12 3:1 4:1                            | 111:1 112:1 |
| weekend      |                     | 24:23 27:24  | 240:13       |                   | 247:24<br>W4b 220 15 | 5:1 6:1 7:1                             | 113:1 114:1 |
| 63:24 234:9  | Williams 2:22       | 30:8 54:13   | working      | wrong 76:22       | Youth 220:15         | 8:1 9:1 10:1                            | 115:1 116:1 |
| weekends     | 42:7 92:24          | 55:14 82:20  | 55:16 57:13  | 77:5,12           | 220:15,17            | 11:1 12:1                               | 117:1 118:1 |
| 47:23 97:13  | 94:16               | 85:7 89:17   | 58:6 66:25   | 80:4,6            | 220:24               | 13:1 14:1                               | 119:1 120:1 |
| weeks 72:12  | 120:21              | 92:6 99:12   | 75:5 85:3    | 169:11            | 221:3,4              | 15:1 16:1                               | 121:1 122:1 |
| weigh 125:11 | 224:10,25           | 102:25       | 95:25 98:25  | 172:4             |                      | 17:1 18:1                               | 123:1 124:1 |
| 166:18,22    | willing 12:22       | 105:8        | 99:11        | wrongful          |                      | 19:1 20:1                               | 125:1 126:1 |
| weighing     | 54:13 72:24         | 106:21       | 100:12,16    | 13:7              | zero 105:22          | 21:1 22:1                               | 127:1 128:1 |
| 140:11       | 109:17              | 108:8        | 101:6        | wrote 154:9       | <b>zip</b> 4:23      | 23:1 24:1                               | 129:1 130:1 |
| 145:15       | 139:25              | 110:22       | 104:17       | 165:3             | 25:14,18             | 25:1 26:1                               | 131:1 132:1 |
| weight       | wind 220:6          | 115:23       | 116:6        | <b></b>           | 26:10 27:15          | 27:1 28:1                               | 133:1 134:1 |
| 143:23       | window              | 129:10       | 149:21       | X 226 11          | 130:18               | 29:1 30:1                               | 135:1 136:1 |
| 144:19       | 227:23              | 135:10       | 177:12       | <b>X</b> 236:11   | 131:24               | 31:1 32:1                               | 137:1 138:1 |
| weighted     | windows             | 140:5        | 213:19       | <b>T</b> 7        | 132:12,20            | 33:1 34:1                               | 139:1 140:1 |
| 170:17       | 50:17               | 150:17,24    | works 3:20   | Y                 | 134:18,20            | 35:1 36:1                               | 141:1 142:1 |
| weighting    | winning             | 160:8        | 70:24 96:20  | yeah 24:13        | 134:24               | 37:1 38:1                               | 143:1 144:1 |
| 143:24       | 161:4               | 173:25       | 99:22        | 26:2 29:5         | 141:20,20            | 39:1 40:1                               | 145:1 146:1 |
| weird 104:19 | wire 248:18         | 174:12       | 102:22       | 31:9 38:2,8       | 145:4                | 41:1 42:1                               | 147:1 148:1 |
| welcome 5:12 | wireless            | 179:25       | 186:12       | 38:24             | 181:15               | 43:1 44:1                               | 149:1 150:1 |
| 6:2 174:7    | 51:12,22            | 181:11       | 193:7 195:2  | 117:10            | 202:15,15            | 45:1 46:1                               | 151:1 152:1 |
| 174:20       | 52:3                | 182:19       | 205:16       | 122:7             | 203:8                | 47:1 48:1                               | 153:1 154:1 |
| 224:22       | wish 159:11         | 184:6        | 246:5        | 123:25            | zone 229:11          | 49:1 50:1                               | 155:1 156:1 |
| well-being   | witness 42:8        | 187:16       | world 82:14  | 247:17            | 229:16               | 51:1 52:1                               | 157:1 158:1 |
| 11:13        | 42:9,17,17          | 191:8 198:9  | 121:15       | <b>year</b> 49:11 | 231:6                | 53:1 54:1                               | 159:1 160:1 |
| went 47:4    | 92:21 93:8          | 205:15       | 147:4        | 109:10            | zones 230:8          | 55:1 56:1                               | 161:1 162:1 |
| 52:14 74:2   | 109:15              | 213:9 214:6  | 158:16       | 201:14            |                      | 57:1 58:1                               | 163:1 164:1 |
|              |                     |              |              | 221:10            | 0                    | 27.120.1                                |             |
|              | I                   | I            | I            | I                 | I                    | ı İ                                     |             |

|                            |                                |  |                            |                            |   | Page 32 |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|---|---------|
|                            | l                              | l  | l                          | I                          | 1 | 1       |
| 165:1 166:1                | 162:5 163:7                    | · ·                                      | 72:1 73:1                  | 178:1 179:1                |   |         |
| 167:1 168:1                | 163:8,21                       | <b>60s</b> 232:6                         | 74:1 75:1                  | 180:1 181:1                |   |         |
| 169:1 170:1                | 195:23                         | <b>67</b> 97:19                          | 76:1 77:1                  | 182:1 183:1                |   |         |
| 171:1 172:1                | <b>200</b> 192:6               |  | 78:1 79:1                  | 184:1 185:1                |   |         |
| 173:1 174:1                | <b>2015</b> 51:2               | 7  | 80:1 81:1                  | 186:1 187:1                |   |         |
| 175:1 176:1                | <b>2016</b> 1:7                | <b>7</b> 243:6                           | 82:1 83:1                  | 188:1 189:1                |   |         |
| 177:1 178:1                | <b>24</b> 74:9 246:3           | <b>70</b> 129:6                          | 84:1 85:1                  | 190:1 191:1                |   |         |
| 179:1 180:1                | 246:4                          | 191:4,10                                 | 86:1 87:1                  | 192:1 193:1                |   |         |
| 181:1 182:1                | <b>24/7</b> 50:13,20           |  | 88:1 89:1                  | 194:1 195:1                |   |         |
| 183:1 184:1                | 50:25 63:11                    | 8  | 90:1 91:1                  | 196:1 197:1                |   |         |
| 185:1 186:1                | 24/7/365                       | <b>8:00</b> 41:21                        | 92:1 93:1                  | 198:1 199:1                |   |         |
| 187:1 188:1                | 44:19 47:22                    | <b>80</b> 161:22                         | 94:1 95:1                  | 200:1 201:1                |   |         |
| 189:1 190:1                | 50:11 63:21                    | 236:7,13                                 | 96:1 97:1                  | 202:1 203:1                |   |         |
| 191:1 192:1                | <b>25</b> 48:17                | 245:3                                    | 98:1 99:1                  | 204:1 205:1                |   |         |
| 193:1 194:1                | 130:24                         | <b>80s</b> 24:10                         | 100:1 101:1                | 206:1 207:1                |   |         |
| 195:1 196:1                | 195:23                         | <b>83</b> 61:20                          | 102:1 103:1                | 208:1 209:1                |   |         |
| 197:1 198:1                | 209:21                         | <b>85</b> 175:3                          | 104:1 105:1                | 210:1 211:1                |   |         |
| 199:1 200:1                | <b>28</b> 91:22                | 9  | 106:1 107:1                | 212:1 213:1                |   |         |
| 201:1 202:1<br>203:1 204:1 | 171:18                         | <b>9/11</b> 233:18                       | 108:1 109:1<br>110:1 111:1 | 214:1 215:1                |   |         |
| 203:1 204:1 205:1 206:1    | 3                              | <b>9/11</b> 233:18<br><b>9/12/16</b> 3:1 | 110:1 111:1                | 216:1 217:1<br>218:1 219:1 |   |         |
| 207:1 208:1                | <del>30</del> 16:22            | 4:1 5:1 6:1                              | 114:1 115:1                | 220:1 221:1                |   |         |
| 209:1 210:1                | 48:17 58:7                     | 7:1 8:1 9:1                              | 114.1 113.1                | 222:1 223:1                |   |         |
| 211:1 212:1                | 74:9 137:14                    | 10:1 11:1                                | 118:1 117:1                | 224:1 225:1                |   |         |
| 213:1 214:1                | 246:4                          | 12:1 13:1                                | 120:1 121:1                | 226:1 227:1                |   |         |
| 215:1 214:1                | <b>300</b> 148:11              | 14:1 15:1                                | 120:1 121:1                | 228:1 229:1                |   |         |
| 217:1 218:1                | 192:8                          | 16:1 17:1                                | 124:1 125:1                | 230:1 231:1                |   |         |
| 219:1 220:1                | <b>32</b> 54:21                | 18:1 19:1                                | 126:1 127:1                | 232:1 233:1                |   |         |
| 221:1 222:1                | <b>35</b> 48:18                | 20:1 21:1                                | 128:1 129:1                | 234:1 235:1                |   |         |
| 223:1 224:1                | <b>35,000</b> 45:4             | 22:1 23:1                                | 130:1 131:1                | 236:1 237:1                |   |         |
| 225:1 226:1                | <b>38,000</b> 71:11            | 24:1 25:1                                | 132:1 133:1                | 238:1 239:1                |   |         |
| 227:1 228:1                |                                | 26:1 27:1                                | 134:1 135:1                | 240:1 241:1                |   |         |
| 229:1 230:1                | 4                              | 28:1 29:1                                | 136:1 137:1                | 242:1 243:1                |   |         |
| 231:1 232:1                | <b>40</b> 191:3                | 30:1 31:1                                | 138:1 139:1                | 244:1 245:1                |   |         |
| 233:1 234:1                | 199:19,21                      | 32:1 33:1                                | 140:1 141:1                | 246:1 247:1                |   |         |
| 235:1 236:1                | <b>40,000</b> 45:4             | 34:1 35:1                                | 142:1 143:1                | 248:1 249:1                |   |         |
| 237:1 238:1                | <b>400</b> 1:6                 | 36:1 37:1                                | 144:1 145:1                | 250:1 251:1                |   |         |
| 239:1 240:1                | <b>48</b> 59:21 64:8           | 38:1 39:1                                |                            | <b>90</b> 18:2 52:22       |   |         |
| 241:1 242:1                |                                | 40:1 41:1                                | 148:1 149:1                | 77:13 114:8                |   |         |
| 243:1 244:1                | 5                              | 42:1 43:1                                | 150:1 151:1                | 161:19                     |   |         |
| 245:1 246:1                | <b>5:05</b> 251:16             | 44:1 45:1                                | 152:1 153:1                | 196:11                     |   |         |
| 247:1 248:1                | <b>50</b> 195:24               | 46:1 47:1                                | 154:1 155:1                | 198:12,19                  |   |         |
| 249:1 250:1                | <b>50,000</b> 72:7             | 48:1 49:1                                | 156:1 157:1                | 230:7                      |   |         |
| 251:1                      | 96:22                          | 50:1 51:1                                | 158:1 159:1                | <b>90s</b> 17:20           |   |         |
| <b>17</b> 94:14            | <b>500</b> 202:12              | 52:1 53:1                                | 160:1 161:1                | <b>92,000</b> 49:10        |   |         |
| <b>180</b> 49:23,25        | <b>52,306</b> 51:3             | 54:1 55:1                                | 162:1 163:1                | <b>95</b> 161:3            |   |         |
| <b>1920s</b> 129:22        | 6                              | 56:1 57:1                                | 164:1 165:1                | 230:2                      |   |         |
| 1960s 231:7                |                                | 58:1 59:1                                | 166:1 167:1                |                            |   |         |
| <b>1990s</b> 20:5          | <b>6</b> 12:14 <b>60</b> 91:18 | 60:1 61:1                                | 168:1 169:1                |                            |   |         |
| 201:6                      |                                | 62:1 63:1                                | 170:1 171:1                |                            |   |         |
|                            | 195:24<br>198:12,18            | 64:1 65:1<br>66:1 67:1                   | 172:1 173:1                |                            |   |         |
|                            | 198:12,18                      | 68:1 69:1                                | 174:1 175:1                |                            |   |         |
| <b>20</b> 73:22 121:13     | <b>600</b> 49:20,20            | 70:1 71:1                                | 176:1 177:1                |                            |   |         |
| 121.13                     | 300 49.20,20                   | /0.1 /1.1                                | l                          | l                          |   |         |
|                            |                                |  |                            |                            |   |         |